



Alert

No.2 2013

中国高校科技期刊研究会英文刊专委会
Chinese Universities English-language Journals Committee (CUEJC)

寄语

信息不等于知识,知识不等于教育,然而缺信息的传播也就乏知识的更新。中国高校科技期刊研究会英文刊专委会于癸巳年传递“国内外学术出版信息荟萃电子季刊(CUEJC)”。意欲高校英文期刊编辑同仁们汲涓涓之水,汇信息之流,提自身素养,辟办刊途径,出高校围城,走国际化之路。

——张月红

出版动态

综合性科学学术期刊《自然—通讯》设立上海编辑部

<http://news.sciencenet.cn/htmlnews/2012/11/272196.shtml>

Nature 特别增刊(2013-03-28): 学术出版的未来

<http://www.nature.com/news/specials/scipublishing/index.html>

(其中发表了 10 篇文章,涉及学术出版模式的变化、如何促进开放获取、开放获取存在的问题、数据共享问题、新趋势下的学术评价、研究者职业发展如何应对出版模式变化等主题)

Ringgold's New Subject Taxonomy Now Published and being considered by COUNTER for the Journal Usage Factor

<http://www.sspnet.org/community/news/ringgolds-new-subject-taxonomy-now-published-and-being-considered-by-counter-for-the-journal-usage-factor-for-immediate-release/>

(COUNTER—Counting Online Usage of NeTworked Electronic Resources)

热点话题讨论

数字出版:

What Scholarly Publishers Can Learn from Bookish

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/02/13/what-scholarly-publishers-can-learn-from-bookish/>

The Digital Publishing Revolution Is Over

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/03/04/the-digital-publishing-revolution-is-over/>

Is It Time for Scholarly Journal Publishers to Begin Distributing Articles Using EPUB 3?

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/03/19/is-it-time-for-scholarly-journal-publishers-to-begin-distributing-articles-using-epub-3/>

STM 出版:

Ask the Chefs: What Are STM Publishers Doing Wrong?

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/01/16/ask-the-chefs-what-are-stm-publishers-doing-wrong/>

Ask the Chefs: What Are STM Publishers Doing Right?

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/01/17/ask-the-chefs-what-are-stm-publishers-doing-right/>

同行评审:

Validation vs. Filtration and Designation — Are We Mismarketing the Core Strengths of Peer Review?

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/02/18/validation-vs-filtration-and-designation-are-we-mismarketing-the-core-strengths-of-peer-review/>

Rewarding Reviewers: Money, Prestige, or Some of Both?

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/02/22/rewarding-reviewers-money-prestige-or-some-of-both/>

Do Uninteresting Papers Really Need Peer Review?

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/03/20/do-uninteresting-papers-really-need-peer-review/>

开放获取:

Putting Your Money Where Your Mouth Is — Is Gold OA Just a New Frontier for *Nature*?

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/02/28/putting-your-money-where-your-mouth-is-is-gold-oa-just-a-new-frontier-for-nature/>

Financial Realities — A New Analysis Suggests OA Will Have a Benign Effect on Publishers

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/03/05/financial-realities-a-new-analysis-suggests-oa-will-have-a-benign-effect-on-publishers/>

Whoops! Are Some Current Open Access Mandates Backfiring on the Intended Beneficiaries?

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/03/12/whoops-are-some-current-open-access-mandates-backfiring-on-the-intended-beneficiaries/>

Open Access — Idealism and Realism Remain Difficult to Reconcile, Survey Says

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/03/21/open-access-idealism-and-realism-remain-difficult-to-reconcile-survey-says/>

学术不端:

Gaming Google Scholar Citations, Made Simple and Easy

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2012/12/12/gaming-google-scholar-citations-made-simple-and-easy/>

信息资讯

ALPSP Training: Citation Analysis for Publishers, 2 May, Oxford

<http://www.alpssp.org/Ebusiness/Meetings/Meeting.aspx?ID=314>

Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE): March 2013 Forum cases now on website

The new cases discussed at the 12 March 2013 COPE Forum, along with the advice given, are now on the COPE website, together with updates on previous cases

<http://publicationethics.org/cases>

PODCASTS of these cases are available for case numbers 13-01, 13-02 and 13-04.

Also available on the website is a summary of the Forum discussion topic on “Text recycling”

<http://publicationethics.org/resources/discussion-documents>

I Don't Know What to Believe

<http://www.senseaboutscience.org/data/files/resources/16/IDontKnowWhatToBelievereprint2008.pdf> (原版)

<http://www.guokr.com/article/436902/> (中文版)

“I Don't Know What to Believe” 是英国非盈利科普组织“科学的意义” (Sense about Science) 编纂并出版的一本手册, 对科学研究是如何接受检验、论文又如何得到发表的这一流程进行了解释, 以便让公众更好地理解 and 识辨科学新闻。

Webinars:

<http://www.sspnet.org/events/webinars/>

Eliminating Print... really?!

September 10, 2013 – 11:00 AM – 12:00 PM Eastern Time

Organizer: Barbara Chin, Associate Director, JSTOR

<http://www.ithenticate.com/5-biggest-challenges-webcast/>

5 Biggest Challenges from the Front Lines of Scholarly Publishing

推荐阅读

关于生命科学论文方法学部分的复制问题 (一)

http://www.zju.edu.cn/jzus/download/itorpapers/china_publishing_method_1.pdf

关于生命科学论文方法学部分的复制问题 (二)

http://www.zju.edu.cn/jzus/download/itorpapers/china_publishing_method_2.pdf

科学成果的贡献归属与署名标识

http://www.zju.edu.cn/jzus/download/itorpapers/china_publishing_shuming.pdf