## Supplementary materials:



Fig. S1 Temporal responses with sub- or super-threshold TFA treatment. (A) Levels of active Bax monomer and Oligomer (MAC) with $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ TFA treatment. The pro-apoptotic Bax forms were maintained at relatively high levels when cells were treated with sub-threshold TFA. (B) Bcl-2 levels with $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ TFA treatment. (C) Active Bax forms (monomers and MAC) in cells treated with $50 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ TFA. (D) Bcl-2 levels with $50 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ TFA treatment. Super-threshold TFA treatment will lead to substantially upregulated Bcl-2 and nearly depleted active Bax forms.


Fig. S2 Model diagrams with Nimodipine and TFA. Nimodipine can inhibit Bax expression while increase Bcl-2 expression. Arrows indicate activation/upregulation, blunt arrows denote inhibition.

Table S1 Reactions of model

| Reactions | Description | $k+$ | $k-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| InBax+Act->AcBax+Act | Act-mediated InBax activation | $k_{1}$ | - |
| AcBax+Bcl2<->AcBaxBcl2 | AcBax-Bcl2 dimerization and dissociation | $k_{2}$ | $k_{3}$ |
| Act+Bcl2<->ActBcl2 | Act-Bcl2 dimerization and dissociation | $k_{4}$ | $k_{5}$ |
| AcBax+ActBcl2<->AcBaxBcl2+Act | Displacement between AcBax and Act | $k_{6}$ | $k_{7}$ |
| AcBax->InBax | AcBax inactivation | $k_{8}$ | - |
| Ena+Bcl2<->EnaBcl2 | Ena-Bcl2 dimerization and dissociation | $k_{9}$ | $k_{10}$ |
| Act+EnaBcl2<->ActBcl2+Ena | Displacement between Act and Ena | $k_{12}$ | $k_{11}$ |
| AcBax+EnaBcl2<->AcBaxBcl2+Ena | Displacement between AcBax and Ena | $k_{14}$ | $k_{13}$ |
| InBax+AcBax->MAC | Bax auto-activation and dimerization | $k_{15}$ |  |
| $2 A c B a x<->M A C ~$ | AcBax dimerization and dissociation | $k_{16}$ | $k_{17}$ |
| InBax<->Ф | InBax degradation and production | $u_{1}$ | $p_{1}$ |
| AcBax->Ф | AcBax degradation | $u_{2}$ | - |
| Act<->Ф | Act degradation and production | $u_{3}$ | $p_{2}$ |
| Bcl2<->Ф | Bcl-2 degradation and production | $u_{4}$ | $p_{\text {Bcl-2 }}$ |
| ActBcl2->Ф | ActBcl2 degradation | $u_{5}$ | - |
| AcBaxBcl2->Ф | AcBaxBcl2 degradation | $u_{6}$ | - |
| Ena<->Ф | Ena degradation and production | $u_{7}$ | $p_{3}$ |
| EnaBcl2->Ф | EnaBcl2 degradation | $u_{8}$ | - |
| MAC->Ф | MAC degradation | $u_{9}$ | - |

Abbreviations used: InBax (Inactive Bax/Bak), Act (Activator), AcBax (Activated Bax/Bak), Bcl2 (Anti-apoptotics), AcBaxBcl2 (Activated Bax/Bak-Bcl2 dimer), ActBcl2 (Activator-Bcl2 dimer), Ena (Enabler), EnaBcl2 (Enabler-Bcl2 dimer), MAC (Bax/Bak pore), $\Phi$ (null). Parametric expression " $p$ " represents production, while " $u$ " donates degradation. Parameter values were listed in Table S3.
In model with TFA treatment only, $p_{\text {Bcl- } 2}=p_{\text {TFA }} \times \mathrm{Nrf} 2_{\text {nuc }} /\left(\mathrm{Nrf} 2_{\text {nuc }}+K_{\text {Nrf }}\right), \mathrm{Nrf} 2_{\text {nuc }}=\left[k_{\text {basal }}+\left(1-k_{\text {basal }}\right) \times \mathrm{TFA} \times k_{\text {in }} /\left(\mathrm{TFA} \times k_{\text {in }}+k_{\text {out }}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{Nrf} 2_{\mathrm{T}}$. $\mathrm{Nrf} 2_{\text {nuc }}$ is the nuclear $\mathrm{Nrf2} \mathrm{Nrf2}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the total Nrf 2 concentration.
In model with both TFA and Nimodipine treatment, $p_{\text {Bcl- } 2}=p_{\text {TFA }} \times \mathrm{Nrf2}_{\text {nuc }} /\left(\mathrm{Nrf2}_{\text {nuc }}+K_{\text {Nrf2 }}\right)+p_{\text {Nimo }} \times \mathrm{Nimo} /(E c+\mathrm{Nimo})$, $\mathrm{Nrf}_{\text {nuc }}=\left[k_{\text {basal }}+\left(1-k_{\text {basal }}\right) \times \mathrm{TFA} \times k_{\text {in }} /\left(\mathrm{TFA} \times k_{\text {in }}+k_{\text {out }}\right)\right] \times \mathrm{Nrf2}_{\text {T }}$. Meanwhile, $p_{1}{ }^{\prime}=p_{1} \times E c /(E C+\mathrm{Nimo})$.
$\mathrm{d}[$ InBax $] / \mathrm{d} t=J_{\text {InBax }}-J_{1}+J_{5}$
$\mathrm{d}[$ AcBax $] / \mathrm{d} t=J_{A c B a x}+J_{1}-J_{2}-J_{4}-J_{5}+J_{8}-J_{9}-2 \cdot J_{10}$
$\mathrm{d}[B c l 2] / \mathrm{d} t=J_{B c l 2}-J_{2}-J_{3}-J_{6}$
$\mathrm{d}[A c t] / \mathrm{d} t=J_{A c t}-J_{3}+J_{4}+J_{7}$
$\mathrm{d}\left[\right.$ ActBcl2] $/ \mathrm{d} t=J_{\text {ActBcl2 }}+J_{3}-J_{4}-J_{7}$
$\mathrm{d}\left[\right.$ AcBaxBcl2] $/ \mathrm{d} t=J_{\text {AcBaxBcl2 }}+J_{2}+J_{4}-J_{8}$
$\mathrm{d}[E n a] / \mathrm{d} t=J_{E n a}-J_{6}-J_{7}-J_{8}$
$\mathrm{d}\left[\right.$ EnaBcl2] $/ \mathrm{d} t=J_{\text {EnaBcl2 }}+J_{6}+J_{7}+J_{8}$
$\mathrm{d}[M A C] / \mathrm{d} t=J_{M A C}+J_{9}+J_{10}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
J_{\text {InBax }}=p_{1}-u_{1} \cdot[\text { InBax }] & J_{1}=k_{1} \cdot[\text { InBax }] \cdot[\text { Act }] \\
J_{\text {AcBax }}=-u_{2} \cdot[\text { AcBax }] & J_{2}=k_{2} \cdot[\text { AcBax }] \cdot[\text { Bcl } 2]-k 3 \cdot[\text { AcBaxBcl } 2] \\
J_{\text {Act }}=p_{2}-u_{3} \cdot[\text { Act }] & J_{3}=k_{4} \cdot[\text { Act }] \cdot[\text { Bcl } 2]-k_{5} \cdot[\text { ActBcl } 2] \\
J_{\text {Bcl2 } 2}=p_{\text {Bcl- }-2}-u_{4} \cdot[\text { Bcl } 2] & J_{4}=k_{6} \cdot[\text { AcBax }] \cdot[\text { ActBcl } 2]-k_{7} \cdot[\text { AcBaxBcl } 2] \cdot[\text { Act }] \\
J_{\text {ActBcl2 } 2}=-u_{5} \cdot[\text { ActBcl2] } & J_{5}=k_{8} \cdot[\text { AcBax }] \\
J_{\text {AcBaxBcl2 }}=-u_{6} \cdot[\text { AcBaxBcl } 2] & J_{6}=k_{9} \cdot[\text { Ena }] \cdot[\text { Bcl2 }]-k_{10} \cdot[\text { EnaBcl } 2] \\
J_{\text {Ena }}=p_{3}-u_{7} \cdot[\text { Ena }] & J_{7}=k_{11} \cdot[\text { Ena }] \cdot[\text { ActBcl2 }]-k_{12} \cdot[\text { Act }] \cdot[\text { EnaBcl } 2] \\
J_{\text {EnaBcl2 } 2}=-u_{8} \cdot[\text { EnaBcl2] } & J_{8}=k_{13} \cdot[\text { Ena }] \cdot[\text { AcBaxBcl2 }]-k_{14} \cdot[\text { AcBax }] \cdot[\text { EnaBcl } 2] \\
J_{\text {MAC }}=-u_{9} \cdot[\text { MAC }] & J_{9}=k_{15} \cdot[\text { InBax }] \cdot[\text { AcBax }] \\
& J_{10}=k_{16} \cdot[\text { AcBax }]^{2}-k_{17} \cdot[\text { MAC }]
\end{array}
$$

Table S3 Model parameters

| Parameters | Description | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{1}$ | Act-mediated Activation of Bax | 0.1 |
| $k_{2}$ | Dimerization between AcBax and $\mathrm{Bcl2}$ | 1 |
| $k_{3}$ | Dissociation of $\mathrm{Bax}-\mathrm{Bcl} 2$ dimer | 0.001 |
| $k_{4}$ | Dimerization between Act and Bcl 2 | 10 |
| $k_{5}$ | Dissociation of Act-Bcl2 dimer | 0.06 |
| $k_{6}$ | AcBax displace Act from Act-Bcl2 dimer | 0.5 |
| $k_{7}$ | Act displace AcBax from $\mathrm{Bax}-\mathrm{Bcl} 2$ dimer | 0.01 |
| $k_{8}$ | Bax/Bak inactivation | 0.001 |
| $k_{9}$ | Dimerization between Ena and Bcl2 | 0.1 |
| $k_{10}$ | Dissociation of Ena-Bcl2 dimer | 0.001 |
| $k_{11}$ | Ena displace Act from Act-Bcl2 dimer | 0.5 |
| $k_{12}$ | Act displace Ena from Ena-Bcl2 dimer | 0.05 |
| $k_{13}$ | Ena displace AcBax from $\mathrm{Bax}-\mathrm{Bc} 2$ dimer | 10 |
| $k_{14}$ | AcBax displace Ena from Ena-Bcl2 dimer | 0.5 |
| $k_{15}$ | Bax Auto-activation \& dimerization | 0.2 |
| $k_{16}$ | Homo-dimerization of AcBax | 0.2 |
| $k_{17}$ | Dissociation of Bax homo-dimer | 0.01 |
| $p_{1}$ | Production rate of InBax | 0.04 |
| $p_{2}$ | Production rate of Act | 0.002 |
| $p_{\text {Bcl-2 }}$ | Production rate of Bcl 2 | 1 |
| $p_{3}$ | Production rate of Ena | 0.002 |
| $u_{1}$ | Degradation rate of InBax | 0.03 |
| $u_{2}$ | Degradation rate of AcBax | 0.002 |
| $u_{3}$ | Degradation rate of Act | 0.01 |
| $u_{4}$ | Degradation rate of Bcl 2 | 0.002 |
| $u_{5}$ | Degradation rate of Act-Bcl2 dimer | 0.002 |
| $u_{6}$ | Degradation rate of Bax-Bcl2 dimer | 0.01 |
| $u_{7}$ | Degradation rate of Ena | 0.001 |
| $u_{8}$ | Degradation rate of Ena-Bcl2 dimer | 0.005 |
| $u_{9}$ | Degradation rate of Bax oligomer | 0.01 |
| $p_{\text {TFA }}$ | $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ production rate by TFA treatment | 0.03 |
| $k_{\text {basal }}$ | Basal Nrf2 nuclear fraction | 0.2402 |
| $k_{\text {in }}$ | Nrf2 nuclear import rate | 0.2099 |
| $k_{\text {out }}$ | Nrf2 nuclear import rate | 0.4992 |
| Nrf2 ${ }_{\text {T }}$ | Total Nrf2 concentration | 1 |
| $K_{\text {Nrf2 }}$ | Threshold concentration for $\mathrm{Nrf} 2_{\text {nuc }}$ | 1.6779 |
| $p_{\text {Nimo }}$ | $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ production rate by Nimodipine | 0.01 |
| Ec | Threshold concentration for Nimodipine | 70 |
| Initial conditions | Description | Value |
| InBax | Inactivated Bax | 0.47306 |
| AcBax | Activated Bax | 0.18182 |
| Act | Activator | 0.18577 |
| Bcl2 | $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ protein | 0.00420 |
| ActBcl2 | Activator-Bcl-2 complex | 0.07114 |
| ActBaxBcl2 | Activated Bax and $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ complex | 0.16313 |
| Ena | Enabler | 0.02516 |
| EnaBcl2 | Enabler-Bcl-2 complex | 0.39497 |
| MAC | Mitochondrial apoptosis channel | 1.19066 |

Units: The total amounts of different species are in units of $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$. The first and second order rate constants are expressed in units of $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ and $\mu \mathrm{L} /(\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{min})$, respectively. The production rate constants are expressed in unit of $\mu \mathrm{mol} /(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min})$. $p_{\text {Bcl-2 }}$ differs in distinct models as defined in Table S1.
The parameters $k_{1}-k_{17}, p_{1}, p_{3}, u_{1}-u_{9}$ were assigned according to our previous work (Sun et al., 2009).
The initial conditions correspond to an OGD/R induced apoptotic state with TFA or Nimodipine $=0 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.
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