Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering
www.jzus.zju.edu.cn; engineering.cae.cn; www.springerlink.com
ISSN 2095-9184 (print); ISSN 2095-9230 (online)
E-mail: jzus@zju.edu.cn

An overview of beam-tracking techniques for mmWave wireless communications

Ruaa Shallal Abbas ANOOZ[1,2], Jafar POURROSTAM[‡1], Mohanad Al-IBADI[2]

[1]*Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Tabriz, Tabriz 5166616471, Iran*

[2]*Technical Engineering College, Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Najaf 540001, Iraq*

E-mail: coj.rua@atu.edu.iq; j.pourrostam@tabrizu.ac.ir; mohanad.alibadi@atu.edu.iq

Received Mar. 4, 2025; Revision accepted May 28, 2025; Crosschecked

Abstract: Millimeter-wave (mmWave) communication is the key to increasing the demand for high data rates and low latency resulting from the rapid evolution of wireless communications, especially in the fifth generation (5G) of wireless communication systems and beyond. The mmWave band suffers from high path loss and obstacle blockage, significantly reducing the transmission range. Note that high-directional beams are required to perform well in the mmWave band. Hence, beam alignment is crucial for high-data-rate transmission between the transmitter (Tx) and the receiver (Rx). One of the drawbacks is getting an accurate beam alignment when the transceiver (Tx, Rx, or both) is mobile. Beam tracking plays a considerable role in 5G communications, especially in vehicular communications, due to the repeated change of the transceiver (Tx, Rx, or both) position. This work presents an overview of the different beam-tracking methods utilized in mmWave communications, focusing on hybrid beamforming techniques. We also compare the various tracking techniques in a recommendation table. This overview suggests that some tracking methods used in the sub-6-GHz band, such as least mean squares (LMS), recursive least squares (RLS), and Kalman filter, are unsuitable for the mmWave band (due to higher frequency and shorter coherence time), and it recommends faster tracking strategies.

Unedited

Key words: Beam tracking; MmWave; Vehicle communication; 5G communication
https://doi.org/10.1631/FITEE.2500138 **CLC number:**

1 Introduction

Millimeter (mm)-wave communication technology is viable for fifth-generation (5G) wireless communication systems and beyond because of the growing demand for data speeds and the restricted spectrum available for the sub-6-GHz band (Samimi et al., 2016). These frequencies have an available spectrum up to 200 times larger than current cellular spectrums (Barati et al., 2015; Kokshoorn et al., 2016) and frequency bands ranging from 30 GHz to 300 GHz (Wang et al., 2015, 2020).

MmWave frequencies suffer from high path loss and keen shadowing compared to the traditional sub-6-GHz frequencies (Haghighatshoar and Caire, 2016) because they have a much smaller wavelength of about 1–10 mm (Wu et al., 2021). This makes them susceptible to precipitation and oxygen absorption (Hur et al., 2013). Therefore, the receiver (Rx) and the transmitter (Tx) use directional beamforming (BF) to get around the channel attenuation problem (Va, 2018) and reduce interference in mmWave networks (Hashemi et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2022). They use large antenna arrays to get high gain with narrow beams (Wu et al., 2019).

MmWave communications use different BF techniques, such as analog BF (ABF), digital BF (DBF), and hybrid BF (HBF), to beat the rise in power con-

‡ Corresponding author
ORCID: Ruaa Shallal Abbas ANOOZ, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4785-9571; Jafar POURROSTAM, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7457-7169; Mohanad Al-IBADI, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9721-4192

© Zhejiang University Press 2025

sumption and the enormous hardware cost that results from using a large array of antennas (Han et al., 2019). As seen in Fig. S1, ABF uses only one radio frequency (RF) chain with phase shifters to form the beams. To compensate for the large amount of channel attenuation, the ABF uses directivity gains and spatial division to send the signal to different areas. DBF allows enormous flexibility in molding the transmitted beams. Because many antennas operate in a considerable bandwidth, the DBF requires one RF chain for each antenna element, raising the cost and complexity. Using the channel state information (CSI) of an active channel with a tiny dimension, the DBF lowers the intrasector interference and provides a gain (El Ayach et al., 2014). As seen in Fig. S2, the HBF technology combines ABF and DBF into a single structure. ABF is produced in HBF by connecting a series of phase shifters for every RF chain (Perfecto et al., 2017). The HBF gives a reasonable solution for both hardware complexity and performance gain (Mavromatis et al., 2017). For the mmWave, the channels' sparseness reduces the design's HBF complexity. The capacity to create beam patterns from the sum of two or more RF chain BF vectors is the primary benefit of HBF structures (Tagliaferri et al., 2021). The BF and precoding steps improve the mmWave spectral efficiency (Ciaramitaro et al., 2023). This paper focuses on HBF due to its flexibility and low complexity. Subsequently, BF with precoding (multiple data streams) improves the mmWave spectral efficiency (Ali et al., 2020). Beam alignment is necessary for fast-moving states because the angular spread of each path is very small. There are other works of literature illustrating the beam alignment problem in different scenarios, such as (Wang et al., 2019),(Satyanarayana et al., 2019), sensor-assisted beam alignment (Mizmizi et al., 2022),(Shokri-Ghadikolaei et al., 2015), radar-assisted beam alignment (Wang et al., 2009), machine learning (ML) beam alignment (Jog et al., 2019), and map-based beam alignment (Torkzaban et al., 2022). Still, mmWave communication that uses ABF and HBF has sampling subspace limits because only a limited number of RF chains are available for use by the many antennas present in the network. Because of frequent outages brought on by mobility and blockage, mmWave networks are notorious for their low dependability (Palacios et al., 2017). However, the primary obstacle in channel estimation and tracking for highly mobile mmWave applications is the extensive training overhead time needed due to the use of several antennas at the Tx and the Rx ends (Mollel et al., 2021). When the Tx and the Rx beams are perfectly aligned, communication with narrow beams can be possible. Beam alignment, also known as beam training and beam search (Heath et al., 2016), is needed to form narrow, aligned beams between the Tx and the Rx and set up a reliable communication link in mmWave systems. It is a process to identify the optimal beam for the Tx and the Rx that gains the maximum received signal strength (RSS). In other words, beam tracking involves locating the necessary beam to link the transceiver equipment and for minimizing the first access latency. During the BF alignment, the Tx transmits training packets in predefined beam directions (beams). Beam misalignment can reduce the throughput from multiple megabits per second (Mbps) to a few hundred gigabits per second (Gbps) (Hassan et al., 2020).If both the Tx and the Rx are mobile, you can use beam tracking in the Tx or the Rx ends.

Unedited

1.1 Review of recent related studies

MmWaves are the key to 5G communications and beyond because of their wide bandwidth, which makes them a viable solution for high-data-rate demands in wireless communications. In conventional beam-training methods, there is a trade-off between the achievable data rate and the beam training overhead. 5G and future 6G systems can achieve data throughput requirements while minimizing beam-training overhead using artificial intelligence (AI)/ML in the beam-training approach (Narengerile et al., 2022). This section reviews and analyzes recent work on beam-tracking techniques for mmWave wireless communications.

1.1.1 Ray tracing

This method includes a beam approach for beam tracking. We transmit a beam from the Tx in all directions to acquire the channel response, allowing us to accurately capture a whole two-dimensional (2D) or 3D picture. This method uses various techniques. In a previous work (Han et al., 2019), an approach for tracking the dynamic beam direction of a single path using angular velocity-based recursive

beam tracking (AVRBT) was presented. This algorithm could improve the Recursive Beam and Channel Tracking (RBCT) algorithm. This is due to the unsuitability of estimating the angle of arrival (AoA) under dynamic circumstances. Therefore, adjusting the estimator from the AoA to the rate of change in AoA is necessary. The updated algorithm is significantly more flexible for higher angular speeds and can achieve higher tracking accuracy. The authors then developed a Two-Path Recursive Beam Tracking (TPRBT) algorithm in specific scenarios. According to simulations, the TPRBT method achieved rapid tracking speed, high tracking accuracy, and minimal pilot overhead by solving the tracking problem induced by interference between two pathways.

A previous work (Palacios et al., 2017) discussed beam training and tracking in directed mobile mmWave networks. The authors developed two techniques—a probabilistic method for beam tracking and a deterministic method for beam training—to swiftly ascertain the optimal transmit/receive directions at the Tx and the Rx sides. The goal of the study was to optimize the communication rate over time. Based on the simulation results, rates can increase by 40% – 150% while utilizing simpler technology.

Using the dynamic model for the AoA and the angle of departure (AoD) for the mobility scenario in mmWave band communications, the authors in another work (Bae et al., 2017) suggested a beam-tracking technique. The study indicated that monitoring the time-varying AoD requires two training beams. The numerical findings showed that the suggested beam-tracking technique outperforms the traditional one with minimal overhead training.

An auxiliary beam pair (ABP) design that offered high-accuracy channel AoD and AoA estimations for mmWave multiple input–multiple output (MIMO) systems was earlier presented (Zhu et al., 2017). In that study, the estimated AoD and AoA enabled a directional process, while hybrid precoding allowed for spatial multiplexing of the data channel. The suggested approach obtained excellent angle estimation performance under various channel circumstances and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) levels.

1.1.2 Adaptive filter

This method uses different types of adaptive filters for beam tracking. It is more efficient when only partial state observations are available in the mobile systems. The least mean squares (LMS) and normalized least mean squares (NLMS) algorithms for mmWave channel tracking have been examined and assessed (Asi et al., 2021). These adaptive filters typically trade off accuracy and convergence. The outcomes demonstrated that, although the LMS method is one of the simplest, it requires big numbers to converge more quickly and provide a stable system. The NLMS algorithm explicitly implements the LMS algorithm when selecting an average step size, leading to a more stable and convergent adaptive process. The research found that the current step, or the gradient decline size, dramatically affects the quality of the tracking results, as measured by the mean squared error (MSE).

Using adaptive filters, another study (Al-Ibadi and E Mahmood, 2022) looked at a multipath channel packet-tracking problem in an extensive mmWave MIMO communication system. The main focus was how well the recursive least squares (RLS) and LMS algorithms outperformed the extended Kalman filter (EKF) when a few strong paths or a single wireless channel line dominated the line of sight (LOS). The study's conclusions suggest that BF systems in the mmWave frequencies should consider several paths rather than just one primary LOS channel. Researchers also have examined low-complexity channel and packet-tracking algorithms for mmWave communications (Yapıcı, 2018). They used the LMS and bidirectional LMS (Bi-LMS) algorithms for mobile mmWave transmission. In this case, the unknown AoA and AoD changed the channel measurement in a way that was not linear. The simulations showed that LMS works better than the well-known EKF method for tracking mmWave beams when AoA/AoD and channel gains are set up incorrectly (i.e., using noisy channel estimations). Bi-LMS did better than both LMS and EKF in MSE performance, which suggests that it could be used as a channel-tracking algorithm in situations where mobile mmWave transmission is needed. The study also showed that the LMS and Bi-LMS algorithms are better than EKF because they converge faster, have higher SNR, and are less likely to break when antenna array sizes are not perfect.

Using the modified ABP structure, a low-complexity beam-tracking technique for mobile mmWave systems utilizing BF architecture and EKF

Unedited

and ABP is presented in a previous paper (Kim et al., 2019)—the suggested approach enhanced angle estimation performance in the low SNR area. By using the EKF and the ABP scaling ratio as the EKF's scaling function, the researchers successfully reduced the tracking MSE.

A tracking algorithm based on the KF and a method to detect sudden change (such as occlusion) have been previously proposed (Lim et al., 2021). The suggested method maintains excellent tracking accuracy at low SNR and experimental loads. According to the simulation findings, if the AoDs and the AoAs are very quick, the tracking algorithm and the abrupt change detection approach perform effectively in the suggested framework. Furthermore, acquisition error deteriorates the performance of the proposed techniques, permitting expansions by taking tracking, rapid change detection, and channel acquisition into account simultaneously.

1.1.3 ML approach

Recently, advanced ML and deep learning (DL) techniques have been used widely to solve wireless telecommunication problems that are hard to solve with heuristic or traditional optimization techniques. By designing robust and intelligent methods based on ML/DL, beam-based mmWave communications can achieve high performance and transmission stability. Reinforcement learning (RL), unsupervised learning (USL), and supervised learning (SL) are the three general categories into which learning models fall (Goodfellow and Bengio, 2016). For USL models that use sample data correlation, prelabeling is not needed. But for training deep-layered neural networks (NNs) with SL methods, ground truth labeling and fixed-size input are needed (Elbir and Mishra, 2020; Huang et al., 2020).

RL, on the other hand, is flexible and adapts to an agent's interaction with the environment by learning from past actions and the associated reward or punishment (Shen et al., 2021). ML/DL shows excellent outcomes in many applications, including mmWave, satellite, and unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) communications. It is more suited for applications because of its outstanding capacity to learn representations in real-world settings. ML utilizes the UAV for several civilian applications and various other uses. However, body-centric communication systems also use ML/DL to enhance their capabilities (Khan et al., 2022).

The authors of a previous work (Wang et al., 2019) suggested using ML classification and previous beam-training data to determine the best beam pair index using the receiver (Rx) vehicle's and its surrounding vehicles' positions and kinds (situational awareness). Using custom features that capture situational awareness in several locations, they structured the mmWave beam selection as a multiclass classification issue. Thereafter, they thoroughly analyzed the various situational awareness levels and categorization models.

The track-finding algorithm's development and implementation on the publicly accessible dataset created for the Kaggle TrackML challenge was the main emphasis of the authors in another work (Lad, 2023). The preliminary findings relating to purity measures and track rebuilding efficiency were presented and discussed. The authors set out to create a practical graph neural network (GNN)-based method to facilitate quick-track discovery in future particle detector experiments with high brightness.

To achieve high resolution in tracking and vertex reconstruction, previous research suggested using GNN to track reconstruction using beam dump experiments (Lu et al., 2023). The results showed that the GNN technique works much better than the traditional approach in a typical three-track situation with the visible decay mode, boosting the three-track reconstruction efficiency by up to 88% in the low mass zone.

An approach to optimize the weighted sum rate (WSR) of a reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS)-aided downlink multiuser multiple input–single output (MISO) system has been presented earlier (Jin et al., 2024). The algorithm relies on weighted minimum MSE optimization and power iteration. The researchers created a DL approach with trainable variables and GNNs to accelerate the convergence of the suggested method and further enhance its performance.

A previous work talked about an autoencoder (AE) NN-based intelligent hybrid beamforming (HB)z design approach (Tao et al., 2020) to solve the nonconvex optimization problem of designing digital and analog beamformers together, which is caused by the hardware limitations of analog shifter arrays. The authors solved the initial nonconvex optimization issue and translated it into a NN training

Unedited

process by mapping the HB system to an AE NN. The NN's training procedure might automatically create the beamformer and the combiner. Additionally, they covered the selection of hyperparameters and offered guidelines for the HB design of the AE NN.

Principal component analysis (PCA) can efficiently estimate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a high-dimensional dataset. A method for evaluating and tracking the channel parameters for 2D MIMO systems with uniform planar arrays (UPAs) of antennas has been proposed (Wang et al., 2019). Numerical simulations confirm that the suggested technique, which applies the adaptive PCA method, has minimal complexity and excellent accuracy for tracking and estimating. The problem of joint user–cell association and the selection of several beams to maximize the aggregate network capacity has been addressed (Elsayed et al., 2021). The paper proposed three ML-based algorithms: Q-learning, Transfer Q-learning (TQL), and Best SINR association with Density-based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (BSDC) algorithms. In the mobility scenario, TQL and Q-learning outperformed BSDC by 12% in terms of rate. Q-learning and and BSDC performed better in stationary circumstances than TQL; however, TQL achieved a roughly 29% speedup in convergence.

Beam tracking is essential in modern wireless communication, particularly in high-frequency bands such as the mmWave and terahertz frequencies. The practical implementation of beam tracking necessitates several requirements and encounters numerous obstacles to providing reliable and effective communication. These challenges include high mobility, latency constraints, channel dynamics and blockages, hardware limitations, power consumption, complexity in multiple scenarios, and environmental sensitivity. Therefore, beam tracking needs advanced algorithms that can quickly adapt to changing environments, leveraging ML and RL to predict and adjust beams dynamically. Moreover, the demand for techniques that minimize the time required for beam adjustments, such as parallel processing or faster hardware implementations, is essential for real-time applications.

In summary, effective beam tracking in practical scenarios involves a combination of advanced algorithms, low-latency processing, efficient power management, and robust hardware solutions. Addressing these challenges is critical to enabling the next generation of high-speed, reliable wireless communication systems. In this paper, we examine the beam-tracking techniques utilized in real-world scenarios and elucidate the benefits and drawbacks of each method, providing a foundation for future research to comprehend beam tracking in mmWave and terahertz communications.

The main points covered in this paper can be summarized as follows:

- This study comprehensively reviews different beam-tracking techniques used in mmWave communications and compares various beam-tracking methods in terms of performance and suitability for mmWave communications. Further, it evaluates conventional tracking techniques (LMS, RLS, and Kalman filter [KF]) and explains why they are less effective in the mmWave band due to higher frequency and shorter coherence time.
- This study recommends improved tracking strategies better suited for mmWave vehicular communications.

1.2 Organization of paper

We organize the remaining sections of this paper as follows. Section 2 presents the challenges of mmWave bands, while Section 3 delves into the fundamental principles of the beam-tracking technique. Section 4 describes the beam-tracking techniques and the most important algorithms used in beam-tracking techniques. Section 5 provides suggestions and recommendations, while Section 6 concludes the paper. Fig. S3 demonstrates the general paper structure.

2 Challenges for mmWave bands in different scenarios

MmWave bands offer significant advantages for modern wireless communication systems because they support high data rates, a larger bandwidth, and reduced congestion. However, several challenges are associated with the use of mmWave bands in different application scenarios. The unique features of mmWave signals, such as their power loss in free space, easy absorption by the atmosphere, and lim-

Unedited

ited travel distance, primarily cause these problems. Below is a list of challenges associated with mmWave bands across various application scenarios.

2.1 High path loss

One finds a significant propagation loss when comparing mmWave communications to other communication systems with lower carrier frequencies. Rain attenuation and atmospheric and molecular absorption features limit the mmWave communication range (Niu et al., 2015). The wavelength's reciprocal square root influences the rise in accessible space route loss. When using isotropic propagation, mmWavelength frequencies cause substantial route losses and reduced communication range. The increasing diffraction and penetration losses make the reflected and diffused signals more critical. Permeation losses in building materials range from a few decibels (dB) to > 40 dB (Mohamed et al., 2019). In addition, there is a frequency-dependent absorption by the atmosphere; for example, the oxygen absorption in the 60 GHz band peaks at 15–30 dB/km. However, raindrops scatter radio signals because their size resembles the size of radio wavelengths (Khan and Pi, 2011). Rappaport et al. Rappaport et al. (2013) carried out a 28 GHz urban propagation study in New York City, with a range of 75–125 m between the transmitter (Tx) and the receiver (Rx). The findings indicated that out of all the measurements made in New York City, the LOS path loss exponent is 2.55. In the non-LOS (NLOS) scenario, the average route loss exponent is 5.76. Additionally, they carried out an outage study in Manhattan, New York, which involved measuring propagation at 28 GHz using steerable beam antennas for outdoor cellular communications in New York City. Most failures occurred at distances of > 200 m from the TX, and 57% of the sites had obstruction-related outages. The Rx obtained a signal in all situations within 200 m distance. Rappaport et al. Rappaport et al. (2012) carried out 38 GHz cellular propagation measurements at the main campus of the University of Texas in Austin, Texas. For the 25 dBi horn antennas, the measured LOS path loss exponent was 2.30, while the NLOS path loss exponent was 3.86. A lower antenna gain has been demonstrated to result in a more extensive delay in the root mean square (RMS) value. An outage study reveals that lower-altitude bases provide superior close-in coverage, with most outages occurring at distances > 200 m from the base stations. Additionally, the results indicate that the AoAs are primarily found when the Rx azimuth angle falls between –20° and +20° with reference to the foresight.

2.2 Sensitivity to blockage

When faced with obstructions much bigger than the wave's wavelength, electromagnetic waves are weaker at diffraction around these obstacles Niu et al. (2015).Because mmWave signals have a tiny wavelength, they cannot pass through most solid things. Thus, connections operating in the 60 GHz band are susceptible to obstruction by objects such as people and furniture. For instance, a human obstruction costs the connection budget 20–30 dB Singh et al. (2009). Certain materials, such as those used for constructing external brick walls, significantly reduce penetration. The development of small cells and dispersed interior antennas is driven by the wall insulation effects, which inhibit outside base stations from covering indoor users Giordani et al. (2017). Therefore, the channel may appear or vanish quickly depending on the movement of reflectors and barriers and changes in the handset's orientation in relation to a body or a hand. According to recent research, path loss in LOS propagation can rise to 20 dB/10 years with increasing Tx and Rx distances. However, it can also be reduced to 40 dB/10 years with an extra blockage loss of 15 dB for nonvisibility Mohamed et al. (2019).

2.3 Beam directivity

Most transmissions in vehicle to everything (V2X) communication technologies today are omnidirectional, although BF or other-directed broadcasts can be carried out if a physical link has been established between the nodes. However, as previously established, isotropic transmission at mmWave frequencies results in significant path loss. Typically, BF gain directs mmWave lines to address this issue. Nonetheless, there is a substantial chance of deafness because of beam misalignment in directional connections, as they may need exact alignment of the Tx and Rx beams Giordani et al. (2017). Several experiments have determined mmWaves' properties, the effects of high free space propagation losses at these frequencies, and the high noise levels due to

Unedited

the larger bandwidths. The outcome needs higher SNRs unless directional antenna arrays, BF technology, and small-cell deployment are used Mohamed et al. (2019). It is possible to build electronically steerable antenna arrays as circuit board metal patterns. The antenna array then electronically directs its beam in any direction, achieving a high gain in one direction while providing a meager gain in all other directions by adjusting the phase of the signal broadcast by each antenna element. Several beam-training algorithms have been developed to shorten the necessary beam-training period to get the Tx and Rx to direct their beams toward each other Mollel et al. (2021); Hassan et al. (2020); Niu et al. (2015).

2.4 Atmospheric absorption

MmWave signals are highly susceptible to atmospheric absorption, especially by rain, fog, snow, and water vapor. Weather conditions affect mmWave communication more than lower frequency bands, as absorption increases with frequency. Weather phenomena such as rain and fog can severely degrade the signal strength, leading to increased attenuation and higher error rates, especially in outdoor environments Banday et al. (2019). In some cases, it can result in a total loss of communication. Adaptive modulation and coding schemes, which adjust the data transmission rate based on environmental conditions, can help mitigate some of these effects. Using hybrid systems that combine mmWaves with lower-frequency bands (e.g., sub-6 GHz) can also ensure more reliable communication during adverse weather Han and Duan (2019).

2.5 Limited coverage range

The high path loss also limits the effective coverage range of mmWave signals. Unlike lower frequency bands (e.g., sub-6 GHz), which can travel further with fewer obstacles, mmWave signals are much more sensitive to distance. In urban or large rural environments, mmWave signals may only cover small distances, requiring the deployment of many small cells or relay stations to maintain seamless coverage Rappaport et al. (2013). This increases both operational and capital expenditures. Dense cell deployment, small cell networks, and technologies such as mmWave BF and massive MIMO are key strategies to extend coverage and improve range Zhang et al. (2019).

2.6 Multipath propagation

Multipath propagation refers to the phenomenon where a transmitted signal arrives at the Rx via multiple paths, typically due to reflections from objects such as buildings, vehicles, or the ground. At mmWave frequencies, multipath can occur more frequently due to the high sensitivity of the signal to reflectors. In specific scenarios such as BF and spatial diversity, multipath can be helpful. However, multipath can also result in interference, mainly when signals arrive out of phase, leading to destructive interference. This can result in signal degradation, especially in dense urban environments with many reflective surfaces Rappaport et al. (2017). Massive MIMO, which uses large antenna arrays to direct and focus beams more precisely, can help manage multipath interference. Additionally, advanced signal-processing techniques, including equalization and interference cancelation, can be used to improve signal quality Molisch et al. (2016).

2.7 LOS dependency

MmWave communication typically requires a clear LOS between the Tx and the Rx. Due to their high frequency, mmWaves do not penetrate obstacles easily and are more susceptible to attenuation and blockage in NLOS situations. Maintaining LOS in practical urban or indoor environments can be difficult due to walls, floors, or other obstructions. This results in decreased signal quality or even complete loss of connection in certain circumstances. Advanced BF and relaying techniques can overcome the LOS requirement and establish NLOS communication by reflecting the signal via various paths. In some cases, hybrid systems that combine mmWave with sub-6 GHz frequencies can provide fallback coverage in NLOS scenarios Rangan et al. (2014).

3 Fundamental principles of the beam-tracking technique

This section explains the fundamental principles of the beam-tracking technique. These principles form the foundation for designing and implementing robust beam-tracking systems in modern wireless networks, which are as follows:

Unedited

1. Beam Alignment: The alignment of the Tx and the Rx beams to maximize the effective channel gain necessitates real-time adjustments due to mobility, blockage, or environmental dynamics.
2. Direction of Arrival (DoA) Estimation: It determines the angle at which the signal arrives at the Rx. Accurate DoA estimation underpins efficient beam alignment.
3. BF Codebooks: It defines a set of predesigned beam patterns that cover the spatial area. Beam tracking often involves searching for the optimal beam pattern within this codebook. Larger codebooks provide finer resolution but require more computation and search time.
4. Feedback Mechanisms: It involves two types: closed-loop systems and open-loop systems. In closed-loop systems, the Rx reports optimal beam indices or other metrics to the Tx. In open-loop systems, the Rx predicts or preconfigures beams without real-time feedback. Feedback increases overhead but improves tracking precision.
5. Adaptive Algorithms: User mobility, environmental changes, or obstacles trigger beam adaptation. Methods such as HBF combine ABF and DBF techniques for greater adaptability.

3.1 Problem of beam tracking

Before explaining the beam-tracking techniques, we must illustrate the problem. Beam tracking in mmWave for vehicular communications presents a unique challenge due to the high level of movement and the rapidly changing environment. The vehicle's movement increases tracking errors, leading to tracking failures. The vehicular communications' short coherence time imposes stringent time constraints on beam tracking at mmWave frequencies. The beam-tracking problem for mmWave bands can be expressed as an optimization problem in the following way:

$$\min_{\theta} |e(\hat{\theta})|^2$$

s.t.

$$\frac{-\theta_{3dB}}{2} \leqslant \hat{\theta} \leqslant \frac{\theta_{3dB}}{2}$$

$$T_c \geq \tau$$

where τ represents the user's required time to be within θ_{3dB}.

$e(\hat{\theta}) = |y(\theta) - \hat{y}(\hat{\theta})|$ where $e(\hat{\theta})$ refers to the error of tracking, $y(\theta)$ indicates the actual measurements or current observation, and $\hat{y}(\hat{\theta})$ means the predicted measurements using the model $(A \times x + n)$. Fig. S4 illustrates the θ_{3dB} constraint.

4 Beam-tracking techniques

Beam tracking, a CSI estimation technique, maintains beam alignment over time in high-mobility scenarios. It considers the previous information, whereby the CSI estimation updates recursively by utilizing the prior and present estimated CSI (Han et al., 2019; Anooz and Pourrostam, 2023). In practical MIMO channels, there is a time correlation between the channel realizations, and when the channel is temporally correlated, the current CSI depends on the prior CSI. Note that the prior CSI enhances the quality of current channel estimation with a high temporal correlation.

Because frequent beam training causes massive overhead, beam-tracking techniques reduce the overhead of beam training by utilizing only a small number of training beams to serve the user Lim et al. (2020). For highly dynamic environments, we still need to enhance the quality and robustness of beam tracking. Therefore, we summarize the present beam-tracking techniques and split them into two categories and four subcategories, as presented in Fig. S5.

4.1 Model-based schemes

Model-based schemes for beam tracking involve using a mathematical model of the wireless channel to predict the optimal direction for the antenna beam based on information about the location and movement of the Rx. One approach to model-based beam tracking is to use a physical model of the wireless channel, considering factors such as the location and orientation of the Tx and the Rx, the frequency of the wireless signal, and the user's velocity. Another approach is to use a statistical model of the wireless channel based on the signal strength and quality measurements at different points in the environment. The structure of the tracking slot is shown in Fig. S6. In this section, we review several beam-tracking strategies. As presented earlier Asi et al.

Unedited

(2021), the model is divided into 'Ray tracing' and 'Adaptive filter.'

4.1.1 Ray-tracing scenarios

This scenario includes several Txs and Rxs distributed in a specific outdoor or indoor environment. In this scenario, the Txs and Rxs are equipped with omni or quasi-omni antennas, and the simulation output includes channel parameters such as AoA, AoD, and path gain, among others. The Tx transmits a beam in all directions to acquire the channel response, enabling accurate capture of a 2D or 3D picture. Although these beams go in a straight path, they can also pass through various environmental materials or diffract, reflect, or scatter. Note that these beams are tracked to determine whether they can reach the Rx. The disadvantage of these methods is their high complexity Asi et al. (2021). There are different methods, and Table S1 recaps these techniques.

1. Beam tracking based on observation:

   An observation method is a feedback-based approach for beam tracking in which the Rx feeds the estimated BF vector to the Tx Bae et al. (2017). Consequently, the Tx updates its BF vector based on the feedback. This method is mentioned in Table S2. The observation method is straightforward and requires minimal complexity for implementation. However, it requires feedback from the Rx, increasing the system overhead. It is also sensitive to feedback delay and quantization errors, which can affect the accuracy of the beam tracking. Therefore, the observation method is more suitable for scenarios where the channel changes slowly, with minor feedback delays and quantization errors. We use the multistage observation method for scenarios where the channel changes rapidly, and the feedback delay and quantization errors are significant. This method uses multiple stages to enhance the accuracy and robustness of beam tracking using a combination of observation-based and feedback-based approaches. Other than that, the main drawback of multistage channel estimation is the error propagation problem. If the estimated AoD/AoA pair is erroneous in any given period, it will undoubtedly lead to an error in every posterior estimation period. Furthermore, compounding this problem, a reduced SNR will result from the directivity gain loss for the beam patterns used at early times Kokshoorn et al. (2016).

2. ABP-based beam tracking:

   The ABP algorithm can be used as a beam-tracking technique to catch the angle variations and repair the steering direction beams toward it. It is used in literature by assuming a single-path channel or adopting single and multiple channels Zhu et al. (2017),Haykin (2013). Note that the ABP is a pair of beams used to estimate the AoA of the incoming signal and update the BF direction accordingly. The ABP comprises a primary beam and a secondary beam, with the former serving for data transmission and the latter for beam tracking.

   There are two types of ABPs: Auxiliary-Full (AF) and Auxiliary-Half (AH). Afterward, AH divides a uniform linear array (ULA) equally, forming two beams simultaneously at the Tx and the AoA for device detection. The discovery process generates the antenna's scan sequences. Moreover, AF scans the same sector twice in a time-division fashion using the entire antenna array to create one beam at a time at the Rx and the Tx. The AH uses two synchronous directional beams, while AF uses one at each slot time to search the region depending on the generated scan sequences Nawaz et al. (2020),Nawaz and Hassan (2020). The steps of this method are listed in Table S3.

3. Beam Tracking Using Adaptive Tracking with Stochastic Control (ATSC):

   ATSC adapts its tracking rate to the average angular change. Assume an mmWave communication system in which both the Txs and the Rxs use ABF and are outfitted with ULAs with omnidirectional antennas and a single RF chain Liu et al. (2021). The steps of this method are listed in Table S4. Fig. S6 shows the tracking slot structure.

4. Sequential adaptive (SA) and parallel adaptive (PA) beam-training methods:

   The SA and PA beam-training methods are distinct approaches for adaptive beam training in

Unedited

wireless communication systems. The SA approach tracks a single optimal beam direction, while the PA tracks multiple optimal beam directions simultaneously. Both methods adjust the beam direction based on the RSS until they determine the optimal beam direction(s). On the other hand, PA can track multiple desired signals more efficiently than SA by adapting multiple beams in parallel. However, it requires more computational resources and hardware De Donno et al. (2017). The steps of these methods are listed in Table S5.

4.1.2 Adaptive filter schemes

The adaptive filter comes in handy when the signal's or the channel's unexpected dynamics shift over time. Several algorithms, such as LMS and RLS Asi et al. (2021), regulate how the adaptive filter functions. When random problems are present and only partial observations are available, the filtering challenge involves estimating the internal states of the mobile systems Dehkordi et al. (2021). Table S6 explains some of these techniques.

1. RLS algorithm:

   Beam tracking uses the RLS algorithm, which uses the least squares method. The main feature of RLS is that its convergence rate is faster than that of the standard LMS algorithm. However, RLS's excellent performance fails to handle sudden changes in the state, necessitating a reset to track the beam again. The RLS algorithm is quite costly in terms of computational complexity Haykin (2013). The forgetting factor (λ)'s parameter selection influences the algorithm's stability and convergence Al-Ibadi and E Mahmood (2022). Table S7 explains the basic RLS algorithm.

2. LMS algorithm:

   The LMS algorithm, sometimes called a stochastic gradient-based algorithm, is a type of adaptive filter that converges to the best Wiener solution using the gradient vectors of the filter weights. People commonly use it due to its ease of computation. Therefore, it is a good benchmark for judging all other adaptive filter algorithms. Further, its key qualities include ease of coding and resilience. The disadvantage of the LMS algorithm is that it is unsuitable for unstable systems because it has a fixed step size Asi et al. (2021). Since the input vector $x(k)$ drives the updating of the LMS algorithm weights, high values of $x(k)$ increase the likelihood of a gradient noise amplification issue. In addition, the LMS algorithm's convergence is somewhat sluggish Abualhayja'a and Hussein (2021). The LMS algorithm is often used in nonstationary environments because of its ease of use, resilience, and ability to track changes effectively. Even though the RLS method is more accurate in stationary conditions, it might become excessively complicated and unstable when the environment changes quickly. Although the tradeoffs between both algorithms vary depending on the application, the LMS is usually the superior option for nonstationary systems due to its smoother adaptability and lower computational burden Haykin (2013). Table S8 shows the basic LMS algorithm.

   The NLMS technique solves the gradient noise amplification problem, considerably boosting convergence rates. By making the step size adaptive to the status of the vector, the NLMS can work in a dynamic environment (unstable) as well as a fixed domain (static). The NLMS method's step size changes over time compared to the LMS algorithm. The weight corrector term is normalized based on the input vector weight's update norm Abualhayja'a and Hussein (2021).

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \frac{\mu}{||x_k||^2} \boldsymbol{e}_k^T \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}(x_k)}{\partial x_k} \tag{1}$$

   where μ denotes the AF step size, and $||x_k||^2$ indicates the normalized norm vector of x_k.

   Another form of the LMS algorithm is the Bi-LMS algorithm, which uses forward and backward LMS. As long as there is no sudden change in the channel characteristics, each new channel estimation and beam alignment is considered an additional initialization after the tracking period. This plan makes it possible to use the Bi-LMS algorithm, which is provided as follows Yapıcı (2018):

$$\hat{x}_{k+1}^F = \hat{x}_k^F + 2\mu \boldsymbol{e}_k^T \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}(\hat{x}_k^F)}{\partial x_k^F} \tag{2}$$

Unedited

$$\hat{x}_{k+1}^{B} = \hat{x}_{k}^{B} + 2\mu \boldsymbol{e}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}(\hat{x}_{k}^{B})}{\partial x_{k}^{B}} \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{x}_{k+1}^{F}$ and $\hat{x}_{k+1}^{B}$ denote the forward and backward guess of the unbeknown parameter vector x_k. Hence, the final guess is:

$$\hat{x}_{k} = \hat{x}_{k}^{F} + \hat{x}_{k}^{B} \quad (4)$$

We cannot use the Bi-LMS algorithm for beam tracking to determine whether we need to update the BF directions. This is because backward adjustments require the starting value of the unknown parameter vector at the end of the tracking interval. However, the innovative tracking duration can improve the estimations.

3. KF algorithm:

   The KF method is a recursive, linear, unbiased, and less-error-variance technique that finds the best estimate of the unknown state of a linear dynamic system from noisy data collected at discrete real-time intervals Zhang (1997). It is a set of mathematical formulas that provides an active recursive computing rate to minimize the MSE while estimating the state of an operation. KF assumes that the density at every step of time is Gaussian. Therefore, it is characterized by two parameters: the mean and the covariance SANJEEV et al. (2004).

   The KF algorithm has critical drawbacks in dynamic models, whereby it needs information, such as the model of transition of state, the process covariance, and observation noise, for these models Kim et al. (2020). The advantages of the KF algorithm are that it estimates the dynamic system state, even if its precise form is unknown, supporting estimations of past, present, and even future cases Zhang et al. (2016). Moreover, due to its extremely low computing complexity, it has drawn much interest in the mmWave field Liu et al. (2020). Table S9 presents the summary of the KF algorithm.

   The choice of the supposed covariance matrices Q, R, and P affects the performance of the estimation of a KF. Note that the selection of P affects the initial filter convergence. For simplicity, we often arbitrarily initialize the P effect to an identity matrix despite its unimportance. Even though their impacts are far more significant, Q and R affect the total performance of the filter; the output (measurement) equations and the state prediction equations are weighed differently by the noise covariances Q and R Rhudy et al. (2017).

4. Extended Kalman filter (EKF) algorithm:

   Nonlinear systems use the EKF for state estimation. The advantages of the EKF are that it has low complexity and good performance if the nonlinearity is moderate Va et al. (2016). To use the EKF method for beam tracking in vehicular communications, the state evaluation model and the observation expression are necessary components of the system model Shaham et al. (2020). Furthermore, the EKF uses the linearization approach Montella (2011) to rely on the linear KF to roughly convert the system filtering problem from a nonlinear one to a linear filtering problem.

   EKF aims to estimate the channel parameters using the measurement model iteratively and the observed signal. This strategy's computational complexity is excessive, necessitating numerous directed beams to perform omnidirectional scanning on the channel throughout the iterative process. It then adjusts the beam pointing depending on the scanning results to ensure the correctness of the measured value Liu et al. (2020). The EKF algorithm follows Table S10 Liu et al. (2019).

5. EKF with modified ABP:

   In this method, the choice of auxiliary beams and their associated measurement noise covariances R1 and R2 can significantly affect tracking accuracy. Generally, we should choose the auxiliary beams to obtain additional information about those beam parameters that the primary measurement alone cannot provide. Furthermore, their noise covariances should be set to reasonable values based on the expected measurement accuracy Kim et al. (2019). The summary of this technique is presented in Table S11.

6. Particle filter (PF):

   The PF, also known as the Monte Carlo (MC) method, is a sequential MC algorithm that

Unedited

solves recursive Bayesian integrals. The advantages of the PF are its simplicity, flexibility, and ease of dealing with non-Gaussian and multimodal system models. Moreover, the PF does sequential MC estimation using a point mass (particles) representation of the probability densities SANJEEV et al. (2004).

The beam tracking using a PF estimates the CSI (gain and angle) using the received signal z_k for every time slot. The overhead and increased complexity of massive MIMO systems and high-mobility channels are the drawbacks of PFs. Note that the PF is better than the KF methods because it works well in nonlinear cases if the nonlinearity is high Dehkordi et al. (2021). Table S12 illustrates the steps of the PF algorithm.

7. Unscented KF (UKF) algorithm:

   A UKF spreads sigma points. The sigma points accurately represent the mean and the covariance for the state distribution. They propagate through a nonlinear system, and their propagation calculates the posterior mean and covariance. We accomplish this by utilizing unscented transformation (UT). The UT computes the random variable statistics, which succumb to a nonlinear change through function evaluations Pasek and Kaniewski (2020).

   Furthermore, because the UT chooses the sigma points deterministically, it differs from the MC estimate. This method differs from the EKF algorithm, which follows a linear model. The advantages of UKF are that it is easy to implement, is more precise, and utilizes the same order of calculations. Furthermore, it does not require the system model's gradients Gustafsson and Hendeby (2012). Table S13 explains the UKF algorithm.

8. UKF with improved ABP Liu et al. (2020):

   In this method, the UKF first estimates the AoA/AoD, after which the improved ABP algorithm modifies the UKF algorithm and then the AoA/AoD. This technique efficiently increases the robustness of the same kind of algorithm while reducing the estimation error significantly Liu et al. (2020). The summary of this technique is presented in Table S14.

4.2 Data-based schemes

Beam tracking is used to solve the beam prediction problem by utilizing sequences of beams without the need for visual images of the scenery by having the Txs of the scenery data Srinivasan (2020). One method that shows promise for extracting data from the training history is ML, which can reduce the overhead of beam training by reducing the space of beam search in future training. There are offline and online learning ML approaches for beam-tracking purposes. The online learning trackers dynamically modify their models of appearance.

Meanwhile, offline learning trackers use pretrained appearance models from other datasets Wang et al. (2022). The beam-tracking techniques based on ML can be split into SL, USL, and RL approaches. Consequently, beam tracking based on ML makes the tracking policies more accurate and obviates the need to solve beam optimization problems periodically. The main advantages of ML over other techniques in beam tracking are that it captures the channel and environmental parameters well and has low mathematical complexity Burghal et al. (2019).

4.2.1 SL approach

This method works with labeled data. The SL models provide more precise outcomes relative to USL because the programmer explicitly instructs the machine on what to look for in the given data Naeem et al. (2023). The main feature of this technique is the capability to gather data or create the output of data from previous knowledge. The disadvantage of this technique is that it requires a high number of training samples in advance. However, gathering training data is frequently expensive Zhang et al. (2021). Table S15 illustrates the SL techniques used for beam management of mmWave wireless communications.

1. The neural network (NN) approach:

   This type depends on the deep SL (DSL) method. There are various DSL techniques, such as feedforward NNs (FNNs), convolutional NNs (CNNs), recurrent NNs (RNNs), and GNNs. In addition, the RNN class contains the long short-term memory (LSTM) and gated recurrent unit (GRUs) approaches.

   (a) FNN method

Unedited

The FNN, also known as a fully connected deep NN (fully connected DNN), is a type of artificial NN (ANN). It has more hidden layers that help it understand or recognize things better, as one can see in Fig. S7. Its features are the capacity to learn massive amounts of data and that it has good tools for modeling complex nonlinear systems. At the bottom, there is the input layer. In the figure, each node at the input layer denotes the number of inputs in the FNN. At the top, there is the output layer. The number of nodes indicates the number of outputs in the FNN. Some hidden layers in the middle of the FNN have a strong relationship with its design. FNN models nonlinear complex relations utilizing multiple hidden layers Lim et al. (2021). In the FNN, the neuron in the hidden layer performs a nonlinear transform known as the activation function. FNNs use different activation functions, such as the sigmoid function. The sigmoid function receives real numbers as input, while its output falls between zero and one. The curve of the sigmoid function is S-shaped and is defined as $f_{(x)_{sigmoid}} = \frac{1}{1+\exp^{-x}}$. The tanh function is like a sigmoid, while its output is between '–1' and '1' and is represented as $f_{(x)_{tanh}} = \frac{\exp^{x}-\exp^{-x}}{\exp^{x}+\exp^{-x}}$, and the rectifier linear unit (ReLU) function is defined as $f_{(x)_{ReLU}} = \max(0, x)$. It transforms all input values into positive numbers. The ReLU's features include fewer data requirements, rapid convergence, and sparse activation, all essential for short-time response systems such as wireless communications systems. Thus, the FNN output Z is a combination of nonlinear transformations of the input data I, which is expressed as follows Wang et al. (2021):

$$z = f_{(I)} = f^{(L-1)}(f^{(L-2)}(...f^{(1)}(I))) \quad (5)$$

where L denotes the layers' number; in FNN, we must optimize the neurons during the training process. Usually, the number of hidden layers and nodes in FNN is large. Therefore, it becomes more complex. Table S16 explains the FNN algorithm for AoA and DoA prediction.

(b) CNN method

CNN is a DSL approach with the advantage of its excellent performance in task classification. CNNs are more robust than RNNs because RNNs contain fewer advantages than CNNs. The disadvantage of the CNN DL model is that the broad beam training imposes a significant overhead. The CNN strategy consists of three phases: the training phase, the prediction phase, and the training beam, which divides the data group into a training group and a test group. We train the classifier using the training group and verify the DL model's performance using the test group. We obtain a well-trained DL model by optimizing the parameters. In the prediction phase, the trained DL model classifies and predicts the beams to get the best pair. After finishing the work of the two stages, the training model is used for selecting the actual beam Zhang et al. (2022). Different popular CNN architectures, such as the LeNet-5, focus on digit recognition. AlexNet pioneered DL success in large-scale image classification tasks Krizhevsky et al. (2017). Visual geometry group network (VGGNet) emphasized depth by stacking small (3×3) convolution filters Simonyan and Zisserman (2015). Residual neural network (ResNet) revolutionized DL by solving vanishing gradient problems in DNNs He et al. (2015). Efficient Net scales networks efficiently by balancing width, depth, and resolution Tan and Le (2019).

(c) RNN method

The RNN exploits the correlation time between the measurement data and updates the channel states using some memory. Applications containing temporal delays of signals, such as speech processing, process control, non-Markovian control Hochreiter (1998), and translation, use the RNN. The RNN's disadvantage is its sensitivity to the vanishing and exploding gradient. In other words, during the training operation,

Unedited

the multiplication of various small or large derivatives causes the steepest one to explode or decay, a condition known as short-term memory (STM). When the network logs a new input, it loses its sensitivity over time because it no longer remembers the previous ones. LSTM solves this problem. Fig. S8 shows the RNN architecture.

i. LSTM network
The LSTM is a new structure with a suitable gradient-based learning algorithm Guo (2013). LSTM networks facilitate the generation of large recurrent networks, addressing time-series data issues in ML and delivering the latest results. The training of the LSTM networks uses the backpropagation through time method, which makes it possible to overcome the vanishing gradient problem. Instead of neurons, the LSTM networks contain memory blocks called cell states connected by layers.
Each block comprises three gates that regulate its output and state, thereby shaping the memory state for the current sequences. Additionally, the LSTM governs the resolution of the gates, which in turn provides the activation function for the input values. Based on previous channel estimates and signals from the inertial measurement unit (IMU), the LSTM predicts the distribution of the AoA and AoD states during the current beam transmission cycle.
Two main beam-tracking processes use this distribution. First, the current cycle of beam transmission uses the distribution of AoA and AoD to determine the sounding beams of Rx and Tx. Second, based on previous information, we use the predicted channel distribution to update the channel estimation. The observed signal z at frame K serves as the sequence of input data for the LSTM, which we refer to as input features. The network uses these input features to train under an SL structure and estimate the future state of the user equipment. Typically, we use the MSE to determine errors. The disadvantages of the LSTM technique are the complexity of the structure and the fact that it takes a long time to train Jeong et al. (2021). Another form of the LSTM algorithm is the Bi-LSTM algorithm, which uses forward and backward LSTM. An example of training of the LSTM model is shown in Fig. S9, which shows the block diagram of the LSTM technique. At time slot t, , the inputs of the LSTM technique are x_t and the hidden state of the previous time slot h_{t-1}. After the computation of the outputs, the cell state c_t and the hidden state h_t are updated depending on Eqs 6-12. Then, the hidden state h_t feeds off the LSTM model at the posterior time step and the cell state c_t. The learning is done by updating every weight repeatedly to reduce the loss function, $l(y_t, \overline{y}_t)$, which punishes the distance between the target $\overline{y}_t$ and the output y_t. The following recursive equations update the information in the cell state when the input sequence enters the LSTM Guo et al. (2019):

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_t = \phi(\boldsymbol{W}_{cx}\boldsymbol{X}_t + \boldsymbol{W}_{ch}\boldsymbol{h}_{(t-1)} + \boldsymbol{b}_c) \quad (6)$$

$$\boldsymbol{i}_t = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_{ix}\boldsymbol{X}_t + \boldsymbol{W}_{ih}\boldsymbol{h}_{(t-1)} + \boldsymbol{b}_i) \quad (7)$$

$$\boldsymbol{k}_t = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_{kx}\boldsymbol{X}_t + \boldsymbol{W}_{kh}\boldsymbol{h}_{(t-1)} + \boldsymbol{b}_k) \quad (8)$$

$$\boldsymbol{o}_t = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_{ox}\boldsymbol{X}_t + \boldsymbol{W}_{oh}\boldsymbol{h}_{(t-1)} + \boldsymbol{b}_o) \quad (9)$$

$$\boldsymbol{c}_t = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_t \odot \boldsymbol{i}_t + \boldsymbol{c}_{(t-1)} \odot \boldsymbol{k}_t \quad (10)$$

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = tanh(\boldsymbol{c}_t) \odot \boldsymbol{o}_t \quad (11)$$

$$\boldsymbol{y}_t = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_t\boldsymbol{h}_t) \quad (12)$$

where $\boldsymbol{W}_{cx}$, $\boldsymbol{W}_{ch}$, $\boldsymbol{W}_{ix}$, $\boldsymbol{W}_{ih}$, $\boldsymbol{W}_{kx}$, $\boldsymbol{W}_{kh}$, $\boldsymbol{W}_{ox}$, $\boldsymbol{W}_{oh}$ refer to the weighted matrices for the linear transformation; $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_t$ denotes the intermedial vector for cell state; $\boldsymbol{c}_t$, $\boldsymbol{b}_c$, $\boldsymbol{b}_i$, $\boldsymbol{b}_k$, $\boldsymbol{b}_o$ indicate the bias vectors; $\sigma(.)$ and $\phi(.)$ refer to the sigmoid functions for each gate; and $\odot$ shows the elementwise multiplication.

Unedited

For the channel-tracking system, the present channel estimation at the base station $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_t$ will be the input of the LSTM. The estimated channel for the posterior time slot $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{(t+1)}$ will be the required output of the current time, which agrees with x_t and the desired output $\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_t$ in the LSTM model, respectively. This training process will discard a significant amount of past useless information and continuously improve the prediction results based on advanced memory. The LSTM output will be the predicted vector $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{(t+1)}$ of the channel, and the difference between it and the actual channel vector at the posterior time $\boldsymbol{h}_{(t+1)}$ is negligible.

ii. The GRU network

The GRU model is a facilitated version of the LSTM model, which minimizes the complexity of the RNN and solves traffic problems. Every recurrent unit uses a GRU to pick dependencies for different time scales adaptively. Similar to the LSTM unit, the GRU has gates that adjust the unit's information flow without having a separate memory cell. Fig. S10 illustrates the GRU network structure. The GRU has two gates. The first gate is for updating, which controls and updates the present cell content with the new candidate cell state. The second gate is for resetting, which rests on the closed cell's memory. The state equations of the GRU are as follows Mazin et al. (2018): 1. Reset gate equation:

$$\boldsymbol{r}_t = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_r \boldsymbol{h}_{(t-1)} + \boldsymbol{R}_r x_{(t)} + \boldsymbol{b}_r) \quad (13)$$

2. Current state equation:

$$\boldsymbol{h}'_t = \boldsymbol{h}_{(t-1)} \odot \boldsymbol{r}_t \quad (14)$$

3. Candidate state equation:

$$\boldsymbol{z}_t = g(\boldsymbol{W}_z \boldsymbol{h}'_{(t-1)} + \boldsymbol{R}_z x_{(t)} + \boldsymbol{b}_z) \quad (15)$$

4. Update gate equation:

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}_u \boldsymbol{h}_{(t-1)} + \boldsymbol{R}_u x_{(t)} + \boldsymbol{b}_u) \quad (16)$$

5. New state equation:

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = (1 - \boldsymbol{u}_t) \odot \boldsymbol{h}_{(t-1)} + \boldsymbol{u}_t \odot \boldsymbol{z}_t) \quad (17)$$

where $g(.)$ is a nonlinear function implemented using the hyperbolic tangent, σ denotes the logistic sigmoid, $\boldsymbol{W}_r$, $\boldsymbol{W}_z$, and $\boldsymbol{W}_u$ indicate the weight matrices, which are set to input $x_{(t)}$, $\boldsymbol{R}_r$, $\boldsymbol{R}_z$, and $\boldsymbol{R}_u$ are square matrices that determine the weights of the recurrent connection, $\boldsymbol{b}_r$, $\boldsymbol{b}_z$, and $\boldsymbol{b}_u$ denote the bias vectors, and $\odot$ distinguishes the Hadamard product. Next, we use the MSE to minimize the loss function. The MSE measures the model output and how much it matches the genuine output signals. Another form of the GRU algorithm is the Bi-GRU algorithm, which uses forward and backward GRU.

(d) GNN method

A set of models for reasoning about precisely organized data, particularly graphs, are called GNNs. A graph comprises distinct vertices representing objects or things, potentially connected by edges indicating connections. In particular, the graphs fed into a GNN (during training and testing) do not have to follow strict structural rules. The number of vertices and edges between the graphs can differ because it can handle unstructured data and non-Euclidean data types. The popularity of GNNs in AI has lately increased Ward et al. (2022). The graph-structured topology of wireless networks makes GNNs better at approximating the intended-to-transmit design. This is especially true in large or complex networks. In addition, GNNs may be immediately extended to other network settings without requiring retraining, a significant aspect of the practice Li et al. (2024). GNNs are superior to traditional NNs primarily because of their extraordinary ability to generalize to other networks and configurations unseen during training. This capability is essential to implementing workable data-driven networking solutions. Despite being a straightfor-

Unedited

ward method for handling generic graphs, GNNs have some drawbacks. Specifically, the shared transition function implies extracting features using the same weights in subsequent iterations, which may not be optimal for DL scenarios wherein the relationships between high-level features (later in the network) differ from those of low-level features (earlier in the network). Furthermore, GNNs have variable-length encoding networks because they iterate until convergence, which might complicate implementation Ward et al. (2022). Graph convolutional networks (GCNs), graph attention networks (GATs), and message-passing NNs (MPNNs) are the foundations of the most-often-used GNN models in communication networks.

2. Support vector method (SVM):

   SVM is an SL method for two-group classification issues. The theory of SVMs, initially presented by Vapnik, is based on structural risk reduction. It has been used for several communication challenges, including multiuser detection Chen et al. (2001) and blind equalization/identification (Santamaria et al., 2004; Gaudes et al., 2007). The SVM technique works incredibly well to overcome intersymbol interference (ISI) and cochannel interference because SVM was born out of identifying the optimal linear decision boundaries (hyperplane) to separate two classes maximally Chen et al. (2001). The strong generalization abilities of SVMs lead to an overestimation of the channel order. Moreover, this method can function under conditions of low diversity Santamaria et al. (2004).

4.2.2 The USL-based approach

USL approaches make the analysis of raw datasets more accessible and aid in producing analytical insights from unlabeled data. New advances in factor analysis, latent models, hierarchical learning, clustering methods, and finding outliers have made a big difference in the state of the art in USL Usama et al. (2019). The USL finds patterns in datasets that contain unstructured or unlabeled data elements. Using this learning method, an AI system receives only the input data, not the corresponding output data. USL algorithms outperform SL techniques when handling complex jobs because intelligent machines need to be able to draw (independent) inferences from massive volumes of unlabeled data Naeem et al. (2023). Table S17 explains the USL methods used for beam management of mmWave wireless communications.

1. Dimensionality reduction (DR):

   In fields such as multimedia data analysis and bioinformatics, challenges involving data objects with many properties are becoming increasingly common. In these cases, it is frequently advantageous to lower the data's dimension—that is, to characterize it using fewer features—to increase the effectiveness and precision of data analysis Fodor (2002). The problems for which DR is necessary are when the explanatory variable numbers exceed (occasionally greatly exceed) the sample numbers. We often use DR strategies as a preprocessing or analytical step to simplify the data model. Finding an appropriate low-dimensional representation for the initial high-dimensional data collection is usually required. Tasks such as classification and clustering may frequently provide more accurate and understandable results when dealing with this simplified representation, and processing costs may also be significantly decreased Cord and Cunningham (2008). Depending on the data reduction method (projection), we can classify the DR algorithms into linear and nonlinear.

   (a) Linear projection

   It is simply a projection from a high-dimensional space to a lower-dimensional space. Therefore, it can only do linear DR Shah and Rangan (2022).

   i. Principal component analysis (PCA)

   PCA is a linear projection method, a method for showing the whole picture. PCA learns a set of features called principal components, which are linearly uncorrelated and show the different levels of the original data sample Kasun et al. (2016). It is a fast-computational method for exploration and comparison. Beam-tracking tech-

Unedited

niques utilize PCA for data visualization, noise reduction, and feature extraction.

The main advantage of PCA is its ability to compress further patterns identified in the data while not significantly sacrificing information by lowering the number of dimensions. This makes it an extremely effective method for image compression. It guides how to reduce a complex dataset to a lower dimension with a little more work to reveal the frequently hidden, more straightforward structure underneath. PCA has traditionally been viewed as a "black box" technique, although it is an effective tool for lowering dimensions and revealing correlations among complicated data items Jolliffe (2002). It is laborious for the user to comprehend the underlying relation from the features that are extracted Dash et al. (1997) only because of the coordinate transformation from the original data space into eigenspace. It is also referred to as the empirical orthogonal function (EOF) technique, the Hotelling transform, the Karhunen–Loève transform, and the singular value decomposition (SVD) in some other domains Dash et al. (1997).

(b) Nonlinear projection

Compared to linear approaches such as PCA, nonlinear DR (NLDR) methods are more effective in maintaining local neighborhood information in the data. In addition to preserving the data's global structure, NLDR techniques seek to retain the data's local structure Shalal et al. (2023). Nonetheless, the quantity of chosen neighbors significantly influences the upheld structure and must be selected carefully.

i. AE method

The AE is an USL DR technique that compresses (encodes) big-dimensional input data to create smaller-dimensional data. This method can be integrated with another DL method for beam tracking, as shown previously Shah and Rangan (2022). Finding the low-dimensional feature representations that can most accurately recreate the original data is the goal of an AE. An AE does not distinguish between data and treats each sample uniformly because it makes a single transformation matrix for embedding all the data Zhang et al. (2018). AE uses an algorithm that produces output data identical to the input data. If the input layer neurons are more significant than the hidden layer neurons, the AE performs DR. Nonlinear AE learns nonlinear features.

In contrast, linear AE learns variance information in a manner akin to PCA Kasun et al. (2016). An AE with identical weights for the input and output layers is known as a tied-weight AE (TAE). RL typically does not directly incorporate DR, but it can include AEs in a system for tasks that benefit from feature learning or DR. For instance, we can use AE in an RL context to preprocess high-dimensional input data, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of the learning process.

4.2.3 RL approach

RL is a subfield of DL in which the device interacts with the environment to achieve a goal, and learning occurs through successive interactions. The RL agent learns by experience and takes actions based on the environment, which causes changes in the environment's state, receiving a reward for the action taken to measure the success or failure of the movement AlMahamid and Grolinger (2022).

The agent follows instructions and gathers information from the environment, the present state, and the reward to assess whether it has achieved its goal. The reward is a scalar feedback signal, which the agent always aims to maximize. Fig. S11 explains the RL technique structure. In real life, Markov decision processes (MDPs) formally describe how people interact with their surroundings using a tuple with four parts: states, actions, transition probabilities, and a reward function Elsayed et al. (2021).

Unedited

The problem with RL is that it involves the direct mode of learning from the interaction between a decision-maker (i.e., an agent) and its environment Elsayed et al. (2021). There are three categories of RL schemes: model-based, model-free, and policy-based algorithms. Table S18 shows the RL techniques used for beam management of mmWave wireless communications. Some works of literature integrate the RL techniques with other beam-tracking methods to obtain excellent performance for mmWave wireless communications beam tracking. Table S19 shows some of this literature.

1. Model- based RL

   Model-based RL algorithms require an accurate depiction of the environmental dynamics in terms of the distribution of state-transition probability. These schemes calculate the best policy by solving system equations. In model-based RL, the agent has access to a model of the environment that describes the transition dynamics and reward function Foster et al. (2000).

   (a) Dynamic programming (DP) method

   When the model is known, a value-iterative technique based on DP may efficiently update value functions after each strategy step Yu et al. (2018). On the other hand, it is a general method for recursively solving combinatorial optimization issues on smaller sets Mensch and Blondel (2018). The advantages of DP include its durability against movements, sensor jitter, and impulsive noise, as well as its sensitivity to weak targets. This unique technique combines target tracking and identification into a straightforward optimization process that accounts for clutter, background noise, and statistical models of target motion. DP is a technique that systematically breaks down significant structured combinatorial problems into smaller subproblems to solve them. Many DP algorithms are not differentiable, so they cannot be used as backpropagation-trained NN layers Mensch and Blondel (2018), even though they are flexible. The disadvantage of DP algorithms is their slowness and high memory requirements Vodopivec et al. (2017).

2. Model–free RL

   Model-free RL mechanisms come into play when the model lacks an accurate description or possesses a more complex solution. These algorithms react with the environment directly, utilizing trial-and-error schemes to learn the best policy, which means learning directly from experience without explicitly building a model of the environment; therefore, they are used when dealing with sequential decision-making control with unknown environmental information Jiang et al. (2019). Although model-free RL can yield a workable solution based on responses to the surroundings, its accuracy is reduced without DNN model knowledge Shen et al. (2021).

   (a) MC method

   The MC RL technique considers sample trajectories, which helps it overcome the challenges posed by the unknown model estimate. This approach could be less efficient than the DP method because it only updates the strategy's value estimate after completing a sample trajectory Yu et al. (2018). The MC algorithm gathers experience from taking samplings of the search space without needing any environment model Vodopivec et al. (2017).

   (b) Temporal difference (TD) method

   TD learning Foster et al. (2000) integrates DP and MC algorithms for more active model-free learning Yu et al. (2018). Because of the need for many training samples of experience, often gathered by a trial-and-error process across many iterations, TD approaches, such as Q-learning, need to improve their convergence Lillicrap et al. (2016). Therefore, the main driving force behind transfer learning in TD techniques is to shorten the convergence time and minimize the number of samples required to understand the target task Elsayed et al. (2021). Although it raises bias and reduces evaluation variance, learning performance is often improved Vodopivec et al. (2017).

   i. Q-Learning

   QLearning is a model-free RL technique that lets an agent learn the best course of action and adjust to

Unedited

changing conditions without requiring any past information. It is appropriate in the event of a deterministic policy Chen et al. (2020), and it is an off-policy algorithm Han et al. (2022). The Q-learning table (a Q-table) documents the experience. This table has three components: states, actions, and state-action values (Q-values). This experience stored in the Q-table provides enough information to solve the prediction problem Chiang et al. (2021). The advantages of Q-learning are that it works well in dynamic contexts because it can adjust to nonstationary networks and changing channel circumstances. It can learn the optimum policies with little or no prior knowledge. It is a classic case of TD learning and solves the low-dimensional problem of discrete space Yu et al. (2018). It is used in various scenarios due to its ease of comprehension and implementation. One of the main drawbacks of Q-learning is that it is limited to distinct action–space contexts. Moreover, the other disadvantage is that hyperparameters such as learning rate, discount factor, and exploration rate can significantly affect Q-learning performance and, hence, need to be carefully adjusted. It may overfit the training set if appropriate regularization or exploration techniques are not used, resulting in subpar generalization to novel contexts. Q-learning could take a long time in real-time applications due to the need for many iterations before reaching the optimal policy.

ii. Deep Q network (DQN) method
DQN is an algorithm within the deep RL (DRL) algorithms that combines the DNN with the Q-learning algorithm Anschel et al. (2017). The Q function predicts the expected cumulative reward of choosing an action in a given state. By maximizing this expected cumulative reward, DQN learns to make judgments. The high-dimensional state and action spaces in beam tracking can be handled by DQN, which makes learning the ideal beam direction more effective. Moreover, the DQN is a flexible and stable algorithm that breaks the RL problem into sequential SL tasks Anschel et al. (2017). One of the disadvantages of this algorithm is that its performance may need to be carefully tuned because of its sensitivity to hyperparameters, including batch size, discount factor, learning rate, and network design. Furthermore, dealing with DNNs that may be complicated and need DL knowledge is a significant part of the implementation difficulty of DQN. While DQN improves the high-dimensional state space processing power, high-dimensional continuous action space remains beyond its capabilities Yu et al. (2018).

(c) State–action–reward–state–action (SARSA) method
Rummery and Nranjan Liao et al. (2020) introduced the model-free SARSA algorithm in 1994. It is an on-policy time difference technique in RL. It was first referred to as an enhanced Q-learning algorithm before being dubbed the SARSA learning algorithm. The SARSA algorithm performs better in reducing security risks from malevolent radio sources. The advantage of this algorithm is that it is an on-policy algorithm Han et al. (2022), which implies that it can constantly enhance its BF method when learning new information without needing to retrain. Another advantage of this algorithm is that it can learn immediately from environmental interactions. Therefore, it is particularly suitable when maintaining or acquiring accurate models, which is challenging. The conventional SARSA algorithm chooses a plan using the ε-greedyy strategy. When using the ε-greedyy technique, the cognitive user gradually approaches the ideal Q as the exploration process progresses.

Unedited

Large-scale investigation is not currently conducive to enhancing system efficiency and will result in excessive overheadLiao et al. (2020).

3. Policy-based RL

Model-based and model-free RL techniques treat the action and state variables as discrete, which can lead to quantization errors and disruption of the continuity of space. As a result, it is challenging to identify the best control strategy for the continuous variable problem. We can use policy-based models for the RL problem of constant variables Jiang et al. (2019). Their use is not limited to the deterministic policy scenario; it may also encompass stochastic policy scenarios Chen et al. (2020).

(a) Deep deterministic policy gradient (DDPG) method

We train the model in an easy-to-create virtual environment using DDPG, a DRL technique, to lessen its reliance on the sample data. It can also handle continuous input and continuous output model training, directly producing the control action and trajectory sequence. DDPG solves the challenge of constant state space and continuous action space Lillicrap et al. (2016) by combining the benefits of DQN with the actor–critic (AC) architecture. It implements a deterministic strategy to guarantee further that the network is more convergent. DDPG adopts the AC structure and the AC framework. Here, the actor component consists of the target and online policy networks, which use a deterministic policy to extract a specific action from the current state. The quality of action is measured in the critic section using the Bellman equation of the action–state function Q, which comprises an online Q network and a target Q network Yu et al. (2018).

(b) AC method

The AC algorithm is a component of policy-based RL. Its fundamental idea involves applying gradient updates to optimize the policy, thereby enabling direct learning of the general stochastic policy. As it is, the AC algorithm ensures that certain items in the state and action vectors will always exist. The critic evaluates the policy using the estimate function and directs the actor to update it using the policy gradient that it has assessed. The actor is used to produce random actions Jiang et al. (2019). Continuous action space can be handled using the AC approach. However, network convergence is hampered by the randomization strategy Yu et al. (2018).

Fig. S12 illustrates the performance of MSE and the time index. This work uses a straightforward RNN architecture based on the LSTM or Bi-LSTM as an ML model Anooz et al. (2024a). The RNN design comprises four layers, each having 128 nodes. ReLU activations are used in these layers. A dropout layer follows every layer to guarantee regularization and prevent the NN from becoming overfitting. Ultimately, there is only one fully connected layer in the network with ReLU activation. The ML model exhibits distinct performance compared to the EKF and LMS MSE results. The MSE of ML decreases with time, whereas the MSE of EKF and LMS increases because ML models, especially those using RNNs, persistently learn from and adjust to new data. Consequently, their predictions can improve over time, leading to a reduction in the MSE as accuracy increases with additional data Goodfellow and Bengio (2016). Consequently, ML is utilized in beam tracking to address the challenges associated with traditional methods. One concern is that the MSE in beam tracking for mmWave communications escalates with time with conventional methods. This is apparent in the MSE performance of EKF and LMS Anooz et al. (2024b). Based on the outcomes, ML surpasses EKF and LMS in mmWave communications, providing an effective means of monitoring a target over time with reduced errors. The EKF is based on the assumption that the system dynamics model is precise. Suppose the dynamics of the system fluctuate or alter. In that case, the performance of the EKF can decline over time due to its inability to adapt to changes not forecast accurately, increasing the MSE Simon (2006). The LMS algorithm is intended to adjust to a stable environment. Nevertheless, in the case that the statistical properties of the noise or signal fluctuate, the algorithm may

Unedited

struggle to adapt well over time, leading to an increase in the MSE due to LMS's persistent inability to enhance its model during the initial adaptation phase Haykin (2013). The figure indicates that the performance of Bi-LSTM surpasses that of LSTM because Bi-LSTM utilizes both forward and backward processing, resulting in superior performance compared to LSTM, which uses only forward processing. Table S20 showed the comparisons among these techniques.

5 Suggestions and Recommendations

Despite its limitations depicted in previous studies, the Gaussian mixture (GM) filter can be used in beam-tracking applications. A strong mathematical tool called the GM filter can show the probability density function (PDF) of variables with a complicated multimodal distribution (Hao and Shu-kui, 2019). Its accuracy depends on the complexity of the target distribution and the number of Gaussian distributions used in the mixture. Therefore, the specific application and signal characteristics may require algorithm tuning. Another competitor to the GM filter is the PF, but using many particles may result in a higher processing time. Apart from the role of tracking techniques, we also need to optimize the transmit antenna's location at the base station along the roadside. There is a need to enhance the height, position, and array orientation of the Tx in the ray-tracing process. The majority of studies and research on beam tracking have solely focused on tracking the AoD and AoA, with only a handful addressing the impact of velocity and distance on beam tracking. Therefore, researchers must study the effect of the Tx's height and position on beam tracking. Practical studies have shown that the maximum distance of the Rx from the Tx for receiving a good signal is up to (roughly) 200 m (Rappaport et al., 2013). Further, ML can help solve the beam-tracking problem, and many ML algorithms have been used in beam tracking. Applying ML in beam tracking requires estimating the channel coefficients only once. If there is a beam-tracking outage, the system can continue functioning as it relies on the stored channel coefficient data. These techniques can aid in reducing the beam-tracking time in mmWave vehicular communications, particularly during the short coherence time resulting from vehicles' high velocity. Scholarly investigations and studies are ongoing to better understand these trackers' performance in various settings. Though these algorithms have demonstrated encouraging outcomes, in specific scenarios—such as high-mobility vehicles—the availability of training data may make it more challenging to use them.

6 Conclusions

Beam-tracking techniques are essential for maintaining reliable communication links in mmWave mobile communication systems. Obstacles such as buildings, trees, and pedestrians easily block mmWave signals, necessitating these techniques. In this paper, we defined beam tracking and explained the fundamental principles of the beam-tracking technique. We summarized the significant beam-tracking techniques in mmWave communications recorded in the literature. Additionally, we proposed a few promising research gaps in this particular area of study. Given the literature presented above, we can claim that several approaches can achieve reliable and efficient beam tracking. These approaches include beam sweeping, beam refinement, and hybrid techniques that combine these approaches. Moreover, the effectiveness of these techniques depends on a range of factors, including the user's mobility, the complexity of the communication environment, and the base station's processing power. In general, hybrid techniques that combine beam sweeping and refinement offer the best trade-off between tracking accuracy and computational complexity. Moreover, based on the literature presented above, ML is superior to other methods in terms of reaction time, as the data are trained only once and can be refined online as new data become available. The direct multiplicative sum of the network weights and the data features can easily estimate the beam parameters. The main issue with this method is the amount of data used for training; the more data available for training, the more accurate the estimation result for the beam or channel will be. In the case of high-speed or rapid environmental changes, data-based techniques can estimate beam parameters without reestimating the channel, an essential advantage compared to other model-based methods. Some non-ML methods, such as the RLS technique, fail to trace the ray during rapid and sudden changes during the tracking

Unedited

process and require repeating the process from the beginning. In contrast, other methods can continue tracking at a lower-quality rate depending on the literature than data-based techniques.

Contributors

The authors confirm their contribution to the paper: study conception and design: Ruaa Shallal Abbas Anooz, Mohanad Al-Ibadi; data collection: Ruaa Shallal Abbas Anooz; analysis and interpretation of results: Ruaa Shallal Abbas Anooz, Jafar Pourrostam, Mohanad Al-Ibadi; manuscript preparation and revision: Ruaa Shallal Abbas Anooz, Jafar Pourrostam, Mohanad Al-Ibadi. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

Abualhayja'a M, Hussein M, 2021. Comparative study of adaptive beamforming algorithms for smart antenna applications. Int Conf on Communications, Signal Processing, and their Applications, p.1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCSPA49915.2021.9385725

Al-Ibadi M, E Mahmood F, 2022. Beam and channel tracking for 5g communication systems using adaptive filtering techniques: A comparison study. *J of Commun Softw and Sys*, 18(3):244-251. https://doi.org/10.24138/jcomss-2021-0117

Ali A, González-Prelcic N, Ghosh A, 2020. Passive radar at the roadside unit to configure millimeter wave vehicle-to-infrastructure links. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 69(12):14903-14917. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2020.3027636

AlMahamid F, Grolinger K, 2022. Autonomous unmanned aerial vehicle navigation using reinforcement learning: A systematic review. *Eng Appl Artif Intel*, 115:105321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2022.105321

Anooz RSA, Pourrostam J, 2023. Beam alignment techniques classifications for mm-wave communications: A short review. AIP Conf Proc, 2977(1).

Anooz RSA, Pourrostam J, Al-Ibadi M, 2024a. Adaptive filters versus machine learning based beam tracking techniques for millimeter-wave wireless communications systems. *IEEE Access*, 12:165878-165888.. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3491870

Anooz RSA, Pourrostam J, Al-Ibadi M, 2024b. Performance evaluation of 2d and 3d beam and channel tracking using adaptive filtering techniques. *Iran J Sci and Technol, Trans of Electr Eng*, 48(3):1361-1372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40998-024-00723-z

Anschel O, Baram N, Shimkin N, 2017. Averaged-dqn: Variance reduction and stabilization for deep reinforcement learning. Proc 34th Int Conf on Machine Learning - Volume 70, p.176-185.

Asi BA, Mohmood FE, AL-Ibadi MA, 2021. Beam tracking using least mean squares algorithm for single-cell massive mimo communication system. IOP Conf Ser: Mater Sci Eng, 1152:012004. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1152/1/012004

Bae J, Lim SH, Yoo JH, et al., 2017. New beam tracking technique for millimeter wave-band communications. https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.00276.

Banday Y, Mohammad Rather G, Begh GR, 2019. Effect of atmospheric absorption on millimetre wave frequencies for 5g cellular networks. *IET Commun*, 13(3):265-270. https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-com.2018.5044

Barati CN, Hosseini SA, Rangan S, 2015. Directional cell discovery in millimeter wave cellular networks. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 14(12):6664-6678. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2015.2457921

Burghal D, Abbasi NA, Molisch AF, 2019. A machine learning solution for beam tracking in mmwave systems. 53rd Asilomar Conf on Signals, Systems, and Computers, p.173-177. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEECONF44664.2019.9048770

Chen S, Samingan AK, Hanzo L, 2001. Support vector machine multiuser receiver for ds-cdma signals in multipath channels. *IEEE Trans Neural Netw*, 12(3):604-611. https://doi.org/10.1109/72.925563

Chen YJ, Chang DK, Zhang C, 2020. Autonomous tracking using a swarm of uavs: A constrained multi-agent reinforcement learning approach. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 69(11):13702-13717. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2020.3023733

Chiang HL, Chen KC, Rave W, 2021. Machine-learning beam tracking and weight optimization for mmwave multi-uav links. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 20(8):5481-5494. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2021.3068206

Ciaramitaro G, Brambilla M, Nicoli M, 2023. Signalling design in sensor-assisted mmwave communications for cooperative driving. *IEEE Open J Intell Transp Syst*, . https://doi.org/10.1109/OJITS.2023.3288396

Cord M, Cunningham P, 2008. Machine learning techniques for multimedia: case studies on organization and retrieval. Springer, Berlin, Germany. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-75171-7

Dash M, Liu H, Yao J, 1997. Dimensionality reduction of unsupervised data. Proc 9th IEEE Int Conf on Tools With Artificial Intelligence, p.532-539. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAI.1997.632300

De Donno D, Palacios J, Widmer J, 2017. Millimeter-wave beam training acceleration through low-complexity hybrid transceivers. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 16(6):3646-3660. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2017.2686402

Dehkordi SK, Kobayashi M, Caire G, 2021. Adaptive beam tracking based on recurrent neural networks for mmwave channels. 22nd Int Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications, p.1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/SPAWC51858.2021.9593117

El Ayach O, Rajagopal S, Abu-Surra S, 2014. Spatially sparse precoding in millimeter wave mimo systems. *IEEE trans wireless commun*, 13(3):1499-1513. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2014.011714.130846

Elbir AM, Mishra KV, 2020. Joint antenna selection and hybrid beamformer design using unquantized and quantized deep learning networks. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 19(3):1677-1688. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2019.2956146

Unedited

Elsayed M, Erol-Kantarci M, Yanikomeroglu H, 2021. Transfer reinforcement learning for 5g new radio mmwave networks. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 20(5):2838-2849.
https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2020.3044597

Fodor IK, 2002. A survey of dimension reduction techniques. UCRL-ID-148494, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge.

Foster DJ, Morris RG, Dayan P, 2000. A model of hippocampally dependent navigation, using the temporal difference learning rule. *Hippocampus*, 10(1):1-16.

Gaudes CC, Santamaria I, Via J, 2007. Robust array beamforming with sidelobe control using support vector machines. *IEEE Trans Signal Process*, 55(2):574-584.
https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2006.885720

Giordani M, Zanella A, Zorzi M, 2017. Millimeter wave communication in vehicular networks: Challenges and opportunities. 6th Int Conf on Modern Circuits and Systems Technologies, p.1-6.
https://doi.org/10.1109/MOCAST.2017.7937682

Goodfellow I, Bengio Y, 2016. Deep learning. MIT Press, Cambridge, USA.

Guo J, 2013. Backpropagation through time. *Unpubl ms, Harbin Inst Technol*, 40:1-6.

Guo Y, Wang Z, Li M, et al., 2019. Machine learning based mmwave channel tracking in vehicular scenario. IEEE Int conf on communications workshops, p.1-6.
https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCW.2019.8757185

Gustafsson F, Hendeby G, 2012. Some relations between extended and unscented kalman filters. *IEEE Trans Signal Process*, 60(2):545-555.
https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2011.2172431

Haghighatshoar S, Caire G, 2016. The beam alignment problem in mmwave wireless networks. 50th Asilomar Conf on Signals, Systems and Computers, p.741-745.
https://doi.org/10.1109/ACSSC.2016.7869144

Han C, Zhou S, Xiao L, 2019. A new multipath beam tracking algorithm for mmwave mobile communications. Proc Int Symp on Signal Processing Systems, p.61-66.
https://doi.org/10.1145/3364908.3364919

Han C, Duan S, 2019. Impact of atmospheric parameters on the propagated signal power of millimeter-wave bands based on real measurement data. *IEEE Access*, 7:113626-113641.
https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2933025

Han Z, Yang Y, Wang W, 2022. Rssi map-based trajectory design for ugv against malicious radio source: a reinforcement learning approach. *IEEE Trans Intell Transp Syst*, 24(4):4641-4650.
https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2022.3208245

Hao L, Shu-kui Z, 2019. Moving object tracking algorithm based on improved gaussian mixture model. 3rd Int Conf on Electronic Information Technology and Computer Engineering, p.271-275.
https://doi.org/10.1109/EITCE47263.2019.9094992

Hashemi M, Sabharwal A, Koksal CE, 2018. Efficient beam alignment in millimeter wave systems using contextual bandits. IEEE Conf on Computer Communications, p.2393-2401.
https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOM.2018.8486279

Hassan K, Masarra M, Zwingelstein M, 2020. Channel estimation techniques for millimeter-wave communication systems: Achievements and challenges. *IEEE Open J Commun Soc*, 1:1336-1363.
https://doi.org/10.1109/OJCOMS.2020.3015394

Haykin S, 2013. Adaptive filter theory. 5th ed. London: Pearson.

He K, Zhang X, Ren S, 2015. Delving deep into rectifiers: Surpassing human-level performance on imagenet classification. IEEE Int Conf on Computer Vision, p.1026-1034.
https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2015.123

Heath RW, González-Prelcic N, Rangan S, 2016. An overview of signal processing techniques for millimeter wave mimo systems. *IEEE j sel top signal process*, 10(3):436-453.
https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTSP.2016.2523924

Hochreiter S, 1998. The vanishing gradient problem during learning recurrent neural nets and problem solutions. *Int J Unc, Fuzz Knowl-Based Syst*, 6(02):107-116.
https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218488598000094

Huang H, Peng Y, Yang J, 2020. Fast beamforming design via deep learning. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 69(1):1065-1069.
https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2019.2949122

Hur S, Kim T, Love DJ, 2013. Millimeter wave beamforming for wireless backhaul and access in small cell networks. *IEEE trans commun*, 61(10):4391-4403.
https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2013.090513.120848

Jeong MH, Lee TY, Jeon SB, 2021. Highway speed prediction using gated recurrent unit neural networks. *Appl Sci*, 11(7):3059.
https://doi.org/10.3390/app11073059

Jiang H, Gui R, Chen Z, 2019. An improved sarsa λ reinforcement learning algorithm for wireless communication systems. *IEEE Access*, 7:115418-115427.
https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2935255

Jin W, Zhang J, Wen CK, 2024. Low-complexity joint beamforming for ris-assisted mu-miso systems based on model-driven deep learning. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 23(7):6968-6982.
https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2023.3336742

Jog S, Wang J, Guan J, 2019. Many-to-many beam alignment in millimeter wave networks. 16th USENIX Symp on Networked Systems Design and Implementation, p.783-800.
https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-22440-8_13

Jolliffe IT, 2002. Principal component analysis for special types of data. Springer.

Kasun LLC, Yang Y, Huang GB, 2016. Dimension reduction with extreme learning machine. *IEEE trans Image Process*, 25(8):3906-3918.
https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2016.2570569

Khan F, Pi J, 2011. mmwave mobile broadband (mmb): Unleashing the 3–300ghz spectrum. 34th IEEE Sarnoff Symposium, p.1-6.
https://doi.org/10.1109/SARNOF.2011.5876482

Khan MM, Hossain S, Mozumdar P, 2022. A review on machine learning and deep learning for various antenna design applications. *Heliyon*, 8(4):e09317.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09317

Unedited

Kim S, Han H, Kim N, 2019. Robust beam tracking algorithm for mmwave mimo systems in mobile environments. IEEE 90th Vehicular Technology Conf, p.1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/VTCFall.2019.8891561

Kim S, Kwon G, Park H, 2020. Q-learning-based low complexity beam tracking for mmwave beamforming system. Int Conf on Information and Communication Technology Convergence, p.1451-1455. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTC49870.2020.9289600

Kokshoorn M, Chen H, Li Y, 2016. Race: A rate adaptive channel estimation approach for millimeter wave mimo systems. IEEE Global Communications Conf, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/GLOCOM.2016.7842193

Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton GE, 2017. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Commun ACM*, 60(6):84-90. https://doi.org/10.1145/3065386

Lad N, 2023. Graph neural networks for fast track finding. *Research Square*, . https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2719128/v1

Li Y, Lu Y, Ai B, 2024. Gnn-based beamforming for sum-rate maximization in mu-miso networks. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 23(8):9251-9264. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2024.3361174

Liao X, Wu D, Wang Y, 2020. Dynamic spectrum access based on improved sarsa algorithm. IOP Conf Ser: Mater Sci Eng, 768:072015. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/768/7/072015

Lillicrap TP, Hunt JJ, Pritzel A, 2016. Continuous control with deep reinforcement learning. 4th Int Conf on Learning Representations.

Lim SH, Kim S, Shim B, 2020. Efficient beam training and sparse channel estimation for millimeter wave communications under mobility. *IEEE Trans Commun*, 68(10):6583-6596. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2020.3010024

Lim SH, Kim S, Shim B, 2021. Deep learning-based beam tracking for millimeter-wave communications under mobility. *IEEE Trans Commun*, 69(11):7458-7469. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2021.3107526

Liu C, Li M, Zhao L, 2021. Robust adaptive beam tracking for mobile millimetre wave communications. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 20(3):1918-1934. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2020.3037552

Liu F, Zhao P, Wang Z, 2019. Ekf-based beam tracking for mmwave mimo systems. *IEEE Commun Lett*, 23(12):2390-2393. https://doi.org/10.1109/LCOMM.2019.2940660

Liu G, Zhou F, Yu P, 2020. Beam tracking based on unscented kalman filter theory in millimeter wave communication systems for iot. Int Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, p.499-504. https://doi.org/10.1109/IWCMC48107.2020.9148373

Liu Q, Zhang Z, Yang G, 2022. Csi feedback based on complex neural network for massive mimo systems. *IEEE Access*, 10:78414-78422. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3194035

Lu Z, Chen X, Wu J, 2023. Application of graph neural networks in dark photon search with visible decays at future beam dump experiment. 3rd BenchCouncil Int Symp on Intelligent Computers, Algorithms, and Applications, p.254-263. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-0065-3_19

Mavromatis I, Tassi A, Piechocki RJ, et al., 2017. mmwave system for future its: A mac-layer approach for v2x beam steering. IEEE 86th Vehicular Technology Conf, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/VTCFall.2017.8288267

Mazin A, Elkourdi M, Gitlin RD, 2018. Comparative performance analysis of beam sweeping using a deep neural net and random starting point in mmwave 5g new radio. 9th IEEE Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conf, p.451-456. https://doi.org/10.1109/UEMCON.2018.8796564

Mensch A, Blondel M, 2018. Differentiable dynamic programming for structured prediction and attention. Proc 35th Int Conf on Machine Learning, p.3462-3471.

Mizmizi M, Linsalata F, Brambilla M, 2022. Fastening the initial access in 5g nr sidelink for 6g v2x networks. *Veh Commun*, 33:100402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vehcom.2021.100402

Mohamed Z, Ez-Zazi I, Arioua M, 2019. An overview of millimeter waves challenges in 5G vehicle-to-everything networks. Colloque sur les Objets et systèmes Connectès.

Molisch AF, Karttunen A, Wang R, 2016. Millimeter-wave channels in urban environments. 10th European Conf on Antennas and Propagation, p.1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/EuCAP.2016.7481098

Mollel MS, Abubakar AI, Ozturk M, 2021. A survey of machine learning applications to handover management in 5g and beyond. *IEEE Access*, 9:45770-45802. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3067503

Montella C, 2011. The kalman filter and related algorithms. *a literature review https://wwwresearchgatenet/publication/236897001*, Accessed on 30:2020.

Naeem S, Ali A, Anam S, 2023. An unsupervised machine learning algorithms: Comprehensive review. *Int J Comput Digit Syst*, 13(1):911-921. https://doi.org/10.12785/ijcds/130172

Narengerile, Thompson J, Patras P, 2022. Deep reinforcement learning-based beam training with energy and spectral efficiency maximisation for millimetre-wave channels. *EURASIP J Wireless Commun Network*, 2022(1):110. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13638-022-02191-7

Nawaz S, Hassan SA, 2020. Optimal beam separation in auxiliary beam pair-based initial access in mmwave d2d networks. IEEE 91st Vehicular Technology Conf, p.1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/VTC2020-Spring48590.2020.9129536

Nawaz S, Hassan SA, Jung H, 2020. Auxiliary beam pair enabled initial access for mmwave d2d networks. *Phys Commun*, 39:101039. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phycom.2020.101039

Niu Y, Li Y, Jin D, 2015. A survey of millimeter wave communications (mmwave) for 5g: opportunities and challenges. *Wireless netw*, 21(8):2657-2676. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11276-015-0942-z

Palacios J, De Donno D, Widmer J, 2017. Tracking mm-wave channel dynamics: Fast beam training strategies under mobility. IEEE Conf on Computer Communications, p.1-9. https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOM.2017.8056991

Unedited

Pasek P, Kaniewski P, 2020. Unscented kalman filter application in personal navigation. Proc SPIE 11442, Radioelectronic Systems Conf, article 114421C:446-453. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2564984

Perfecto C, Del Ser J, Bennis M, 2017. Millimeter-wave v2v communications: Distributed association and beam alignment. *IEEE J Select Areas Commun*, 35(9):2148-2162. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2017.2719998

Rangan S, Rappaport TS, Erkip E, 2014. Millimeter-wave cellular wireless networks: Potentials and challenges. *Proc IEEE*, 102(3):366-385. https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2014.2299397

Rappaport TS, Ben-Dor E, Murdock JN, et al., 2012. 38 ghz and 60 ghz angle-dependent propagation for cellular & peer-to-peer wireless communications. IEEE int conf on communications, p.4568-4573. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2012.6363891

Rappaport TS, Sun S, Mayzus R, 2013. Millimeter wave mobile communications for 5g cellular: It will work! *IEEE access*, 1:335-349. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2013.2260813

Rappaport TS, Xing Y, MacCartney GR, et al., 2017. Overview of millimeter wave communications for fifth-generation (5g) wireless networks—with a focus on propagation models. *IEEE Transactions on antennas and propagation*, 65(12):6213-6230. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2017.2734243

Rhudy MB, Salguero RA, Holappa K, 2017. A kalman filtering tutorial for undergraduate students. *Int J Comput Sci Eng Surv*, 8(1):1-9. https://doi.org/10.5121/ijcses.2017.8101

Samimi MK, MacCartney GR, Sun S, 2016. 28 ghz millimeter-wave ultrawideband small-scale fading models in wireless channels. IEEE 83rd Vehicular Technology Conf, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/VTCSpring.2016.7503970

SANJEEV A, Gordon N, Ristic B, 2004. BEYOND THE KALMAN FILTER: PARTICLE FILTERS FOR TRACKING APPLICATIONS. ARTEC HOUSE.

Santamaria I, Via J, Gaudes C, 2004. Robust blind identification of simo channels: A support vector regression approach. IEEE Int Conf on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, p.article V-6735. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2004.1327200

Satyanarayana K, El-Hajjar M, Mourad AA, 2019. Deep learning aided fingerprint-based beam alignment for mmwave vehicular communication. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 68(11):10858-10871. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2019.2939400

Shah SHA, Rangan S, 2022. Multi-cell multi-beam prediction using auto-encoder lstm for mmwave systems. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 21(12):10366-10380. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2022.3183632

Shaham S, Kokshoorn M, Ding M, 2020. Extended kalman filter beam tracking for millimeter wave vehicular communications. IEEE Int Conf on Communications Workshops, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCWorkshops49005.2020.9145366

Shalal AA, Shareef OA, Taher HB, 2023. A comprehensive overview of machine learning-assisted antenna for modern wireless communication. *Al-Salam J Eng Technol*, 3(1). https://doi.org/10.55145/ajest.2024.03.01.004

Shen LH, Chang TW, Feng KT, 2021. Design and implementation for deep learning based adjustable beamforming training for millimeter wave communication systems. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 70(3):2413-2427. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2021.3058715

Shokri-Ghadikolaei H, Fischione C, Fodor G, 2015. Millimeter wave cellular networks: A mac layer perspective. *IEEE Trans Commun*, 63(10):3437-3458. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2015.2456093

Simon D, 2006. Optimal state estimation: Kalman, $H\infty$, and nonlinear approaches. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, USA.

Simonyan K, Zisserman A, 2015. Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition. 3rd Int Conf on Learning Representations (arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556).

Singh S, Ziliotto F, Madhow U, 2009. Blockage and directivity in 60 ghz wireless personal area networks: From cross-layer model to multihop mac design. *IEEE J Select Areas Commun*, 27(8):1400-1413. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2009.091010

, 2020. Beam prediction using deep learning methods for mm-wave communication.

Tagliaferri D, Brambilla M, Nicoli M, 2021. Sensor-aided beamwidth and power control for next generation vehicular communications. *IEEE Access*, 9:56301-56317. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3071726

Tan M, Le Q, 2019. Efficientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks. Proc 36th Int Conf on Machine Learning, p.6105-6114.

Tao J, Chen J, Xing J, 2020. Autoencoder neural network based intelligent hybrid beamforming design for mmwave massive mimo systems. *IEEE Trans Cogn Commun Netw*, 6(3):1019-1030. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCCN.2020.2991878

Torkzaban N, Khojastepour MA, Baras JS, 2022. Multi-user beam alignment in presence of multi-path. 56th Annual Conf on Inf Sciences and Systems, p.224-229. https://doi.org/10.1109/CISS53076.2022.9751191

Usama M, Qadir J, Raza A, 2019. Unsupervised machine learning for networking: Techniques, applications, and research challenges. *IEEE access*, 7:65579-65615. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2916648

Va V, Vikalo H, Heath RW, 2016. Beam tracking for mobile millimeter wave communication systems. IEEE Global Conf on Signal and Information Processing, p.743-747. https://doi.org/10.1109/GlobalSIP.2016.7905941

Va V, 2018. Beam alignment for millimeter wave vehicular communications. PhD Dissemination, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, USA.

Vodopivec T, Samothrakis S, Ster B, 2017. On monte carlo tree search and reinforcement learning. *J Artif Intell Res*, 60:881-936. https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.5507

Wang A, Yin R, Yu G, 2019. Adaptive pca based channel estimation and tracking for ura massive mimo systems. IEEE Int Conf on Communications, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2019.8761589

Uncorrected

Wang CX, Huang J, Wang H, 2020. 6g wireless channel measurements and models: Trends and challenges. *IEEE Veh Technol Mag*, 15(4):22-32. https://doi.org/10.1109/MVT.2020.3018436

Wang J, Lan Z, Pyo Cw, 2009. Beam codebook based beamforming protocol for multi-gbps millimeter-wave wpan systems. *IEEE J Select Areas Commun*, 27(8):1390-1399. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2009.091009

Wang P, Li Y, Song L, 2015. Multi-gigabit millimeter wave wireless communications for 5g: From fixed access to cellular networks. *IEEE Commun Mag*, 53(1):168-178. https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2015.7010531

Wang R, Klaine PV, Onireti O, 2021. Deep learning enabled beam tracking for non-line of sight millimeter wave communications. *IEEE Open J Commun Soci*, 2:1710-1720. https://doi.org/10.1109/OJCOMS.2021.3096118

Wang X, Chen Z, Jiang B, 2022. Beyond greedy search: tracking by multi-agent reinforcement learning-based beam search. *IEEE Trans Image Process*, 31:6239-6254. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2022.3208437

Wang Y, Klautau A, Ribero M, 2019. Mmwave vehicular beam selection with situational awareness using machine learning. *IEEE Access*, 7:87479-87493. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2922064

Ward IR, Joyner J, Lickfold C, 2022. A practical tutorial on graph neural networks. *ACM Comput Surv*, 54(10s):205. https://doi.org/10.1145/3503043

Wu S, Ma B, Sun Z, 2021. Dynamic beam tracking for mobile users. J Phys: Conf Ser, 1815(1):012025. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1815/1/012025

Wu W, Cheng N, Zhang N, 2019. Fast mmwave beam alignment via correlated bandit learning. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 18(12):5894-5908. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2019.2940454

Yapıcı Y, 2018. Low-complexity adaptive beam and channel tracking for mobile mmwave communications. 52nd Asilomar Conf on Signals, Systems, and Computers, p.572-576. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACSSC.2018.8645121

Yu L, Shao X, Wei Y, 2018. Intelligent land-vehicle model transfer trajectory planning method based on deep reinforcement learning. *Sensors*, 18(9):2905. https://doi.org/10.3390/s18092905

Zhang C, Guo D, Fan P, 2016. Tracking angles of departure and arrival in a mobile millimeter wave channel. IEEE int conf on communications, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2016.7510902

Zhang J, Yu J, Tao D, 2018. Local deep-feature alignment for unsupervised dimension reduction. *IEEE trans image process*, 27(5):2420-2432. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2018.2804218

Zhang J, Huang Y, Wang J, 2021. Intelligent interactive beam training for millimeter wave communications. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 20(3):2034-2048. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2020.3038787

Zhang L, Zhao H, Hou S, 2019. A survey on 5g millimeter wave communications for uav-assisted wireless networks. *IEEE Access*, 7:117460-117504. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2929241

Zhang L, Zhong W, Zhang J, 2022. mmwave beam tracking for v2i communication systems based on spectrum environment awareness. *Symmetry*, 14(4):677. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14040677

Zhang Z, 1997. Parameter estimation techniques: A tutorial with application to conic fitting. *Image vision Comput*, 15(1):59-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0262-8856(96)01112-2

Zhu D, Choi J, Heath RW, 2017. Auxiliary beam pair enabled aod and aoa estimation in closed-loop large-scale millimeter-wave mimo systems. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 16(7):4770-4785. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2017.2702617

References

Abualhayja'a M, Hussein M, 2021. Comparative study of adaptive beamforming algorithms for smart antenna applications. Int Conf on Communications, Signal Processing, and their Applications, p.1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCSPA49915.2021.9385725

Al-Ibadi M, E Mahmood F, 2022. Beam and channel tracking for 5g communication systems using adaptive filtering techniques: A comparison study. *J of Commun Softw and Sys*, 18(3):244-251. https://doi.org/10.24138/jcomss-2021-0117

Ali A, González-Prelcic N, Ghosh A, 2020. Passive radar at the roadside unit to configure millimeter wave vehicle-to-infrastructure links. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 69(12):14903-14917. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2020.3027636

AlMahamid F, Grolinger K, 2022. Autonomous unmanned aerial vehicle navigation using reinforcement learning: A systematic review. *Eng Appl Artif Intel*, 115:105321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2022.105321

Anooz RSA, Pourrostam J, 2023. Beam alignment techniques classifications for mm-wave communications: A short review. AIP Conf Proc, 2977(1).

Anooz RSA, Pourrostam J, Al-Ibadi M, 2024a. Adaptive filters versus machine learning based beam tracking techniques for millimeter-wave wireless communications systems. *IEEE Access*, 12:165878-165888.. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3491870

Anooz RSA, Pourrostam J, Al-Ibadi M, 2024b. Performance evaluation of 2d and 3d beam and channel tracking using adaptive filtering techniques. *Iran J Sci and Technol, Trans of Electr Eng*, 48(3):1361-1372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40998-024-00723-z

Anschel O, Baram N, Shimkin N, 2017. Averaged-dqn: Variance reduction and stabilization for deep reinforcement learning. Proc 34th Int Conf on Machine Learning - Volume 70, p.176-185.

Asi BA, Mohmood FE, AL-Ibadi MA, 2021. Beam tracking using least mean squares algorithm for single-cell massive mimo communication system. IOP Conf Ser: Mater Sci Eng, 1152:012004. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1152/1/012004

Bae J, Lim SH, Yoo JH, et al., 2017. New beam tracking technique for millimeter wave-band communications. https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.00276.

Unedited

Banday Y, Mohammad Rather G, Begh GR, 2019. Effect of atmospheric absorption on millimetre wave frequencies for 5g cellular networks. *IET Commun*, 13(3):265-270. https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-com.2018.5044

Barati CN, Hosseini SA, Rangan S, 2015. Directional cell discovery in millimeter wave cellular networks. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 14(12):6664-6678. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2015.2457921

Burghal D, Abbasi NA, Molisch AF, 2019. A machine learning solution for beam tracking in mmwave systems. 53rd Asilomar Conf on Signals, Systems, and Computers, p.173-177. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEECONF44664.2019.9048770

Chen S, Samingan AK, Hanzo L, 2001. Support vector machine multiuser receiver for ds-cdma signals in multipath channels. *IEEE Trans Neural Netw*, 12(3):604-611. https://doi.org/10.1109/72.925563

Chen YJ, Chang DK, Zhang C, 2020. Autonomous tracking using a swarm of uavs: A constrained multi-agent reinforcement learning approach. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 69(11):13702-13717. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2020.3023733

Chiang HL, Chen KC, Rave W, 2021. Machine-learning beam tracking and weight optimization for mmwave multi-uav links. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 20(8):5481-5494. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2021.3068206

Ciaramitaro G, Brambilla M, Nicoli M, 2023. Signalling design in sensor-assisted mmwave communications for cooperative driving. *IEEE Open J Intell Transp Syst*, . https://doi.org/10.1109/OJITS.2023.3288396

Cord M, Cunningham P, 2008. Machine learning techniques for multimedia: case studies on organization and retrieval. Springer, Berlin, Germany. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-75171-7

Dash M, Liu H, Yao J, 1997. Dimensionality reduction of unsupervised data. Proc 9th IEEE Int Conf on Tools With Artificial Intelligence, p.532-539. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAI.1997.632300

De Donno D, Palacios J, Widmer J, 2017. Millimeter-wave beam training acceleration through low-complexity hybrid transceivers. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 16(6):3646-3660. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2017.2686402

Dehkordi SK, Kobayashi M, Caire G, 2021. Adaptive beam tracking based on recurrent neural networks for mmwave channels. 22nd Int Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications, p.1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/SPAWC51858.2021.9593117

El Ayach O, Rajagopal S, Abu-Surra S, 2014. Spatially sparse precoding in millimeter wave mimo systems. *IEEE trans wireless commun*, 13(3):1499-1513. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2014.011714.130846

Elbir AM, Mishra KV, 2020. Joint antenna selection and hybrid beamformer design using unquantized and quantized deep learning networks. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 19(3):1677-1688. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2019.2956146

Elsayed M, Erol-Kantarci M, Yanikomeroglu H, 2021. Transfer reinforcement learning for 5g new radio mmwave networks. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 20(5):2838-2849. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2020.3044597

Fodor IK, 2002. A survey of dimension reduction techniques. UCRL-ID-148494, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge.

Foster DJ, Morris RG, Dayan P, 2000. A model of hippocampally dependent navigation, using the temporal difference learning rule. *Hippocampus*, 10(1):1-16. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-1063(2000)10:1 lt;1::AID-HIPO1 gt;3.0.CO;2-1

Gaudes CC, Santamaria I, Via J, 2007. Robust array beamforming with sidelobe control using support vector machines. *IEEE Trans Signal Process*, 55(2):574-584. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2006.885720

Giordani M, Zanella A, Zorzi M, 2017. Millimeter wave communication in vehicular networks: Challenges and opportunities. 6th Int Conf on Modern Circuits and Systems Technologies, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/MOCAST.2017.7937682

Goodfellow I, Bengio Y, 2016. Deep learning. MIT Press, Cambridge, USA.

Guo J, 2013. Backpropagation through time. *Unpubl ms, Harbin Inst Technol*, 40:1-6.

Guo Y, Wang Z, Li M, et al., 2019. Machine learning based mmwave channel tracking in vehicular scenario. IEEE Int conf on communications workshops, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCW.2019.8757185

Gustafsson F, Hendeby G, 2012. Some relations between extended and unscented kalman filters. *IEEE Trans Signal Process*, 60(2):545-555. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2011.2172431

Haghighatshoar S, Caire G, 2016. The beam alignment problem in mmwave wireless networks. 50th Asilomar Conf on Signals, Systems and Computers, p.741-745. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACSSC.2016.7869144

Han C, Zhou S, Xiao L, 2019. A new multipath beam tracking algorithm for mmwave mobile communications. Proc Int Symp on Signal Processing Systems, p.61-66. https://doi.org/10.1145/3364908.3364919

Han C, Duan S, 2019. Impact of atmospheric parameters on the propagated signal power of millimeter-wave bands based on real measurement data. *IEEE Access*, 7:113626-113641. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2933025

Han Z, Yang Y, Wang W, 2022. Rssi map-based trajectory design for ugv against malicious radio source: a reinforcement learning approach. *IEEE Trans Intell Transp Syst*, 24(4):4641-4650. https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2022.3208245

Hao L, Shu-kui Z, 2019. Moving object tracking algorithm based on improved gaussian mixture model. 3rd Int Conf on Electronic Information Technology and Computer Engineering, p.271-275. https://doi.org/10.1109/EITCE47263.2019.9094992

Hashemi M, Sabharwal A, Koksal CE, 2018. Efficient beam alignment in millimeter wave systems using contextual bandits. IEEE Conf on Computer Communications, p.2393-2401. https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOM.2018.8486279

Hassan K, Masarra M, Zwingelstein M, 2020. Channel estimation techniques for millimeter-wave communication systems: Achievements and challenges. *IEEE Open J Commun Soc*, 1:1336-1363. https://doi.org/10.1109/OJCOMS.2020.3015394

Unedited

Haykin S, 2013. Adaptive filter theory. 5th ed. London: Pearson.

He K, Zhang X, Ren S, 2015. Delving deep into rectifiers: Surpassing human-level performance on imagenet classification. IEEE Int Conf on Computer Vision, p.1026-1034. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2015.123

Heath RW, González-Prelcic N, Rangan S, 2016. An overview of signal processing techniques for millimeter wave mimo systems. *IEEE j sel top signal process*, 10(3):436-453. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTSP.2016.2523924

Hochreiter S, 1998. The vanishing gradient problem during learning recurrent neural nets and problem solutions. *Int J Unc, Fuzz Knowl-Based Syst*, 6(02):107-116. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218488598000094

Huang H, Peng Y, Yang J, 2020. Fast beamforming design via deep learning. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 69(1):1065-1069. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2019.2949122

Hur S, Kim T, Love DJ, 2013. Millimeter wave beamforming for wireless backhaul and access in small cell networks. *IEEE trans commun*, 61(10):4391-4403. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2013.090513.120848

Jeong MH, Lee TY, Jeon SB, 2021. Highway speed prediction using gated recurrent unit neural networks. *Appl Sci*, 11(7):3059. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11073059

Jiang H, Gui R, Chen Z, 2019. An improved sarsa λ reinforcement learning algorithm for wireless communication systems. *IEEE Access*, 7:115418-115427. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2935255

Jin W, Zhang J, Wen CK, 2024. Low-complexity joint beamforming for ris-assisted mu-miso systems based on model-driven deep learning. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 23(7):6968-6982. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2023.3336742

Jog S, Wang J, Guan J, 2019. Many-to-many beam alignment in millimeter wave networks. 16th USENIX Symp on Networked Systems Design and Implementation, p.783-800. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-22440-8_13

Jolliffe IT, 2002. Principal component analysis for special types of data. Springer.

Kasun LLC, Yang Y, Huang GB, 2016. Dimension reduction with extreme learning machine. *IEEE trans Image Process*, 25(8):3906-3918. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2016.2570569

Khan F, Pi J, 2011. mmwave mobile broadband (mmb): Unleashing the 3–300ghz spectrum. 34th IEEE Sarnoff Symposium, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/SARNOF.2011.5876482

Khan MM, Hossain S, Mozumdar P, 2022. A review on machine learning and deep learning for various antenna design applications. *Heliyon*, 8(4):e09317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09317

Kim S, Han H, Kim N, 2019. Robust beam tracking algorithm for mmwave mimo systems in mobile environments. IEEE 90th Vehicular Technology Conf, p.1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/VTCFall.2019.8891561

Kim S, Kwon G, Park H, 2020. Q-learning-based low complexity beam tracking for mmwave beamforming system. Int Conf on Information and Communication Technology Convergence, p.1451-1455. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTC49870.2020.9289600

Kokshoorn M, Chen H, Li Y, 2016. Race: A rate adaptive channel estimation approach for millimeter wave mimo systems. IEEE Global Communications Conf, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/GLOCOM.2016.7842193

Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton GE, 2017. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Commun ACM*, 60(6):84-90. https://doi.org/10.1145/3065386

Lad N, 2023. Graph neural networks for fast track finding. *Research Square*, . https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2719128/v1

Li Y, Lu Y, Ai B, 2024. Gnn-based beamforming for sum-rate maximization in mu-miso networks. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 23(8):9251-9264. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2024.3361174

Liao X, Wu D, Wang Y, 2020. Dynamic spectrum access based on improved sarsa algorithm. IOP Conf Ser: Mater Sci Eng, 768:072015. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/768/7/072015

Lillicrap TP, Hunt JJ, Pritzel A, 2016. Continuous control with deep reinforcement learning. 4th Int Conf on Learning Representations.

Lim SH, Kim S, Shim B, 2020. Efficient beam training and sparse channel estimation for millimeter wave communications under mobility. *IEEE Trans Commun*, 68(10):6583-6596. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2020.3010024

Lim SH, Kim S, Shim B, 2021. Deep learning-based beam tracking for millimeter-wave communications under mobility. *IEEE Trans Commun*, 69(11):7458-7469. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2021.3107526

Liu C, Li M, Zhao L, 2021. Robust adaptive beam tracking for mobile millimetre wave communications. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 20(3):1918-1934. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2020.3037552

Liu F, Zhao P, Wang Z, 2019. Ekf-based beam tracking for mmwave mimo systems. *IEEE Commun Lett*, 23(12):2390-2393. https://doi.org/10.1109/LCOMM.2019.2940660

Liu G, Zhou F, Yu P, 2020. Beam tracking based on unscented kalman filter theory in millimeter wave communication systems for iot. Int Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, p.499-504. https://doi.org/10.1109/IWCMC48107.2020.9148373

Liu Q, Zhang Z, Yang G, 2022. Csi feedback based on complex neural network for massive mimo systems. *IEEE Access*, 10:78414-78422. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3194035

Lu Z, Chen X, Wu J, 2023. Application of graph neural networks in dark photon search with visible decays at future beam dump experiment. 3rd BenchCouncil Int Symp on Intelligent Computers, Algorithms, and Applications, p.254-263. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-0065-3_19

Mavromatis I, Tassi A, Piechocki RJ, et al., 2017. mmwave system for future its: A mac-layer approach for v2x beam steering. IEEE 86th Vehicular Technology Conf, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/VTCFall.2017.8288267

Unedited

Mazin A, Elkourdi M, Gitlin RD, 2018. Comparative performance analysis of beam sweeping using a deep neural net and random starting point in mmwave 5g new radio. 9th IEEE Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conf, p.451-456. https://doi.org/10.1109/UEMCON.2018.8796564

Mensch A, Blondel M, 2018. Differentiable dynamic programming for structured prediction and attention. Proc 35th Int Conf on Machine Learning, p.3462-3471.

Mizmizi M, Linsalata F, Brambilla M, 2022. Fastening the initial access in 5g nr sidelink for 6g v2x networks. *Veh Commun*, 33:100402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vehcom.2021.100402

Mohamed Z, Ez-Zazi I, Arioua M, 2019. An overview of millimeter waves challenges in 5G vehicle-to-everything networks. Colloque sur les Objets et systèmes Connectès.

Molisch AF, Karttunen A, Wang R, 2016. Millimeter-wave channels in urban environments. 10th European Conf on Antennas and Propagation, p.1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/EuCAP.2016.7481098

Mollel MS, Abubakar AI, Ozturk M, 2021. A survey of machine learning applications to handover management in 5g and beyond. *IEEE Access*, 9:45770-45802. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3067503

Montella C, 2011. The kalman filter and related algorithms. *a literature review https://wwwresearchgatenet/publication/236897001*, Accessed on 30:2020.

Naeem S, Ali A, Anam S, 2023. An unsupervised machine learning algorithms: Comprehensive review. *Int J Comput Digit Syst*, 13(1):911-921. https://doi.org/10.12785/ijcds/130172

Narengerile, Thompson J, Patras P, 2022. Deep reinforcement learning-based beam training with energy and spectral efficiency maximisation for millimetre-wave channels. *EURASIP J Wireless Commun Network*, 2022(1):110. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13638-022-02191-7

Nawaz S, Hassan SA, 2020. Optimal beam separation in auxiliary beam pair-based initial access in mmwave d2d networks. IEEE 91st Vehicular Technology Conf, p.1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/VTC2020-Spring48590.2020.9129536

Nawaz S, Hassan SA, Jung H, 2020. Auxiliary beam pair enabled initial access for mmwave d2d networks. *Phys Commun*, 39:101039. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phycom.2020.101039

Niu Y, Li Y, Jin D, 2015. A survey of millimeter wave communications (mmwave) for 5g: opportunities and challenges. *Wireless netw*, 21(8):2657-2676. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11276-015-0942-z

Palacios J, De Donno D, Widmer J, 2017. Tracking mm-wave channel dynamics: Fast beam training strategies under mobility. IEEE Conf on Computer Communications, p.1-9. https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOM.2017.8056991

Pasek P, Kaniewski P, 2020. Unscented kalman filter application in personal navigation. Proc SPIE 11442, Radioelectronic Systems Conf, article 114421C:446-453. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2564984

Perfecto C, Del Ser J, Bennis M, 2017. Millimeter-wave v2v communications: Distributed association and beam alignment. *IEEE J Select Areas Commun*, 35(9):2148-2162. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2017.2719998

Rangan S, Rappaport TS, Erkip E, 2014. Millimeter-wave cellular wireless networks: Potentials and challenges. *Proc IEEE*, 102(3):366-385. https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2014.2299397

Rappaport TS, Ben-Dor E, Murdock JN, et al., 2012. 38 ghz and 60 ghz angle-dependent propagation for cellular & peer-to-peer wireless communications. IEEE int conf on communications, p.4568-4573. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2012.6363891

Rappaport TS, Sun S, Mayzus R, 2013. Millimeter wave mobile communications for 5g cellular: It will work! *IEEE access*, 1:335-349. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2013.2260813

Rappaport TS, Xing Y, MacCartney GR, et al., 2017. Overview of millimeter wave communications for fifth-generation (5g) wireless networks—with a focus on propagation models. *IEEE Transactions on antennas and propagation*, 65(12):6213-6230. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2017.2734243

Rhudy MB, Salguero RA, Holappa K, 2017. A kalman filtering tutorial for undergraduate students. *Int J Comput Sci Eng Surv*, 8(1):1-9. https://doi.org/10.5121/ijcses.2017.8101

Samimi MK, MacCartney GR, Sun S, 2016. 28 ghz millimeter-wave ultrawideband small-scale fading models in wireless channels. IEEE 83rd Vehicular Technology Conf, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/VTCSpring.2016.7503970

SANJEEV A, Gordon N, Ristic B, 2004. BEYOND THE KALMAN FILTER: PARTICLE FILTERS FOR TRACKING APPLICATIONS. ARTEC HOUSE.

Santamaria I, Via J, Gaudes C, 2004. Robust blind identification of simo channels: A support vector regression approach. IEEE Int Conf on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, p.article V-6735. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2004.1327200

Satyanarayana K, El-Hajjar M, Mourad AA, 2019. Deep learning aided fingerprint-based beam alignment for mmwave vehicular communication. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 68(11):10858-10871. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2019.2939400

Shah SHA, Rangan S, 2022. Multi-cell multi-beam prediction using auto-encoder lstm for mmwave systems. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 21(12):10366-10380. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2022.3183632

Shaham S, Kokshoorn M, Ding M, 2020. Extended kalman filter beam tracking for millimeter wave vehicular communications. IEEE Int Conf on Communications Workshops, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCWorkshops49005.2020.9145366

Shalal AA, Shareef OA, Taher HB, 2023. A comprehensive overview of machine learning-assisted antenna for modern wireless communication. *Al-Salam J Eng Technol*, 3(1). https://doi.org/10.55145/ajest.2024.03.01.004

Shen LH, Chang TW, Feng KT, 2021. Design and implementation for deep learning based adjustable beamforming

Unedited

training for millimeter wave communication systems. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 70(3):2413-2427. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2021.3058715

Shokri-Ghadikolaei H, Fischione C, Fodor G, 2015. Millimeter wave cellular networks: A mac layer perspective. *IEEE Trans Commun*, 63(10):3437-3458. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2015.2456093

Simon D, 2006. Optimal state estimation: Kalman, $H\infty$, and nonlinear approaches. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, USA.

Simonyan K, Zisserman A, 2015. Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition. 3rd Int Conf on Learning Representations (arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556).

Singh S, Ziliotto F, Madhow U, 2009. Blockage and directivity in 60 ghz wireless personal area networks: From cross-layer model to multihop mac design. *IEEE J Select Areas Commun*, 27(8):1400-1413. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2009.091010

, 2020. Beam prediction using deep learning methods for mm-wave communication.

Tagliaferri D, Brambilla M, Nicoli M, 2021. Sensor-aided beamwidth and power control for next generation vehicular communications. *IEEE Access*, 9:56301-56317. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3071726

Tan M, Le Q, 2019. Efficientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks. Proc 36th Int Conf on Machine Learning, p.6105-6114.

Tao J, Chen J, Xing J, 2020. Autoencoder neural network based intelligent hybrid beamforming design for mmwave massive mimo systems. *IEEE Trans Cogn Commun Netw*, 6(3):1019-1030. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCCN.2020.2991878

Torkzaban N, Khojastepour MA, Baras JS, 2022. Multi-user beam alignment in presence of multi-path. 56th Annual Conf on Inf Sciences and Systems, p.224-229. https://doi.org/10.1109/CISS53076.2022.9751191

Usama M, Qadir J, Raza A, 2019. Unsupervised machine learning for networking: Techniques, applications, and research challenges. *IEEE access*, 7:65579-65615. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2916648

Va V, Vikalo H, Heath RW, 2016. Beam tracking for mobile millimeter wave communication systems. IEEE Global Conf on Signal and Information Processing, p.743-747. https://doi.org/10.1109/GlobalSIP.2016.7905941

Va V, 2018. Beam alignment for millimeter wave vehicular communications. PhD Dissemination, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, USA.

Vodopivec T, Samothrakis S, Ster B, 2017. On monte carlo tree search and reinforcement learning. *J Artif Intell Res*, 60:881-936. https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.5507

Wang A, Yin R, Yu G, 2019. Adaptive pca based channel estimation and tracking for ura massive mimo systems. IEEE Int Conf on Communications, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2019.8761589

Wang CX, Huang J, Wang H, 2020. 6g wireless channel measurements and models: Trends and challenges. *IEEE Veh Technol Mag*, 15(4):22-32. https://doi.org/10.1109/MVT.2020.3018436

Wang J, Lan Z, Pyo Cw, 2009. Beam codebook based beamforming protocol for multi-gbps millimeter-wave wpan systems. *IEEE J Select Areas Commun*, 27(8):1390-1399. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2009.091009

Wang P, Li Y, Song L, 2015. Multi-gigabit millimeter wave wireless communications for 5g: From fixed access to cellular networks. *IEEE Commun Mag*, 53(1):168-178. https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2015.7010531

Wang R, Klaine PV, Onireti O, 2021. Deep learning enabled beam tracking for non-line of sight millimeter wave communications. *IEEE Open J Commun Soci*, 2:1710-1720. https://doi.org/10.1109/OJCOMS.2021.3096118

Wang X, Chen Z, Jiang B, 2022. Beyond greedy search: tracking by multi-agent reinforcement learning-based beam search. *IEEE Trans Image Process*, 31:6239-6254. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2022.3208437

Wang Y, Klautau A, Ribero M, 2019. Mmwave vehicular beam selection with situational awareness using machine learning. *IEEE Access*, 7:87479-87493. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2922064

Ward IR, Joyner J, Lickfold C, 2022. A practical tutorial on graph neural networks. *ACM Comput Surv*, 54(10s):205. https://doi.org/10.1145/3503043

Wu S, Ma B, Sun Z, 2021. Dynamic beam tracking for mobile users. J Phys: Conf Ser, 1815(1):012025. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1815/1/012025

Wu W, Cheng N, Zhang N, 2019. Fast mmwave beam alignment via correlated bandit learning. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 18(12):5894-5908. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2019.2940454

Yapıcı Y, 2018. Low-complexity adaptive beam and channel tracking for mobile mmwave communications. 52nd Asilomar Conf on Signals, Systems, and Computers, p.572-576. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACSSC.2018.8645121

Yu L, Shao X, Wei Y, 2018. Intelligent land-vehicle model transfer trajectory planning method based on deep reinforcement learning. *Sensors*, 18(9):2905. https://doi.org/10.3390/s18092905

Zhang C, Guo D, Fan P, 2016. Tracking angles of departure and arrival in a mobile millimeter wave channel. IEEE int conf on communications, p.1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2016.7510902

Zhang J, Yu J, Tao D, 2018. Local deep-feature alignment for unsupervised dimension reduction. *IEEE trans image process*, 27(5):2420-2432. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2018.2804218

Zhang J, Huang Y, Wang J, 2021. Intelligent interactive beam training for millimeter wave communications. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 20(3):2034-2048. https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2020.3038787

Zhang L, Zhao H, Hou S, 2019. A survey on 5g millimeter wave communications for uav-assisted wireless networks. *IEEE Access*, 7:117460-117504. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2929241

Zhang L, Zhong W, Zhang J, 2022. mmwave beam tracking for v2i communication systems based on spectrum environment awareness. *Symmetry*, 14(4):677. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14040677

Unedited

Zhang Z, 1997. Parameter estimation techniques: A tutorial with application to conic fitting. *Image vision Comput*, 15(1):59-76.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0262-8856(96)01112-2

Zhu D, Choi J, Heath RW, 2017. Auxiliary beam pair enabled aod and aoa estimation in closed-loop large-scale millimeter-wave mimo systems. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 16(7):4770-4785.
https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2017.2702617

Unedited