Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE A (Applied Physics & Engineering)

A statistical method to identify main contributing tolerances in assemblability studies based on convex hull techniques

P. Ziegler, S. Wartzack

Cite this as: P. Ziegler, S. Wartzack, 2015. A statistical method to identify main contributing tolerances in assemblability studies based on convex hull techniques. *Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE A (Applied Physics & Engineering)*, 16(5):361-370. [doi:10.1631/jzus.A1400237]

Lehrstuhl für
Konstruktionstechnik
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack

KT mfk

FRIEDRICH-ALEXANDER UNIVERSITÄT ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG
TECHNISCHE FAKULTÄT

Sensitivity Analysis for Assemblability

Table of Contents

- **Tolerances**
- **The need for model free SA in Tolerancing**
  - Motivation
  - Methods in Tolerancing
- **Mathematical deviation representation**
  - Deviation Domain
  - Assemblability: Clearance Domain
- **Sensitivity Analysis**
  - Global Sensitivity Analysis
  - Variance-based Sensitivity Analysis
  - Basis for model free SA: Deviation Quality Measure
- **Application Example Pin-Hole**
  - Framework
  - Results
- **Summary & Outlook**



© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack

Tolerances

Axiom of manufacturing imprecision: All manufacturing processes are inherently imprecise and produce parts that vary.



Drill hole with different kinds of deviations

Tolerances restrict the geometric deviations of parts with respect to the nominal geometry.



References: Jorden (2012), Srinivasan (1999)

© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK

The need for model free SA in Tolerancing

Motivation



There is a need for model free sensitivity analysis in tolerancing!

© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack

The need for model free SA in Tolerancing

Methods in Tolerancing

„Sensitivity analysis should be quantitative, global and **model free**“

SA Methods in Tolerancing	Quant.	Global	Model free*
High-Low-Median (HLM)	✓	✗	✗
Arithmetic Contributor	✓	✗	✗
Statistical Contributor	✓	✗	✗
Derivative-based (Wu)	✓	✓	✗
Variance-based (Stockinger/Walter)	✓	✓	✗
Variance/Density-based (Caniou)	✓	✓	✗

*For tolerance simulations, model free can be interpreted as „independent of mathematical deviation representation“

Reference: Saltelli and Tarantola (2002)

© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack

Mathematical deviation representation

Deviation Domain

Deviation Representation

Linearized homogeneous transformation matrices

$$T^{lin}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\theta_1 & \theta_2 & x_1 \\ \theta_1 & 1 & -\theta_3 & x_2 \\ -\theta_2 & \theta_3 & 1 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Tolerance Representation

constraints for the transformation parameters $(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\theta})$

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{u}\in f} \left\| (T^{lin}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\theta}) - I)\cdot \boldsymbol{u} \right\| \leq \frac{t}{2}$$

Feature with tolerance



Abstract deviation space



© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack

Mathematical deviation representation

Assemblability: Clearance Domain

Clearance Representation

The Clearance Domain $C_{pi,pj}^{dev}$ consists of all transformation parameters $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ for relative positions of two deviated parts considering assembly constraints.

Example: Nominal Pin & Hole (2D)



Assemblability Measure: Relative Clearance Domain Volume

Measure for all relative positions of p_i and p_j for two deviated parts.

$$\frac{|C_{pi,pj}^{dev}|}{|C_{pi,pj}^{nom}|}$$

Measure for all relative positions of p_i and p_j for the nominal geometry.

© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack

Sensitivity Analysis

Global Sensitivity Analysis

„Sensitivity analysis studies the relationships between information flowing in and out of the model“ *A. Saltelli*



Input Parameter → Model → Output Parameter

Local and Global Sensitivity Analysis in Tolerancing

Local methods

Consider an individual (deviating) feature

Global methods

Consider a feature with tolerance (and deviation distribution)

Reference: Saltelli et al (2000)

© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack

Sensitivity Analysis

Variance-based Sensitivity Analysis

Well established global method: variance-based SA

Let f be a generic model $f: (X_1, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow Y$ with random variables $X_i \in [0; 1]$. The variance $V(Y)$ then decomposes to



$$V(Y) = E\big(V(Y|X_i)\big) + V\big(E(Y|X_i)\big),$$

where $E(Y|X_i)$ denotes the conditional expectation with respect to X_i and $V(Y|X_i)$ the conditional variance with respect to X_i. The main effect sensitivity S_i and total effect sensitivity S_{T_i} of the random variable X_i is defined as

$$S_i = \frac{V\big(E(Y|X_i)\big)}{V(Y)} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{T_i} = 1 - \frac{V\big(E(Y|\boldsymbol{X}_{\sim i})\big)}{V(Y)},$$

where $\boldsymbol{X}_{\sim i}$ denotes all input random variables aside from X_i.

Reference: Saltelli et al (2008)

© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK

Sensitivity Analysis

Basis for model free SA: Deviation Quality Measure

Deviation Quality Measure λ





$$\lambda_t(f_d) = \frac{t'}{t}$$

Calculation for Deviation Domains

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{2}{t}\max_{\boldsymbol{u}\in f}\left\|(T^{lin}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\theta}) - I)\cdot\boldsymbol{u}\right\|$$

- λ is homogeneous in $(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\theta})$
- $\lambda(\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{0}) = 0$
- $\lambda(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 1 \Rightarrow (\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in \partial DD$

Example for an axis



Reference: Ziegler (2013)

© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack

Application Example Pin-Hole



Tolerances

- 6 Tolerances, 4 dimensional and 2 geometric
- All tolerance values: 1 mm
- λ_i uniform distributed



Clearance Estimation

8 Control Points (vertices of pin cylinders) for collision detection
→ 8 constraints for transformation parameters (y,θ)

© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack

Application Example Pin-Hole

Results

Clearance estimation

Few not mountable systems (≤ 10 %)



Monte Carlo Sample Size

Good results for 10,000+ SA-Samples



Sensitivity results



Arguments for the method

- The strong interactions between tolerances make a global SA method necessary for a realistic tolerance ranking
- As usually just few systems cannot be assembled, the upper left condition is mostly fulfilled

© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack

Summary & Outlook

Sensitivity Analysis

Requirements: Quantitative,

Global and **Model free**

Assemblability

$$\frac{|C_{pi,pj}^{dev}|}{|C_{pi,pj}^{nom}|}$$



Deviation Characteristic

$$\lambda_t(f_d) = \frac{t'}{t}$$





Outlook

Other global SA methods and

Deviation Representations



© LEHRSTUHL FÜR KONSTRUKTIONSTECHNIK
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sandro Wartzack