

Review

<https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.A2400004>



Molding of polyether ether ketone (PEEK) and its composites: a review

Zhengchuan GUO^{1,2}, Junjie HE^{1,2}, Ruoxiang GAO^{1,2}, Yifeng PAN^{1,2}, Chengqian ZHANG^{1,3}✉, Jianzhong FU^{1,2}, Peng ZHAO^{1,2}✉

¹The State Key Laboratory of Fluid Power and Mechatronic Systems, College of Mechanical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China

²The Key Laboratory of 3D Printing Process and Equipment of Zhejiang Province, College of Mechanical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China

³Center for X-Mechanics, Department of Engineering Mechanics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

Abstract: Over the last half-century, polyether ether ketone (PEEK) has emerged as a widely adopted thermoplastic polymer, primarily due to its lower density, exceptional mechanical properties, high-temperature and chemical resistance, and biocompatibility. PEEK and its composites have found extensive applications across various fields, including machinery, aerospace, military equipment, electronics, and biomedicine, positioning themselves as promising substitutes for traditional metal structures. Nevertheless, achieving optimal performance and functional molding of PEEK and its composites presents a formidable challenge, given their inherent characteristics, such as semi-crystallinity, high melting temperature, heightened viscosity, low dielectric coefficient, and hydrophobic properties. In this paper, we present a comprehensive review of the molding methods and processes of PEEK and its composites, including extrusion molding, hot compression molding, injection molding, and 3D printing. We also introduce typical innovative applications within the fields of mechanics, electricity, and biomedicine while elucidating methodologies that leverage the distinctive advantages of PEEK and its composites. Additionally, we summarize research findings related to manipulating the properties of PEEK and its composites through the optimization of machine parameters, process variables, and material structural adjustments. Finally, we contemplate the prevailing development trends and outline prospective avenues for further research in the advancement and molding of PEEK and its composites.

Key words: Polyether ether ketone (PEEK); Composites; Extrusion molding; Hot compression molding; Injection molding; 3D printing

1 Introduction


For over five decades, thermoplastic polymers and their composites have emerged as a favored alternative to metals, owing to their low density, impressive toughness, reliable processability, recyclability, and versatile design capabilities. Polyether ether ketone (PEEK) stands as a high-performance polymer at the pinnacle of the thermoplastic performance hierarchy (Fig. 1a). Initially developed in the laboratories of

Imperial Chemical Industries (ICI) in the UK in 1978, PEEK transitioned to mass production in the 1980s. Blundell and Osborn (1983) first published the foundational material data for PEEK, providing key information on the glass transition temperature (T_g) of 144 °C and the melting temperature (T_m) of 334 °C.

It features a continuous conjugated structure with spatially intertwined π - π bonds, diverging from the molecular configuration of typical polymers that rely on linear C-C bonds as the main chain. Consequently, the chemical bonds in PEEK have significantly higher energy, allowing them to withstand high temperatures without fracturing or rupturing. Meanwhile, within the molecular structure of PEEK, the ketone group and ether group on the benzene ring are para-distributed. The forces acting on the main chain of the PEEK molecule are symmetrically distributed on both sides of the

✉ Peng ZHAO, pengzhao@zju.edu.cn

Chengqian ZHANG, zhangcq@zju.edu.cn

 Peng ZHAO, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3815-1248>

Received Jan. 3, 2024; Revision accepted Feb. 19, 2024;
Crosschecked Mar. 26, 2024; Online first June 7, 2024

© Zhejiang University Press 2024

benzene ring, maximizing the strength advantages of this planar-type group, yielding outstanding mechanical properties (Fig. 1b). Moreover, PEEK has been used as a substitute for traditional metal interbody fusions since the late 1990s (Laubach et al., 2022), owing to its excellent biocompatibility. PEEK has a Young's modulus of 3–4 GPa, similar to that of human cortical bone (6–30 GPa) and significantly lower than that of titanium and titanium alloys (over 100 GPa). This minimizes the risk of bone resorption resulting from stress shielding. In summary, PEEK demonstrates exceptional mechanical properties, remarkable high-temperature resistance, and excellent biocompatibility, positioning it as a high-performance material in various challenging environments. Presently, PEEK finds widespread applications across diverse industries, including aerospace, defense, engineering, and biomedicine, presenting itself as a potential alternative to metals (He et al., 2021; Hu CX et al., 2022; Ren L et al., 2022; Guo et al., 2023a; Hastie et al., 2023).




Fig. 1 (a) PEEK located at the top of the polymer performance pyramid; (b) Molecular structure of PEEK. PTFE: polytetrafluoroethylene; PPSU: polyphenylene sulfone; TPE: thermoplastic elastomer; LCP: liquid crystal polymer; PPA: polyphthalamide; PC: polycarbonate; PBT: polybutylene terephthalate; PA: polyamide; PPO: polyphenylene oxide; POM: polyoxymethylene; PMMA: polymethyl methacrylate; UHMWPE: ultra high molecular weight polyethylene; PVC: polyvinyl chloride; PE: polyethylene; PS: polystyrene; PP: polypropylene; ABS: acrylonitrile butadiene styrene plastic

Like other thermoplastic polymers, PEEK has been developed and molded by various processes, including extrusion molding, hot compression molding, injection molding, and 3D printing, resulting in

a diverse array of unique components and basic profiles. Through these processes, it is possible to achieve additive, blending, and chemical modification of PEEK. The PEEK composite materials prepared in these ways are endowed with a wide range of characteristics, including mechanical, electrical, and biocompatibility properties. This is crucial for expanding its application scope. Due to differences in melt molding processing methods and parameters, PEEK and its composites encounter variable shear and temperature fields, resulting in a diverse spectrum of mechanical properties arising from variances in aggregate structure and reinforcement state. An impact on other aspects of service performance is also observed. Consequently, achieving more extensive and enhanced service performance of PEEK and its composites has become a persistent focus of research. However, PEEK requires significantly higher molding temperatures and pressures than general polymers and other engineering polymers. Moreover, variations in processing principles lead to discrepancies in the types of materials available for molding, as well as the mechanical properties and structural complexity of the molded parts. Therefore, selecting appropriate processes and rational parameters becomes essential when the molding objectives differ. Research on the influence of the molding process on the structures and properties of PEEK and its composites holds significant importance in enhancing their applicability and service performance.

In this review, we focus mainly on progress in research on various thermoforming methods for PEEK and its composites, such as extrusion molding, hot compression molding, injection molding, and 3D printing, as follows:

(1) In Section 2, we introduce the developmental lineage and molding principles of the four main molding processes including extrusion molding, hot compression molding, injection molding, and 3D printing.

(2) In Section 3, we present the use of the properties of the extrusion molding process based on the excellent characteristics of PEEK materials. This encompasses the development of various types of base profiles and functional composites.

(3) In Section 4, we describe continuous fiber-reinforced PEEK composites and other composites with excellent properties that have been developed through hot compression molding.

(4) In Section 5, we characterize some typical applications of PEEK and its composites in the fields of mechanics, electricity, and biomedicine, which are mass-produced by injection molding.

(5) In Section 6, we propose how to maximize the advantages of 3D printing for molding high-performance PEEK composite materials and their complex structures.

(6) In Section 7, we summarize the current state of the molding of PEEK and its composites and analyze its future directions.

2 Main molding processes of the polymer structure component

To provide a clearer illustration of the outcomes derived from the integration of PEEK with diverse molding techniques and their corresponding research progress, it is imperative to introduce the fundamental molding processes applicable to polymer materials. A comprehensive understanding of the distinct principles governing these processes aids in discerning the merits and drawbacks associated with each method under different circumstances. Such insights significantly benefit producers in making informed choices among different processes to achieve diverse production objectives.

2.1 Extrusion molding

The extrusion molding process is a frequently used technique for processing plastics. In 1930, BASF in Germany pioneered the use of extrusion molding for producing polystyrene, which had until then been used in the manufacture of rubber products. In 1939, the Italian LMP Company and the German Troester Company developed twin-screw and single-screw extruders for plastics production via extrusion molding. This led to the initiation and gradual refinement of

the extrusion molding process for polymer materials (Sakai, 2013).

The principle of extrusion molding is shown in Fig. 2. The extrusion molding process is usually divided into four parts: feeding, kneading, conveying, and cooling (Lewandowski and Wilczyński, 2022). Polymer chips, powders, particles, and their blends with reinforcements enter the screw through a feed hopper. As the screw rotates, these materials are melted by the heat from the screw's temperature field and shear action. As it approaches the extruder die, the grooves on the screw become shallower and the polymer melt is compressed and extruded under high pressure to exhaust the water vapor and achieve complete homogeneous mixing with the reinforcement. The melt is then conveyed to the extruder die. Finally, the high-pressure extruded melt is shaped by the die and cooled to a stable form by a gas or liquid medium (Hyvärinen et al., 2020).

Based on their mechanical structure, extruders can be classified as single-screw, twin-screw, or multi-screw extruders (Sakai, 2013). Single-screw extruders feature one screw and come with either smooth or grooved barrels. Twin-screw extruders, have two screws that can rotate in either the same or opposite directions. When rotating together, they are commonly used in the development and processing of composite materials. Conversely, when rotating in opposite directions, high pressure can be generated between the meshing areas, making it suitable for extruding profiles with high mechanical properties. While mechanically more complex, the twin-screw extruder offers superior mixing and melting speeds. In contrast, the single-screw extruder is simpler with lower technical barriers to application.

One notable advantage of extrusion molding is its capability to develop new composite materials, thereby enhancing or adding to the functionality of polymers (Sakai, 2013; Hyvärinen et al., 2020; Lewandowski and Wilczyński, 2022). This process facilitates the




Fig. 2 Principle of extrusion molding. Reprinted from (Okubo et al., 2021), Copyright 2021, with permission from Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute

creation of conductive polymer composites, a feat typically unattainable with polymer materials alone. Moreover, it allows for the production of composite materials reinforced with short fibers and materials with an adjustable modulus, suitable for bone scaffolds. By modifying the shape or geometry of the extruder die, various basic profiles with features such as rods, tubes, films, fibers, or filaments can be obtained. These basic profiles can undergo further processing through secondary cold processing, 3D printing, and mechanical structure assembly. However, the limitations of the molding method make the extrusion molding impractical for producing continuous fiber-reinforced composites with ultra-high mechanical properties or complex structures. Additionally, the significant pressure drops of the material melt near the extruder die pose challenges in controlling deformation and compromise the mechanical properties of extrusion-molded parts.

2.2 Hot compression molding

Hot compression molding stands out as a pivotal method for shaping polymer materials. The fundamental principle involves placing a certain amount of polymer material into a metal mold. Subjected to controlled temperature and pressure, the molten material undergoes plasticization, flows to fill the cavity, and ultimately solidifies into the specified shape of the product (Fig. 3). The hot compression molding process generally encompasses pre-pressure preheating, feeding, exhausting, pressure holding, cooling and demolding, and product post-treatment (Jaafar et al., 2019).




Fig. 3 Principle of hot compression molding

This method yields diverse products depending on the material used. Beyond polymer pellets and powders, the mold can accommodate homogeneous mixtures of short fibers or other reinforcements and polymers. For instance, it becomes feasible to create a reinforced polymer material with continuous fibers by layering one or multiple sheets of continuous fiber

prepreg in the mold and hot pressing them together with the polymer. Additionally, integral molding with the polymer and its composite material is achievable by pre-inserting a metal component of the target part into the mold.

In addition to its simplicity of operation and high production efficiency, hot compression molding has several advantages based on its molding characteristics. Firstly, all stages of hot compression molding, including material feeding, melting, diffusion, cooling, and forming, are conducted within the mold. This implies a shared thermodynamic process between the material and the mold, set and controlled by the system throughout the entire production cycle. Hot compression molding circumvents the extrusion molding, making it more suitable for molding high-viscosity plastics, such as ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene, certain specialty engineering polymers, and short fiber-reinforced composites with a fiber content exceeding 50% (Santos et al., 2021). Secondly, hot compression molding facilitates the incorporation of continuous fiber products with polymers and advanced designs, enabling the creation of continuous fiber-reinforced thermoplastic composites with variable mechanical properties. This method proves valuable in producing continuous fiber-reinforced thermoplastic composites endowed with exceptional mechanical properties and resistance to crack extension. Finally, hot compression molding exerts a prolonged and uniform pressure on the molded polymer. This contributes to enhanced interdiffusion among molecular chains, resulting in elevated mechanical properties of hot compression-molded products. Moreover, this pressure molding type reduces warpage susceptibility, improves repeatability, minimizes internal stresses, and ensures stable mechanical properties. However, this molding method has certain limitations. The production of complex structures with concave shapes, side slopes, or small holes poses a significant challenge for hot compression molding. Precise control of temperature and pressure is essential, as the material is susceptible to oxidation and degradation, potentially impacting product quality. Furthermore, machine constraints make molding larger-sized products challenging.

2.3 Injection molding

In 1872, John Wesley Hyatt, an American inventor, designed the world's first recorded injection molding machine. The birth of modern injection molding

technology occurred in 1946 when James White invented the first injection molding machine capable of automatic extrusion molding (Zema et al., 2012). The injection molding process involves melting polymer particles or powders into a viscous melt using a plasticizing screw. Typically comprising five stages of feeding, melting, injecting, packing, and cooling (Zhou et al., 2022), the pressurized molten polymer, driven by a plunger or screw at high speed, is injected into a metal mold to maintain pressure and then cooled to the specified shape (Fig. 4). Injection processing and production are applicable to powdered and pelletized thermoplastic polymers and their short fiber-reinforced composites.




Fig. 4 Structure of a typical basic injection molding machine. Reprinted from (Lewandowski and Wilczyński, 2022), Copyright 2022, with permission from Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute

Injection molding is the most common and widely used polymer molding process today. Renowned for its ease of automation and consistent production quality, it is also one of the most theoretically developed and computer-simulated polymer molding processes. Advanced metal mold design and processing techniques have significantly enhanced the advantages of injection molding. Firstly, injection molding ensures high productivity even for parts with complex 3D structures, such as impellers, vertebrae, and automotive spoilers, providing an advantage of near-net molding that extrusion molding and hot compression molding lack. Secondly, injection-molded products exhibit higher geometric accuracy. The popularity of injection-molded products is also attributed to their excellent mechanical properties. During injection molding, polymers and their composite melts undergo a high-speed injection process, leading to directional arrangement of molecular chain segments and fibers through high-speed shear, thereby improving their mechanical properties. Additionally, the holding pressure process in injection molding plays a crucial role in achieving the high mechanical properties of its products.

However, injection molding is an intermittent production process where the polymer melts in the barrel, and the mold undergoes separate thermodynamic processes. The temperature and the pressure during molding significantly affect the structure and fiber distribution of the polymer product. Consequently, conventional injection processes, involving up to a dozen control parameters, are intricate. Injection machines and molds are comparatively complex and expensive, and the overall molding cycle time is lengthy. The manipulation of injection molding also requires a certain technical competency. Additionally, the presence of gates and runners decreases material usage rate, rendering it unsuitable for customized or non-mass-produced products. Due to its molding method, injection molding presents challenges when attempting to mold high-viscosity materials, including composites with high levels of short fibers and continuous fiber-reinforced thermoplastic composites. This limitation also affects the compatibility of injection molding with different types of materials.

2.4 3D printing

In 1986, Charles W. Hull applied ultraviolet technology to the field of rapid prototyping and invented stereo lithography (SLA) technology, marking the birth of 3D printing technology (Valino et al., 2019). Currently, 3D printing technology can be classified into over 10 types based on specific operating principles. For thermoplastic polymers and their composite materials, the most widely used 3D printing technology is fused deposition modeling (FDM), also known as fused filament fabrication (FFF). This methodology was first pioneered by the American scholar Scott Crump in 1988. FDM is a continuous process that involves melting a polymer filament or particle via electric heating, and the melted material is then extruded out of a nozzle in a preset 2D trajectory. The nozzle is lifted layer-by-layer or the platform moves down layer-by-layer, ultimately forming a 3D-printed structure (Fig. 5).

The most important feature of 3D printing is its additive manufacturing process. This revolutionary molding method brings many significant advantages. Firstly, the layer-by-layer molding method makes complex 3D structures 2D. Shaped trusses, porous structures, and three-period minimal surface (TPMS) structures, which are difficult or impossible to form through




Fig. 5 Principle of injection molding fused deposition modeling (FDM). Reprinted from (Cano-Vicent et al., 2021), Copyright 2021, with permission from Elsevier

subtractive manufacturing, have become possible. It has brought new energy to the advancement of controlled industrial lightweighting. Secondly, 3D printing is an optimal molding method for personalized customization of items like dentures, vertebral cages, and bone scaffolds, as it does not require a mold. This feature is also beneficial for modern industrial design, allowing for convenience in original model verification.

Despite significant strides in 3D printing technology, challenges persist, especially in large-scale applications. The current non-pressure continuous molding process faces difficulties in maintaining the temperature of previously printed interfaces, resulting in low surface activity and inadequate layer-to-layer bonding strength. This creates significant mechanical strength anisotropy in 3D-printed parts, limiting their applicability. Additionally, continuous fiber 3D printing technology has room for improvement, particularly in fully leveraging material advantages for enhanced mechanical properties. Producing 3D-printed continuous fiber-reinforced polymer composites with minimal porosity and ultra-high interfacial strength remains an ongoing challenge. The efficiency and precision of many 3D printing technologies also fall short in producing large-batch parts and high-precision components.

3 Extruded PEEK and its composites

3.1 Profiles of extruded PEEK and its composites

Secondary processing of polymer sheets, rods, and tubes manufactured by extrusion molding (Fig. 6) to

form specified products is a common process. Although there has been limited academic research on these manufacturing processes, the extrusion of PEEK sheets, tubes, and rods has generated a significant number of patents over the last three decades (Nishitani, 1990; Suzuki, 2001; Ji, 2020, 2021; Qiao, 2021; Zhu et al., 2021). For instance, Ji (2021) invented an extrusion die for PEEK rods which enables the simultaneous production of several rods with smooth surfaces and dense interiors, and Suzuki (2001) designed an extrusion device suitable for the continuous production of high-performance, thin PEEK tubes. The extrusion machine equipped with an enhanced die, body, and heating method can better adapt to the high-temperature and high-pressure demands necessary for PEEK molding. Moreover, it can enhance the quality of PEEK and result in a denser internal structure for the profile and higher production efficiency.




Fig. 6 Profiles molded by extrusion molding

With the rise of 3D printing technology during the past decade, the preparation of PEEK standard filaments with uniform diameter and excellent performance has become a hot topic. Using extrusion molding, PEEK composite filaments are crafted by blending with various reinforcements such as nano-hydroxyapatite (nHA), nano-strontium, nano-zinc, nano-graphite, and carbon nanotubes (CNTs). This innovative technique brings substantial advantages, enhancing the biocompatibility, heat resistance, mechanical properties, thermal conductivity, and electrical conductivity of 3D-printed components. A particularly challenging aspect of this study revolves around reducing the internal porosity of carbon fiber (CF)/PEEK

composite filaments. Naganaboyina et al. (2023) achieved uniform blends of CF and PEEK through a ball milling process, delving into the correlation between extrusion molding parameters and filament formation. Their work showcased the positive relationship between temperature gradients in the barrel, high screw speeds, and pressures in ensuring filament diameter uniformity. Additionally, it shed light on filament brittleness due to the elevated fiber content, emphasizing the impact of cooling rate and ambient temperature on filament quality. Experimental findings underscored that porosity resulting from inadequate bonding of internal CF to the PEEK matrix significantly contributes to the deterioration of tensile properties. Diouf-Lewis et al. (2022) successfully obtained 0.6-mm diameter CF/PEEK filaments with a fiber mass fraction of up to 30% through extrusion molding. Their in-depth investigation into porosity and fiber distribution within the filaments, aided by micro-computed tomography (CT) scans, revealed fibers mostly exceeding 890 μm in length. Void sizes ranged from about 1–28 μm , with smaller voids near the outer surfaces and larger voids in the center. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) experiments suggested that the sizing agent on the surface of the CFs played a role in forming a robust interface. Kuba et al. (2022) demonstrated creativity in using extrusion molding to develop continuous CF/PEEK filaments for 3D printing. They explored the impact of different viscosities of PEEK on internal porosity and found that ultra-low viscosity PEEK reduced voids within the filaments by 45% compared to standard PEEK. This supports the idea that reducing material viscosity and enhancing its flow on the CF's surface is an effective strategy to minimize filament porosity. This collective body of research highlights the intricate dynamics between material properties, processing parameters, and the production of high-quality PEEK composite filaments for advanced 3D printing applications.

3.2 Functional PEEK composites developed by extrusion molding

The exceptional reprocessing ability of thermoplastic polymers contributes significantly to their widespread popularity. Researchers aim to amplify the versatility of thermoplastic polymers by compounding them with materials that confer specific functionalities, thereby expanding their applications. PEEK, with its intrinsic low dielectric constant and natural insulating properties, has limitations in electrical applications.

Recent endeavors have focused on enhancing the electrical conductivity of PEEK by incorporating highly conductive fillers through the extrusion molding process. As shown in Table 1, we have summarized the percolation threshold and electrical conductivity of PEEK composites produced by extrusion molding.

Various conductive fillers, such as nano-silver (Rivière et al., 2016), graphite (Goyal, 2013; Mokhtari et al., 2021), fly ash (Parvaiz et al., 2011), and CNT (Bangarusam path et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2017; Wen et al., 2022), have been explored in the formulation of functional PEEK composites. Extrusion molding has emerged as a pivotal technique, showcasing unique advantages in developing these composites. Carbonaceous materials, renowned for their exceptional electrical, mechanical, and thermal properties, have garnered significant attention in this context. In the early stages of exploration, Bangarusam path et al. (2009) produced multi-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNT)-reinforced PEEK composites, enhancing composite conductivity by nearly 10 orders of magnitude with a mere 2% (mass fraction) addition of MWCNTs. Gao et al. (2015) demonstrated ingenuity in preparing carbon black (CB)-reinforced PEEK/thermoplastic polyimide (TPI) alloys, applying the difference in affinity between TPI and PEEK for selective CB localization. This transformation from an island structure to a continuous structure resulted in a notable reduction of the electroosmotic flow threshold to 5% (mass fraction). In their earlier work, continuing this line of investigation, Gao et al. (2014) extended their study to the electrical conductivity of MWCNT/PEEK/TPI ternary composites, achieving low electrical leakage thresholds with a mass fraction of MWCNT as low as 0.8% (mass fraction). However, the compatibility issue between CNT and PEEK led to CNT agglomeration, impacting the formation of the thermal conductive network. Recent research efforts have concentrated on improving the dispersion of MWCNTs in PEEK. Zhang et al. (2012) enhanced dispersion by incorporating polyether sulfone (PES)-encapsulated MWCNTs in the PEEK matrix, resulting in improvements in dielectric, mechanical, and thermal properties. Lin et al. (2017) used a liquid crystalline copolymer of poly(aryl ether ketone) (FPEDEKKLCP) as a processing aid to reduce the melt viscosity of MWCNTs/PEEK composites, concurrently enhancing the dispersion of MWCNTs in the PEEK matrix. In a novel approach, Wen et al. (2022) prepared

hydroxyphenolphthalein-type polyether ketone-grafted carbon nanotubes (PEK-C-OH-g-MWCNTs-COOH) composites through an esterification reaction. This innovative method significantly improved the distribution of CNTs and enhanced the electrical conductivity from 1.3 to 3.0 S/m. These advancements provided methods for refining the electrical properties of PEEK through formulations and processing techniques.

Developing new composites through extrusion molding to enhance the biocompatibility advantages of PEEK is a current focus among researchers. Numerous studies have demonstrated that co-extrusion with hydroxyapatite (HA) effectively improves the bioactivity of PEEK, with bioactivity positively correlated with HA content (Abu Bakar et al., 2003a, 2003b, 2003c; Tang et al., 2004; Ma and Tang, 2014; Bathala et al., 2019). Traditional biomaterials, including strontium HA (Ma and Tang, 2014), calcium silicate (CS) (Zheng et al., 2021), and glass fibers (GFs) (Song et al., 2017), have shown similar effects. However, enhancing bioactivity is not the sole consideration for extruded PEEK materials in biomedical applications like bone implantation. Achieving mechanical properties comparable to human bone and high fatigue strength are equally crucial and more challenging. Prof. Khor from Nanyang Technological University, Singapore (Abu Bakar et al., 2003a, 2003b, 2003c; Tang et al., 2004) conducted comprehensive mechanical characterization using co-extrusion to mold HA/PEEK composites ranging from 5% to 40%. Mechanical behavior analysis revealed excellent tensile fatigue resistance, with a high estimated fatigue strength at 50% of ultimate tensile strength for 1 million cycles. Additionally, the tensile modulus of the composites increased while the

tensile strength decreased, resulting in a significant enhancement of brittleness. Notably, the tensile modulus of HA/PEEK approached that of human cortical bone when the volume fraction of HA was 30%. Khor’s group observed that blending PEEK with micron-sized HA led to matrix debonding, causing poor interfacial adhesion and triggering crack initiation and expansion, ultimately resulting in material failure. This raised concerns about long-term loading. The use of nano-bioactive materials with superior mechanical characteristics became more desirable. For instance, Marcomini et al. (2017) co-extruded nHA treated with a silane coupling agent with PEEK and systematically compared the micro- and meso-structures and mechanical property performance of the composites before and after silane treatment. Silane-treated nanocomposites exhibited higher stress, six times higher fracture deformation, and higher impact strength than untreated nanocomposites. The cycles to failure for silane coupling agent-treated nanocomposites were nearly 200% higher than those for untreated samples. This enhancement was attributed to the strengthened interfacial bond of PEEK/HA with the addition of the silane coupling agent, resulting in better dispersion of nHA in the PEEK matrix.

PEEK and its composites stand out as ideal alternatives to metals today, thanks to their exceptional mechanical properties. Extruded short carbon fiber (SCF)- and short glass fiber (SGF)-reinforced PEEK samples have been standardized and mass-produced by major raw material manufacturers, finding applications in various processes such as thermoforming, injection molding, and 3D printing. Parts crafted from these materials have garnered widespread validation from

Table 1 Extrusion molding of various PEEK composite materials

Filler	Ratio	Electro-osmotic flow threshold	Conductivity (S/m)	Reference
AgNP	10.8% (volume fraction)	10.8% (volume fraction)	6.7×10^4	Rivière et al., 2016
AgNW	0.55% (volume fraction)	0.55% (volume fraction)	1.45×10^5	Rivière et al., 2016
EG	11.7% (mass fraction)	8.5% (mass fraction)	2.4×10^{-3}	Mokhtari et al., 2021
EG	10% (mass fraction)	1.5% (mass fraction)	1.23×10^2	Goyal, 2013
MWCNT	17% (mass fraction)	1.5% (mass fraction)	1×10^2	Bangarusamphath et al., 2009
TPI/CB	12.5% (mass fraction)	5% (mass fraction)	0.533	Gao et al., 2015
MWCNTs/FPEDEKLLCP	0.4% (mass fraction)		8.4×10^{-2}	Lin et al., 2017
MWCNTs-COOH	26.1% (mass fraction)	4.5% (mass fraction)	1.3	Wen et al., 2022
PEK-C-OH-g-MWCNTs-COOH	30% (mass fraction)	5% (mass fraction)	3.0	Wen et al., 2022

AgNP: spherical silver nanoparticle; AgNW: silver nanowire; EG: expanded graphene

researchers. Moreover, researchers have delved into expanding the applications of PEEK composites by focusing on their favorable friction properties through extrusion molding. Puértolas et al. (2019) engineered graphene nanoparticle-reinforced PEEK composites using a twin-screw extruder and extensively studied their friction characteristics. The inclusion of nanographene sheets (GNPs) as fillers in PEEK-based materials, without any chemical functionalization, led to significant enhancements: a notable (34%) increase in hardness, a substantial reduction (−60%) in the coefficient of friction (COF), and a remarkable decrease (−38%) in the wear coefficient on the material surface. Zhong et al. (2011) developed hybrids of zirconium dioxide (ZnO₂) filled CF/PEEK, demonstrating their outstanding wear performance in high-pressure underwater environments, attributed to the synergistic effects of ZnO₂ particles and CF. Additionally, Gladson et al. (2019) extrusion-molded AgNWs/PEEK/polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) ternary composites, achieving superior anti-friction materials with coefficients of friction as low as 0.2.

3.3 Discussion

Extrusion molding is widely used in preparing materials, including basic PEEK profiles like rods, tubes, and plates. It also blends PEEK with fillers to enhance properties like biocompatibility and electrical conductivity, usable in 3D printing and injection molding. Despite its advantages, challenges persist. Basic research on PEEK and its profiles is lacking. Achieving

effective melt blending with fibrous or powdered fillers remains difficult, often causing interface degradation. Additionally, research on extrusion foam molding for PEEK is limited but holds potential for lightweighting. Addressing these challenges is crucial for advancing PEEK and its composites via extrusion molding.

4 Hot compression-molded PEEK and its composites

4.1 Hot compression molding of continuous fiber-reinforced PEEK composite

Hot compression molding is a pivotal method for fabricating continuous fiber-reinforced PEEK composites, allowing for a substantial increase in fiber mass fraction and resulting in exceptional mechanical properties. In Table 2, we have summarized the mechanical properties of various types of hot compression molding PEEK composite laminates. Numerous scholars have delved into the effects of hot compression molding process parameters, such as molding pressure, temperature, and holding time, on the mechanical properties of molded continuous fiber-reinforced PEEK composites (Mayer et al., 1998; Sala et al., 2002; Patel et al., 2010; McCool et al., 2012; Lessard et al., 2015; Machado et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018). Dai et al. (2021) produced laminates comprising multilayer unidirectional prepreg tapes with 67% (mass fraction) of continuous carbon fiber (CCF) via the molding

Table 2 Mechanical properties of hot compression PEEK laminates

Material	Weight ratio	Tensile strength	Flexural strength	Remark	Reference
PEEK	–	112 MPa	191 MPa		Li T et al., 2023
CCF/PEEK	67%	≈500 MPa	≈800 MPa		Hu JQ et al., 2022
Plain CCF/PEEK	68%–70%		934 MPa	ILSS: 69 MPa	Zheng et al., 2019
CCF/PEEK	60%	889 MPa	974 MPa	Indoor temperature	Plagianakos et al., 2020
CCF/PEEK	60%	701 MPa	877 MPa	Hot and wet	Plagianakos et al., 2020
UD CF/PEEK	33%				Almudaihesh et al., 2020
Plain CF/PEEK	40%				Almudaihesh et al., 2020
Twill woven CF/PEEK	40%				Almudaihesh et al., 2020
SiO ₂ /PEEK	0.5% (volume fraction)	111 MPa			Zhang et al., 2008
nHA/MWCNT/PEEK	nHA: 30%; MWCNT: 5%	Elastic modulus: 7.6077 GPa			Kumar et al., 2021
MWCNT/PEEK	5%	Elastic modulus: 6.7152 GPa			Kumar et al., 2021

UD: unidirectional; ILSS: interlaminar shear strength

process. The most influential molding parameters affecting tensile strength were identified as molding temperature, holding time, and molding pressure. The optimal molding process was determined to be 400 °C, 3 MPa, 30 min, resulting in a remarkable tensile strength of about 500 MPa. In a more detailed and systematic study, Ma et al. (2023) molded 16 layers of CCF/PEEK prepreg tape with a mass fraction of 54% into a single laminate. Their findings highlighted the interplay between molding temperature, pressure, and holding time in promoting matrix infiltration and reducing voids. However, prolonged exposure to high pressure and temperature led to PEEK degradation, resulting in embrittlement and lower impact strength. Moreover, the study emphasized the differential roles of molding temperature, pressure, and holding time in influencing crystallization behavior. While the crystallinity of the composites remained constant under different molding temperatures, higher temperatures prompted the PEEK matrix to spread to the surface of the fibers, fostering the growth of spherical crystals. This phenomenon resulted in more and larger crystals, increased composite toughness, and elevated T_m at the macroscopic level. The rise in molding pressure enhanced the density of crystalline domains, boosting mechanical strength. Increased holding time promoted matrix/fiber contact and the growth of transverse crystalline layers, improving bending strength. The experimentally determined optimal molding parameters for continuous CF/PEEK composites, ensuring both transverse bending properties and type I interlaminar fracture toughness, were identified as 405 °C, 1.5 MPa, and 30 min, closely aligning with those derived by Dai et al. (2021). Notably, molding parameters are not the sole determinants of the final mechanical properties, with the laying method of unidirectional prepreg tape also playing a crucial role. Dai et al. (2022) demonstrated the efficacy of preparing unidirectional prepreg tape laminates laid in the $[0^\circ/90^\circ]$ direction, achieving outstanding tensile strength ((810 ± 10) MPa) and bending strength ((521 ± 12) MPa) (Fig. 7a). The strong anisotropy of unidirectional prepreg tapes, characterized by extremely high tensile strength in the fiber alignment direction, underlines their potential. However, their brittleness in other directions limits their applications, prompting the exploration of braided prepreg tapes for scenarios requiring more deformation and bending.

Hu JQ et al. (2022) investigated the influence of process parameters on carbon fiber fabric-reinforced PEEK (CFF/PEEK) composite materials, emphasizing that excessively low and high molding temperatures resulted in increased melt viscosity (Figs. 7b1–7b3). Elevated temperatures caused thermal degradation and embrittlement of PEEK, while insufficient molding pressure led to reduced melt infiltration into the fibers, and excessive pressure resulted in resin loss, fiber misalignment, and deformation. The optimized molding parameters were determined to be a molding temperature of 390 °C, molding pressure of 2.5–3.5 MPa, and a holding time of 20–30 min. This study corroborated the findings of Zheng et al. (2019), who conducted a similar investigation over a broader range of molding temperatures and pressures, highlighting the importance of balancing molding parameters to achieve optimal mechanical properties. The complexity of factors affecting the mechanical properties and molding accuracy of CF/PEEK thermoformed laminates extends to the specific working environment and the state of continuous fiber reinforcement. Systematic research by various scholars has addressed interfacial strength, fiber deformation during the molding process, and moisture-heat aging, yielding valuable insights. For instance, Batista et al. (2021) investigated the effect of the crystallinity of CF/PEEK plain fabric laminates on their weathering resistance. Their experiments, conducted according to ASTM standards, revealed that ultraviolet (UV)/condensation treatment induced secondary crystallization, enhancing interlayer shear strength and material hot compression strength. Humid-heat treatment increased crystallinity, improving Young's modulus and hot compression strength. Moisture entering the composites in salt spray experiments led to increased crystallinity, reduced water absorption, and demonstrated CF/PEEK's excellent weathering resistance. Almudaihesh et al. (2020) explored the influence of water immersion on the mechanical strength of different types of CF/PEEK composites, finding variable strength losses after 40 d of immersion. This research provided a basis for setting safety factors and selecting suitable types for CF/PEEK service in underwater environments. Bismarck et al. (2007) studied the deformation behavior of CF/PEEK laminates exposed to boiling water under end-loaded hot compression bending conditions. The duration of deformation failure was found to be closely




Fig. 7 (a) Preparation of the composites and scanning electron microscope (SEM) morphologies of as-prepared composites in vertical and horizontal views (reprinted from (Dai et al., 2022), Copyright 2022, with permission from Elsevier); (b1) Fabrication process; (b2) Hot-pressing process parameters of weave CFF/PEEK; (b3) Surface morphology of weave CFF/PEEK composite fabricated with 400 °C molding temperatures (reprinted from (Hu JQ et al., 2022), Copyright 2022, with permission from John Wiley and Sons). References to color refer to the online version of this figure

related to the matrix, fiber, and interface states of the laminate. The study suggested that thermoplastic composites leading to compression bending or large planar deformations are “safe” only if the maximum service temperature of the finished part is well below the T_g of the polymer matrix. Otherwise, severe material failure cannot be ruled out, even at low-bending radii.

Improving the interfacial strength between CF and PEEK has emerged as a key factor in enhancing the overall mechanical properties of hot compression-molded CF/PEEK laminates. Various modifiers, such

as HA (Zhao et al., 2023), aminated polyphenylene sulphide (PPS-NH₂) (Ren TN et al., 2022), PEEK derivatives (Ren et al., 2023), CNT (Lyu et al., 2021), have been explored to chemically modify CF or introduce new functional groups, experimentally verifying their capacity to enhance the interfacial strength of CF/PEEK. For instance, Yapici (2014) investigated the introduction of oxygen functional groups on the surface of CF with piranha solution and chromate solution to improve the bond strength of laminates. Lyu et al. (2021) modified CF with hydroxylated PEEK grafted MWCNT, resulting in increased interlaminar

shear strength, flexural strength, and flexural modulus of the modified CF/PEEK composites. The results showed that the interlaminar shear strength increased by 73.0% (84.7 MPa), flexural strength by 163.2% (906.2 MPa), and flexural modulus by 84.8% (58.4 GPa). In summary, the comprehensive exploration of hot compression molding parameters, the laying method of unidirectional prepreg tape, and the broader considerations of working environment and fiber reinforcement state have collectively contributed to the development of high-performance continuous fiber-reinforced PEEK composites.

4.2 Hot compression of other PEEK composites and materials

The production of sheet-like profiles from PEEK through hot compression molding represents a significant molding approach. Talbott et al. (1987) produced PEEK sheets through hot compression molding, where they controlled the cooling rate to achieve sheets with variable crystallinity. Subsequent experiments explored mechanical properties, including tensile, compression, shear properties, and fracture toughness, revealing a relationship between these properties and crystallinity. Li T et al. (2023) investigated the effect of molding parameters on the mechanical properties of PEEK hot compression laminates, determining optimal parameters as a molding temperature of 400 °C, molding pressure of 2.5 MPa, and hot compression time of 30 min. Samples produced under these conditions exhibited impressive tensile strength (112 MPa) and bending strength (191 MPa). Additionally, Zalaznik (2016) studied the frictional performance of PEEK sheets produced at different molding temperatures, observing a decrease in the friction coefficient with increasing molding temperature. Lower temperatures were associated with reduced hardness, favoring the formation of a PEEK-PEEK friction film and subsequently decreasing the wear rate. Tian et al. (2021) explored the influence of crystallinity on the interaction between laser beams and PEEK sheets produced with high, medium, or low crystallinity. Experimental and simulation results indicated that light reflectance at 1070 nm wavelength increased with crystallinity, while transmittance decreased. Goyal et al. (2006) investigated the linear expansion of hot compression-molded PEEK sheets, revealing anisotropic behavior influenced by compressive forces during molding. Evans et al.

(2015) ingeniously blended PEEK powder with sodium chloride, using a method of hot-press molding to create cylindrical extruded profiles. Subsequently, immersing these profiles in water facilitated the removal of sodium chloride, resulting in PEEK porous structures with a consistent porosity. Both mechanical performance tests and *in vivo* implantation experiments in rats yielded promising results. The introduction of surface porosity led to samples exhibiting elevated tensile strength, fatigue resistance, and interfacial shear strength, while simultaneously providing accessible porosity for inward bone growth. Preliminary *in vivo* findings offered compelling evidence of bone ingrowth into the porous network, suggesting potential enhancements in implant stability. A similar and ingenious approach for producing PEEK microcellular foam products involves blending PEEK with a mixture of water-soluble salts, followed by hot-press molding and subsequent boiling water immersion (Li N et al., 2023).

Incorporating continuous fiber composite materials into hot compression molding of PEEK is an intriguing avenue of research. By blending fibers with different properties to create woven fabrics and subsequently stacking these fabrics into laminates through hot compression molding, PEEK composite laminates with various performance characteristics can be achieved. Gupta et al. (2002), Shekar (2010a, 2010b, 2011), Lu et al. (2019), and Trzecieński et al. (2021) explored the reinforcement of PEEK with fibers such as CF, GF, and PEEK fibers. Lu et al. (2019) prepared CF/PEEK blended woven fabrics and optimized molding parameters, finding that higher mass fractions of PEEK in the weave led to higher interfacial strength (Fig. 8). However, excessive PEEK resin content dispersed the CF, causing defects in the laminates. The optimum resin content was determined as 59.07%, resulting in a tensile strength of (738.36±14.49) MPa and a flexural strength of (659.68±57.53) MPa. Shekar et al. (2010a, 2011) blended and weaved GF with PEEK fibers, obtaining GF/PEEK laminates with excellent mechanical properties through hot compression molding. These laminates showed higher values of interlaminar shear strength, flexural strength, and tensile strength compared to unidirectional GF/epoxy composites with similar resin content and thickness. The dielectric properties of the GF/PEEK composites were less dependent on frequency and temperature, showcasing their potential for aerospace applications.




Fig. 8 (a) Carbon fiber and PEEK fiber blended and woven; (b) CF/PEEK woven fabric; (c) Hot-compression CF/PEEK laminate; (d) Tensile properties of CF/PEEK laminates with different numbers of strands. Reprinted from (Lu et al., 2019), Copyright 2019, with permission from Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. References to color refer to the online version of this figure

The use of nanomaterials, such as silica nanoparticles (SiO_2), in PEEK through hot compression molding allows for the development of composite sheets with specific functions. Zhang et al. (2008) used SiO_2 to enhance PEEK's tensile strength and wear resistance. Lai et al. (2007) treated the surface of nano silica with stearic acid before adding it to PEEK, resulting in improved dispersion in the PEEK matrix and enhanced high-temperature mechanical properties.

In the biomedical field, PEEK-based nanocomposites have been explored for orthopedic and prosthetic applications. For example, Abd El-Fattah (2021) demonstrated that hydrophobic SiO_2 -filled PEEK can be used in orthopedics when the mass fraction is below 10%. Additionally, hot compression-molded MWCNT/nHA/PEEK (Kumar et al., 2021) and nano Ag-TiO₂/PEEK (Ru et al., 2023) have shown promise in orthopedics, dentistry, and other biomedical applications.

4.3 Discussion

Hot compression molding effectively combines the PEEK matrix with continuous fibers, resulting in exceptional mechanical properties, weather resistance, and abrasion resistance in various laminates and composites.

Additionally, it enables the development of nanomaterial-reinforced PEEK composites with enhanced biocompatibility. Despite advances, research gaps remain, including understanding fiber behavior and enhancing interfacial strength. Another promising area requiring further exploration is bonding PEEK composites with metals for lighter metal parts (Mitschang et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2022). Addressing these gaps will advance hot compression molding applications in PEEK and its composites.

5 Injection-molded PEEK and its composites

5.1 Molding process of PEEK and its microcellular injection foams

In the injection molding process, various parameters, spanning from raw material to final product molding, intricately impact the service performance of the end product. Chivers and Moore (1994) conducted early research on the impact of annealing on the injection molding of PEEK with different molecular weights. Their experiments revealed that annealing increased the crystallinity of PEEK samples, and the upper limit depended on the molecular weight of the raw material.

Higher molecular weights corresponded to smaller upper limits of crystallinity. While the tensile modulus and yield strength increased with crystallinity, molecular weight showed no significant effect. The toughness of PEEK samples was notably affected by both molecular weight and crystallinity size, with toughness increasing with molecular weight and decreasing with crystallinity. Hsiung et al. (1990) maintained the injection melt temperature at 400 °C, exploring the effects of mold temperature and injection speed on the mechanical properties of injection-molded PEEK samples. Their research indicated a significant effect of mold temperature on the crystallinity of PEEK samples, with higher mold temperatures leading to more fully crystallized samples and increased crystallinity under specific holding times. The optimal impact strength for PEEK samples was achieved at room temperature and 140–160 °C with a low injection rate. The modulus and yield stress increased with rising mold temperature, while tensile strength and elongation at break decreased. Park and Kim (2009) investigated the effect of mold temperature on the mechanical properties of thin-walled flexible wheel parts for harmonic gearing, illustrating the negative impact of local crystallinity on part strength. These studies collectively underscore the substantial influence of crystallinity on the mechanical properties of the final molded PEEK samples. Regulating process parameters and controlling the motion state of molecular chains are crucial for producing high-quality injection-molded PEEK samples that meet the toughness and strength requirements. Among these parameters, the size of crystallinity is closely linked to the cooling process of the PEEK melt. Controlling the difference between melt temperature and mold temperature holds significant importance for crystallinity. Generally, when PEEK is melted in the cylinder, its molecular chains exhibit vigorous movement and remain in an amorphous state. The hot PEEK melt enters the mold far below its temperature, leading to rapid cooling. A larger temperature difference results in a faster cooling rate. If the cooling rate is too fast, crystallization of the PEEK melt cannot occur before complete cooling, leading to reduced crystallinity. Setting the mold temperature above the T_g of PEEK, along with a sufficiently long holding time, allows ample growth time for crystallization, ensuring periodic crystallinity. Therefore, the use of an appropriate melt temperature, mold temperature, and holding time is crucial for achieving final molding quality.

The exploration of PEEK microcellular foam products, boasting high foaming expansion ratios and robust performance, stands as a pivotal research avenue (Liang et al., 2022; Qi et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2023). However, conventional injection molding processes often prove unreliable for effectively foaming high-performance polymers (Verdejo et al., 2009). Verdejo et al. (2009) emerged as a trailblazer in addressing this challenge, pioneering the blending of CNT/PEEK composite materials with a foaming agent. Through injection molding, they achieved PEEK-foam with exceptional mechanical properties. In recent years, there has been a notable shift towards leveraging supercritical fluid technology for crafting PEEK-foamed products. In this innovative process, a high-pressure supercritical fluid such as carbon dioxide or nitrogen is intricately mixed with molten PEEK within a mold. Subsequently, during the demolding phase, a rapid drop in pressure ensues, facilitating the release of carbon dioxide or nitrogen. The result is the formation of PEEK microcellular foam endowed with a distinctive porous structure. These advanced PEEK foams find practical applications in diverse fields such as biomedicine (Li N et al., 2023) and lightweight design. Compared to conventional hot-press molding, microcellular injection molding (MIM) using supercritical fluids has emerged as a more prevalent technique to produce foamed PEEK products. Feng YT et al. (2022) pioneered the study of MIM PEEK, establishing process parameters based on simulation analysis. Microcellular PEEK with an average cell size of 30 μm and a weight reduction of about 10% was achieved. Their study also analyzed the tensile properties of PEEK before and after foaming, revealing a negative impact of the internal vesicle structure on tensile properties. Ma et al. (2022) used MIM to prepare microcellular PEEK with a maximum weight reduction of 22%, investigating the effect of crystallization on PEEK foaming. The results indicated enhanced tensile strength with increased crystallinity, accompanied by a reduction in the dielectric constant and density of internal vesicles. Prof. Peng Zhao's research group from Zhejiang University, China (Yang JH et al., 2022a, 2022b; Guo et al., 2023b) conducted a comprehensive study on the injection foam molding of PEEK (Fig. 9). They introduced the micro-open mold process and induction heating technology, obtaining PEEK foam with superior weight reduction, surface quality, and mechanical properties, paving the way for MIM PEEK development. The

group prepared PEEK foams by MIM with a typical skin-core structure, studying the effects of melt temperature, injection speed, injection volume, and gas content on weight loss ratio, cell structure, and tensile properties of PEEK foams. The thermal properties of PEEK before and after injection foaming remained comparable, indicating minimal impact on thermal properties. The weight loss ratio of microporous PEEK correlated positively with melt temperature, injection speed, and gas concentration, and negatively with injection volume. The injection volume had the most significant effect on weight loss, reaching a maximum experimental weight loss ratio of 17.29%. Cell structure sparsity correlated with nucleation force and cell growth resistance. The cell density was about 6×10^7 cells/cm³ and the size about 12 μ m. Tensile properties positively correlated with injection rate, injection volume, and gas concentration, and negatively with melt temperature. Injection volume had the most significant effect on tensile properties, with maximum tensile strength and modulus reaching 74.13 and 2783.72 MPa, respectively.

However, the MIM technique posed challenges, as the melts adjacent to the cavity sides cooled drastically, leading to a fountain phenomenon when the PEEK melt with supercritical fluid entered the metal cavity at lower temperatures. This resulted in gas overflow, surface quality deterioration, and severe internal porosity deformation. Subsequent research introduced the micro-open mold process into MIM, developing mold-opening microcellular injection molding (MOMIM). In MOMIM, the PEEK melt and supercritical fluid mixture enters the cavity, is held under pressure, and undergoes remixing of supercritical nitrogen gas with the PEEK melt to form a homogeneous structure. The mold is slightly opened along the sample thickness after the holding pressure phase, causing a sudden pressure drop, allowing N₂ dissolution to escape during the holding phase and leading to secondary nucleation. This prevents N₂ escaping from the sample surface, improving surface quality and internal cell structure. Experimental investigation of holding time, holding pressure, and mold opening distance revealed excellent PEEK-foamed samples with bending properties surpassing those of solid samples, achieving a maximum weight reduction ratio of up to 28%. MOMIM demonstrated significant potential in enhancing PEEK foam properties. In recent work, Guo et al. (2023b)

introduced induction heating into the injection foam molding of PEEK to address the inefficiencies of oil heater heating. Comparison of oil heater, electric, and induction heating demonstrated that induction heating provides a stable and efficient mold temperature of up to 180 °C for PEEK injection molding. Induction heating efficiency was about 158% of electric heating, reducing the cooling time of a single production by 15 s. Surface roughness of PEEK products produced by MIM and MOMIM was reduced by 9.8%–61.2%, and tensile and bending properties improved by more than 18% and 23%, respectively, with induction heating compared to oil heater heating.

5.2 Service performance research on injection-molded PEEK composites and its components

Injection molding has irreplaceable advantages because of its efficient and stable method for producing complex structures that require mass production. Therefore, research on the service performance of injection-molded PEEK composites has been the focus of scholars.

PEEK composites have emerged as pivotal materials in the realm of mechanical structures, owing to their exceptional attributes in mechanical properties, crack resistance, and frictional characteristics. The outstanding mechanical prowess of short fiber-reinforced PEEK composites has been substantiated by studies conducted over the years (Bozarth et al., 1987; Wu and Schultz, 1990; Karger-Kocsis, 1991; Sarasua et al., 1995). In a groundbreaking study by Berthet et al. (2017), the tensile properties of injection-molded SCF/PEEK reached an impressive 330 MPa, surpassing the specific strength of aerospace aluminum alloys and even rivaling some titanium alloys. Exploring the impact of temperature and fiber orientation on the tensile behavior of SCF/PEEK, Chang et al. (2021) conducted a comprehensive investigation, measuring the tensile mechanical properties at various temperatures (23, 80, 140, and 200 °C). Their intrinsic model accurately predicted stress–strain curves across a broad temperature range. The results indicated a decline in tensile strength and modulus of elasticity of the injection-molded CF/PEEK samples with rising temperature, coupled with an increase in strain at break. The anisotropy of the samples primarily hinged on fiber orientation, with its temperature dependency dictated by the matrix. The study of crack extension resistance under cyclic loading has




Fig. 9 (a1) Principle of microcellular injection molding (MIM) technology (Yang JH et al., 2022a); (a2) Principle of micro-open microcellular injection molding technology (MOMIM) (reprinted from (Yang JH et al., 2022b), Copyright 2022, with permission from Elsevier); (a3) Injection machine equipped with oil heater heating, electric heating, induction heating, and an infrared camera (Guo et al., 2023b); (b1) Sample molded by MIM (reprinted from (Yang JH et al., 2022b), Copyright 2023, with permission from Elsevier); (b2) Typical skin-core structure of MIM PEEK sample and its cell under SEM view; (b3) Weight reduction ratio and tensile properties of MIM PEEK (reprinted from (Yang JH et al., 2022a), Copyright 2022, with permission from Elsevier); (c1) Sample molded by MOMIM PEEK; (c2) Typical skin-core structure of MIM PEEK sample; (c3) Density, tensile properties, and flexural properties of MOMIM PEEK sample (Yang JH et al., 2022b). Difference between oil heating, electric heating, and induction heating for the production of MIM PEEK of (d1) surface roughness, (d2 and d3) cell structure, (d4) density and mechanical properties when holding time was 2, 4, or 6 s (Guo et al., 2023b)

attracted significant attention in the realm of short fiber-reinforced PEEK. Factors such as the volume fraction of fibers, fiber orientation, fiber length, and loading direction have been shown to be associated with fatigue crack generation and extension (Evans et al., 1996). Tanaka et al. (2014) delved into the crack extension rate of injection-molded short fiber-reinforced PEEK, establishing a functional relationship with the stress intensity factor. Their study highlighted the directional path dependence of crack expansion, emphasizing the accelerating effect of the core layer on crack expansion parallel to the direction of melt flow and its inhibition in the perpendicular direction. The synergy of PEEK's exceptional properties and the unique advantages of injection molding for mass-producing intricate structures has led to the development of numerous complex components. Notably, Kurokawa et al. (1999) pioneered high-performance CF/PEEK gears using an injection molding process that remained unaffected by operating conditions. Kurkin and Sadykova (2017) devised an injection-molded aerospace structural component with holes, and conducted hydrodynamic calculations to predict its design behavior under static loading using the advanced Moldex 3D program. Czechowicz et al. (2021) introduced the first mass-producible satellite structure, leveraging the design flexibility offered by injection molding with the high-performance polymer PEEK, ensuring swift integration and substantial cost savings.

The biomedical field stands out as a significant application area for injection-molded PEEK and its composites. Li et al. (2022) undertook the sulfonation of injection-molded CF/PEEK samples, coating the surface with a graphene oxide (GO) coating. In vitro cytotoxicity tests and in vivo animal research experiments demonstrated that the prepared GO-SCF/PEEK materials were non-toxic and exhibited a favorable osseointegration effect, indicating promising applications as implant materials for repairing bone defects. Similarly, injection-molded graphene-reinforced PEEK composites, developed by He et al. (2019), showcased commendable performance in in vitro research trials. These materials proved to be biocompatible, facilitating the adhesion and spread of bone marrow stromal stem cells. Several injection-molded composites have demonstrated the ability to inhibit bacterial growth, such as GO/PEEK (Jiang et al., 2021), MWCNT/PEEK (Cao et al., 2018), nHA/PEEK (Ma et al., 2014), and

TiO₂/SiO₂/PEEK (Thanigachalam and Subramanian, 2022). In particular, TiO₂/SiO₂/PEEK exhibited excellent biocompatibility, as shown by direct and indirect cytotoxicity studies in vitro using the MG-63 cell line, with a cell viability of 94.30% and cytotoxicity of 5.70%. Moreover, it showed substantial inhibition zone diameters against *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*, making it a promising candidate for biomedical applications. Fig. 10 illustrates the work of Feng CN et al. (2022) who developed injection-molded PEEK/ZnO-SCF composite materials. These materials demonstrated excellent antibacterial activity against *E. coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, confirming their robust biocompatibility. The injection molding process, with its unique industrial advantages facilitating rapid and large-scale production of complex structures, has been effectively harnessed in the biomedical manufacturing sector. In a different avenue, Yuan et al. (2022) pioneered the development of intervertebral fusion cages using injection-molded CS/porous tantalum (pTa)/PEEK and CS/PEEK composite materials. Implanted into live goats for experimental evaluation, the study revealed that both a non-grafted CS/pTa/PEEK cage and an autologous bone graft CS/PEEK cage exhibited similar bone fusion performance. The release of calcium and silicon from the cages demonstrated excellent biocompatibility in vivo, offering a novel non-grafted intervertebral fusion solution for patients with degenerative disc diseases, potentially avoiding complications associated with donor site harvesting. Subramanian and Thanigachalam (2022) used injection molding technology to fabricate implants made of PEEK and its composite materials reinforced with titanium dioxide and silicon dioxide. They evaluated the mechanical properties and in vitro antibacterial performance of these implants, obtaining optimal material compositions and implant thread shapes. Based on maximum compressive properties and hardness values, the best combinations were selected, such as 16% (mass fraction) TiO₂/PEEK, 12% (mass fraction) SiO₂/PEEK, and 16% (mass fraction) TiO₂/SiO₂/PEEK in all three groups. Furthermore, the in vitro antibacterial activity of selected polymer composites was assessed and found to be effective against *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*. The maximum zone of inhibition was found in the 16% (mass fraction) TiO₂/SiO₂/PEEK sample compared to TiO₂/PEEK and SiO₂/PEEK. AlFurajji (2020) evaluated the mechanical properties and bioactivity of three PEEK




Fig. 10 (a1) Design drawing of a CF/PEEK gear (unit: mm); (a2) Bending strain of CF/PEEK gear under cyclic loading, compared to POM gear (reprinted from (Kurokawa et al., 1999), Copyright 1999, with permission from Elsevier); (b1) Preparation of the ZnO-SCF material which filled the injection-molded PEEK composites; (b2) (A) PEEK/ZnO-SCF composites with different contents cultured with (A1) *E. coli* and (A2) *S. aureus* for 24 h and (A3) diameter of the inhibition zone; (B) Relative survival rates of the pure PEEK and PEEK composites by CCK-8 detection; (C) Live/dead staining diagram: (C1–C4) PEEK composite material and (C5–C8) pure PEEK; (b3) (A) SEM images after XLPE wear: (A1) pure PEEK and (A2–A4) PEEK/ZnO-SCF; (B) Wear mechanism diagram of the PEEK/ZnO-SCF composite (reprinted from (Feng CN et al., 2022), Copyright 2022, with permission from American Chemical Society)

composite materials. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) indicated that strong organisational bonds were established between the PEEK and the bioactive ingredients. X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern analysis showed the formation of crystalline PEEK and HA in the XRD spectra. The bioactive composites created in this study exhibited improved biocompatibility and bioactivity, encouraging cellular attachment and mineralised matrix deposition. Among the bioactive composites, samples made up of 20% (mass fraction) nHA and 25% (mass fraction) nHA:25% (mass fraction) Ti-6Al-4V showed the highest mechanical strength for use as dental implants.

In the realm of electrical engineering, extensive research has been conducted on injection-molded PEEK composite materials and their intricate structures. Carbon-based materials are commonly used as fillers to impart electrical conductivity to PEEK in large-scale production of electrical components through injection molding. King et al. (2018) conducted injection molding of conductive PEEK composite materials modified with carbon-based fillers, providing a comprehensive comparison of their electrical conductivity, thermal conductivity, and tensile properties. This comprehensive data serves as a reliable guide for developing conductive materials based on PEEK, expanding the range of applications for PEEK and its composite materials. Fischer et al. (2020) showcased the utility of PEEK as a disc-shaped wafer substrate for giant magnetoresistance (GMR) spin valve sensors. The large-scale production of this component, coupled with the direct molding of intricate cavities for subsequent sputter deposition through injection molding, replaced and simplified traditional photolithography and masking processes, almost rendering cleanroom facilities unnecessary. This study convincingly demonstrated the significant advantages of injection-molded PEEK materials in terms of precision molding and integrated manufacturing, opening up new possibilities for their application. In the domain of nuclear power, injection-molded PEEK has demonstrated strong applicability. Pagé et al. (2002) exposed injection-molded PEEK samples to irradiation in the pool of the SLOWPOKE-2 nuclear research reactor, studying the mixed radiation field effects on PEEK. The specimens, subjected to a gamma, electron, proton, and neutron radiation with exposure doses ranging from 0.15 to 15 MGy, showcased the radiation resistance of PEEK crystals. As the dose increased, molecular scission occurred between the

amorphous and crystalline phases of the polymer, resulting in cross-linking within the amorphous phase and a reduction in sample molecular weight. This study highlights the potential applications of injection-molded PEEK in the nuclear industry.

5.3 Discussion

Injection molding is widely recognized for its industrial advantages, from experimental validation to large-scale production. It plays a vital role in producing complex parts in industries like mechanics, medicine, and electronics. Despite its success, challenges persist for PEEK and its composites. Recycling and reproducing PEEK pose limitations, hindering broader utilization (Sarasua and Pouyet, 1997; McLaughlin et al., 2014). Moreover, research on injection foam molding of PEEK composites lacks data accumulation, and understanding the formation mechanism of internal cells remains incomplete. Ensuring accuracy in large-scale production, especially for PEEK-based composite materials, is another research gap. Integrating computer-based methods for analysis and quality control holds promise for advancing injection molding processes further.

6 3D-printed PEEK and its composites

6.1 3D printing processes for PEEK and its composites

6.1.1 3D Printing and post-treatment of PEEK and its short fiber composites

In recent years, researchers have concentrated on enhancing the mechanical performance of 3D-printed PEEK products by using more rational parameters and designing effective post-processing techniques. Factors such as nozzle temperature, chamber temperature, printed (build platform) temperature, printing speed, layer height, raster width and thickness, raster orientation, and infill percentage have been extensively studied for their impact on the mechanical properties and dimensional accuracy of the final printed components, leading to significant advancements in this field. The foundation of these research efforts lies in the FDM of pure PEEK. Gao et al. (2021) used single-factor experiments and determined that PEEK samples produced via FDM exhibited optimal overall performance in terms of warping deformation, tensile strength, and specific strength when using a raster angle of 0°/90° and a 50% infill

density. Further analysis of printing speed, nozzle temperature, build platform temperature, raster width, and layer height through orthogonal experiments revealed that the build platform temperature was the most critical parameter affecting warping deformation. However, both printing speed and nozzle temperature had a more pronounced impact on tensile strength. Through optimization, the warping deformation of the samples was significantly reduced to nearly zero, and tensile strength was improved by 19.6%. In the FDM process, PEEK melt is extruded from the nozzle and undergoes cooling and deposition on the print platform. Printing speed and nozzle temperature jointly affect the energy transfer process of the PEEK material. Additionally, the printer's platform temperature and chamber temperature affect the crystallization and orientation behavior of the extruded PEEK melt. These thermodynamic processes ultimately determine the aggregated structural state and surface energy state of each layer of PEEK samples produced by FDM. Consequently, they have a significant impact on interlayer strength, overall mechanical properties, and warping tendencies. In essence, FDM represents a complex interplay of multiple factors, where speed and temperature fields control the interactions with PEEK material. This viewpoint was corroborated by other scholars in the field (Li and Lou, 2020; AlAlaween et al., 2023). Molecular weight is another factor affecting the mechanical properties and microstructure of 3D-printed PEEK products. Xu et al. (2021) showed that reducing the molecular weight of PEEK can effectively improve its flowability, reduce internal defects, and enhance the mechanical performance of 3D-printed PEEK. FDM has unique characteristics such as layer-by-layer and low speed manufacturing, and is non-pressure forming compared to other manufacturing methods such as injection molding and hot compressing molding. This leads to difficulties in molecular diffusion between different layers, resulting in reduced interlayer bonding strength. Therefore, improving interlayer adhesion is crucial for enhancing the mechanical strength of FDM-printed PEEK samples. Basgul et al. (2021) developed a one-dimensional heat transfer model and combined it with a non-isothermal curing model to successfully predict the interlayer strength of FDM-printed PEEK parts (Figs. 11a1–11a3). According to this model, the temperature of each layer gradually increased from the bottom layer near the build platform to the top layer,

with a temperature difference of up to 30 °C between the 1st and the 41st layer by the end of printing. The degree of interface curing also increased with the number of layers. Properly increasing key temperatures such as chamber temperature, build platform temperature, and nozzle temperature was an effective means to enhance the interlayer bonding strength of FDM-printed PEEK samples. Liaw et al. (2021) designed orthogonal experiments to investigate the impact of various parameters on interlayer strength in FDM-printed PEEK specimens. The results indicated that the thermodynamic processes during the printing process determined interlayer strength, with nozzle temperature having the most significant effect. To improve interlayer bonding strength in fiber-reinforced PEEK composites, Qu et al. (2023) integrated ultrasound-assisted additive manufacturing technology into PEEK's FDM process (Figs. 11b1 and 11b2). They applied high-frequency vibrations (greater than 16 kHz) to concentrate energy into the material, softening it, and applied positive pressure to create a dense structure. This technique significantly reduced sample defects and increased tensile modulus by a factor of three, providing a novel approach to overcoming the limitations of non-pressure forming in FDM.

CF, GF, and CNT-reinforced PEEK samples manufactured using FDM exhibit a wider range of performance characteristics than pure PEEK, reflecting the diversity of research in this area. Rabinowitz et al. (2023) designed orthogonal experiments to investigate the bending performance of SCF/PEEK. The experiments demonstrated that the raster angle and layer height had the greatest impact on the flexural strength of FDM-printed SCF/PEEK samples. Wang et al. (2021) studied the effect of nozzle temperature, build platform temperature, printing speed, and layer height on the mechanical properties of SCF/PEEK and SGF/PEEK. The experiments showed that SCF/PEEK had higher tensile strength, but SGF/PEEK had better bending performance. However, both exhibited reduced toughness compared to pure PEEK, as the presence of fibers resulted in increased porosity and molecular chain breakage. Their tensile strength and bending strength increased with higher nozzle and build platform temperatures. Increasing printing speed and layer height had a negative impact on all mechanical properties of printed fiber-reinforced PEEK composites. These trends are similar to those observed in the FDM process of

pure PEEK. Matschinski et al. (2021) researched the impact of nozzle angles on the fibers in FDM-printed SCF/PEEK with a high CF volume fraction of up to 30%. The experiments showed that using smaller nozzle angles reduced damage to the fibers during the printing process. Understanding the fracture behavior of SCF/PEEK samples under high-temperature conditions during FDM printing is of significant importance to enhance their practical applications. Yavas (2023) investigated the effects of temperature on the mechanical and fracture properties of SCF/PEEK with different layer heights under three conditions: room temperature, 75 °C, and 125 °C. The experiments revealed significant anisotropy in FDM-printed SCF/PEEK. The resistance to crack propagation in the composite material was correlated with its operating temperature and layer height. The study also examined the reduction in fracture properties of the composite material under high-temperature conditions and the tensile fracture behavior. It revealed that layer height significantly affected the defect size and density of FDM-printed SCF/PEEK composite materials, with larger and more defects occurring with increased layer height. Interlayer bonding strength also significantly influenced the mechanical properties of short fiber-reinforced PEEK composite materials. Moreover, the addition of fibers exacerbated this issue. Li et al. (2019) confirmed this point, showing that the addition of SCFs improved the uniform nucleation process of PEEK during 3D printing, negatively affecting interlayer bonding strength. To overcome this challenge, Han et al. (2020) developed a new process for laser-assisted FDM printing in PEEK (Fig. 11c1), enhancing interlayer interface thermal relaxation and bonding. They used a 2.13-W laser to preheat the deposited portion, resulting in a 350.9% increase in tensile strength along the build direction (Figs. 11c2 and 11c3).

Post-processing plays a crucial role in improving the service performance of FDM-printed PEEK products in addition to adjusting process parameters and using laser-assisted manufacturing to enhance interlayer bonding strength. For example, high-temperature annealing can significantly increase the crystallinity of FDM-printed PEEK products, thereby improving their mechanical properties. Yang et al. (2017) were pioneers in investigating the relationship between crystallinity and mechanical properties of FDM-printed PEEK samples. They first prepared PEEK samples with different crystallinities under various chamber and

nozzle temperatures, demonstrating the significant impact of crystallinity (Fig. 11d1) on their tensile strength and tensile modulus (Fig. 11d2). Subsequently, they conducted air cooling, furnace cooling, quenching, annealing, and tempering experiments on these PEEK samples, further enhancing their crystallinity and mechanical performance. Furnace cooling and annealing were proven to be superior and more effective for achieving higher crystallinity and better mechanical properties than other methods. This research indicated the enormous potential of their temperature-controlled 3D printing method for designing, controlling, and achieving different crystallinities and mechanical properties of various PEEK components, even within different regions of the same PEEK part (Fig. 11d3). This is of significance in mitigating the mechanical performance limitations of FDM-printed PEEK components. Annealing processes significantly enhance the crystallinity of FDM-printed CF/PEEK, reduce residual stresses, and consequently improve its mechanical properties to be similar to those of pure PEEK. However, the optimal annealing temperature for FDM-printed CF/PEEK is slightly higher than that for pure PEEK. This is because annealing FDM-printed CF/PEEK significantly enhances its interfacial strength (Liaw et al., 2021; Wang and Zou, 2022; Li J et al., 2023). This may be attributed to the role of fibers in heterogeneous nucleation during the annealing process, promoting interlayer bonding in the PEEK matrix, and thereby enhancing interlayer adhesion strength. Note that the use of annealing processes may not be suitable for conductive materials. Ye et al. (2022) found that although annealing improved the tensile properties of CNT/PEEK, the aggregation of CNTs caused by the crystallization of the PEEK matrix disrupted the original conductive network in the composite material, resulting in reduced electrical conductivity and electromagnetic interference shielding performance.

6.1.2 3D printing of continuous fiber-reinforced PEEK composites

Continuous fiber 3D printing technology is a relatively recent development in the field. In less than a decade since their inception, continuous fiber-reinforced PEEK composite materials have attracted significant attention for their exceptional performance. Vatandaş et al. (2023) developed a production process for manufacturing high-strength CCF/PEEK 3D-printed samples. Initially, CCF/PEEK filaments were produced




Fig. 11 (a1) Thermal image of each layer of an FDM-molded PEEK sample; (a2) Delamination of the sample more obvious closer to the printing bed; (a3) Temperature predicted by the model (orange) and actual measured temperature (blue) of FDM PEEK samples at different layers (reprinted from (Basgul et al., 2021), Copyright 2021, with permission from Elsevier); (b1) FDM process combining different methods of ultrasonic assisted molding (U) and annealing treatment (A); (b2) After ultrasound-assisted molding followed by annealing, the interlayer of the FDM PEEK sample changes, the voids decrease, and the tensile strength increases, indicating ultrasound-assisted molding alone also had the effect of reducing voids (reprinted from (Qu et al., 2022), Copyright 2022, with permission from John Wiley and Sons); (c1) Laser-assisted FDM molding enhances interlayer bonding; (c2) SEM of tensile test failure surface for vertical control sample and 2.13 W laser sample, and a schematic (side view) of fracture surface progression; (c3) Tensile strength of laser pre-deposition heating PEEK tensile bar and control samples (reprinted from (Han et al., 2020), Copyright 2020, with permission from Elsevier). After various post-treatment methods, the (d1) crystallinity and (d2) tensile properties of the FDM PEEK sample change; (d3) Some demonstrations of the controllable process: some parts with different degrees of crystallinity under different thermal processing conditions in 3D printing, and a piece of PEEK cranium implant (reprinted from (Yang et al., 2017), Copyright 2017, with permission from Elsevier). References to color refer to the online version of this figure

using a melt impregnation method, and these filaments were then modified into cylindrical shapes suitable for FDM printing and wound onto spools. The modified CCF/PEEK filaments were printed using an FDM printer capable of cutting CF. Infrared heating was applied to preheat the printing area to temperatures up to 350 °C. In this groundbreaking research, 3D-printed CCF/PEEK achieved an impressive fiber volume fraction of 60%, with a maximum tensile strength of 859.82 MPa and an elastic modulus of 33.06 GPa. Li et al. (2022) developed a production process for 3D printing continuous glass fiber-reinforced PEEK (CGF/PEEK) composite materials. They initially impregnated glass fiber yarn using a twin-screw extruder. Subsequently, the impregnated continuous CF were printed using a modified continuous fiber 3D printer equipped with two independent heating systems for preheating and printing of CGF/PEEK. The study investigated the influence of preheating temperature, printing speed, yarn drawing speed, strand spacing, and fiber content on the composite material's strength. The results indicated that lower drawing speeds improved fiber dispersion within the strands, as well as strand roundness and strength. Preheating also enhanced the tensile and flexural strength of the composite samples, with the maximum strength achieved at a preheating temperature of 405 °C and a printing speed of 1.5 mm/s. Both studies highlighted the detrimental effect of cells near the fibers on the mechanical strength of continuous fiber-reinforced PEEK composite materials. Therefore, developing new processing methods to reduce porosity is crucial for further enhancing their mechanical properties. van de Werken et al. (2021) used a post-processing technique called hot isostatic pressing (HIP) to treat 3D-printed CCF/PEEK. By considering the effects of crystallinity and porosity, they determined the optimal post-HIP temperature and time, resulting in 3D-printed CCF/PEEK composite materials with an unprecedented tensile strength of up to 1300 MPa and a modulus of 92 GPa. Additionally, Kuba et al. (2022) demonstrated that using a lower viscosity PEEK resin as the matrix can reduce porosity in 3D-printed CCF/PEEK, thereby improving its mechanical performance. The porosity of both 3D-printed filaments and final molded specimens was reduced by 50% by using low-viscosity PEEK resin as the matrix under the same conditions. Inter-layer tensile fracture tests revealed a remarkable improvement of 116.8% in the ultimate tensile strength of the final molded low-viscosity PEEK composite

material, offering new possibilities for developing 3D-printed continuous fiber-reinforced PEEK composites with higher mechanical performance. In Table 3, we have summarized the mechanical properties of 3D-printed fiber-reinforced PEEK composites.

Laser automated fiber placement (LAFP) stands out as a more mature 3D printing production process for continuous fiber-reinforced composite materials compared to the previously mentioned technologies (Fig. 12). The fundamental principle of LAFP involves using external lasers to heat the already printed matrix, followed by laying down continuous fibers or prepreg tapes layer by layer, resulting in continuous printing. Zhang et al. (2022) used near-infrared diode laser heating for CF/PEEK in LAFP and studied the effects of processing temperatures, laying speeds, consolidation forces, and prepreg tape tensions on the peel strength of the final laminated plates. Çelik et al. (2021) investigated the influence of different heating lengths and times on the microstructure and macrostructure of CGF/PEEK prepreg tapes during LAFP. The research revealed that the non-uniform temperature field induced by surface peaks and valleys during laser heating had an impact on deconsolidation phenomena and cell formation. This qualitative insight pointed out the synergistic effects of placement speed, heating length, laser power, and consolidation pressure on the temperature field during LAFP, providing valuable information for achieving high-performance CCF/PEEK laminated plates. Enhancing interlayer bonding has emerged as an effective approach to improving the interlaminar shear strength of LAFP-formed CCF/PEEK laminated plates. Chen et al. (2022) highlighted the necessity of preheating in LAFP. Their results demonstrated that laser preheating facilitated the penetration of PEEK molecular chain ends between adjacent layers, enhancing flowability and inter-strand bonding. Luo et al. (2020) used a plasma-laser-assisted process to improve dual-scale interfacial bonding. Analysis indicated that the laser primarily heated the interlayer bonding points during printing to enhance macroscopic interlayer bonding, while the plasma changed the polarity of the CF surface, improving the interface bonding between the PEEK matrix and CF.

6.2 Complex structures of 3D-printed PEEK and its composites

The innate advantages of additive manufacturing make the application of 3D printing to the molding of

Table 3 Mechanical strength of 3D-printed fiber reinforced composites

Material	Weight ratio	Tensile strength (MPa)	Flexural strength (MPa)	ILSS (MPa)	Remark	Reference
PEEK	–	48.50				Gao et al., 2021
PEEK	–	87.34	159.2			Li and Lou, 2020
PEEK	–	96	115			Xu et al., 2021
PEEK	–	86.5			Ultrasonic-assisted (yield strength)	Qu et al., 2023
PEEK	–	80.4			Laser interface heating	Han et al., 2020
PEEK	–	82			Annealing (73–82 MPa)	Yang et al., 2017
CF/PEEK	5%	95	163			Wang et al., 2021
GF/PEEK	5%	89	165			Wang et al., 2021
CF/PEEK	5%		146			Li et al., 2019
CF/PEEK	5%			24		Wang et al., 2022
GF/PEEK	5%			22.5		Wang et al., 2022
SCF/PEEK	5%	99.43		22.94	Annealing	Liu et al., 2023
CCF/PEEK	60%	859.82				Liu et al., 2022
CGF/PEEK	–	523.33	598.57	46.28		van de Werken et al., 2021
CCF/PEEK	42% (volume fraction)	1300			HIP treatment	Kuba et al., 2022





Fig. 12 Typical LAFP process. Reprinted from (Çelik et al., 2021), Copyright 2021, with permission from Elsevier

complex structures based on PEEK and its composite materials a natural choice. This section introduces typical 3D-printed molded complex structures of PEEK and its composites, including PEEK porous structures, along with their typical applications.


Functionally graded materials (FGMs) are structures whose composition and structure change gradually, resulting in graded changes in material properties to meet specific functional requirements for various applications. Wang et al. (2022) designed a single-nozzle 3D printer capable of multi-material printing, accompanied by a detectable PEEK, CF/PEEK, and GF/PEEK filament length slicing system (Fig. 13a1). Experiments involved the use of 5% and 15% (mass fraction) CF/GF-PEEK as reinforcing phases, combined with PEEK to prepare reinforced PEEK FGMs (Fig. 13a2). Two

gradient interfacial design methods were used to enhance the interlaminar bonding of the FGMs prepared from 15% (mass fraction) CF/GF PEEK and PEEK. Research showed that implementing a transition interface design with slight changes in gradient composition can enhance the mechanical properties of FGMs by about 12% compared to FGMs without a transition structure. Similarly, McNiffe et al. (2023) and Ritter et al. (2023) developed a 3D printing system that enables a gradual increase in the crystallinity of PEEK layer by layer.


The use of PEEK and its composite honeycomb structures, developed in conjunction with 3D printing technology, shows great potential for novel structural applications, such as energy absorption and smart sensing. Jiang et al. (2023) printed CF/PEEK and HA/PEEK square and triangular core-shell honeycomb structures using FDM. They investigated the effects of crossed paths and non-crossed paths on the compressive strength of the honeycomb. The results showed that the compressive strengths of square and triangular honeycomb core specimens and their composite counterparts increased up to 18.4% when using non-crossed printing paths. Han et al. (2024) developed a honeycomb structure made of CF/PEEK with a zero Poisson’s ratio. The




(a1)




(a2)




(b1)




(b2)



(c1)



(c2)



(c3)




Fig. 13 (a1) Single-nozzle 3D printing multi-material; (a2) Shape and dimension of 3D-printed functionally graded material (FGM) samples for mechanical test (reprinted from (Wang et al., 2022), Copyright 2022, with permission from Elsevier); (b1) Three types of honeycombs molded by FDM PEEK and CF/PEEK: hexagonal, chiral, re-entrant; (b2) In-plane quasi-static compression behavior of different 2D lattices: characteristic stress–strain curves of PEEK and CF/PEEK lattices with hexagonal, chiral, and re-entrant unit-cell geometry (reprinted from (Andrew et al., 2021), Copyright 2021, with permission from Elsevier); (c1) Design drawings of three kinds of TPMS: Schwarz P (P), Diamond (D), and Gyroid (G) printed by FDM process based on PEEK and CF/PEEK; Deformation behavior of (c2) FDM PEEK and (c3) CF/PEEK TPMS (reprinted from (Zhang H et al., 2023), Copyright 2023, with permission from Elsevier); (d1) Morphology of longitudinal sections of Pingshan Yu (PSY), Shatian Yu (STY), and Ta-Koi (TK) (every fruit is divided equally into three parts: top, middle, and bottom parts); (d2) Pomelo peel-inspired gradient cube (PP_i), one-end gradient cube (G_{one}), homogeneous cube (Hom), and two-end gradient cube (G_{two}); (d3) Crush force efficiency (CFE), dropping force efficiency (DFE), critical strain (ϵ_{ad}), and specific energy absorption (SEA) (F_{IPC} is the initial peak crushing force, and F_d is the dropping force); (d4) Porosity of four kinds of cubes (reprinted from (Yang BS et al., 2022), Copyright 2022, with permission from Springer Nature)

material exhibited low porosity of only 2.15%. Andrew et al. (2021) conducted research on the mechanical behavior of the material under tensile and compressive cyclic loading. They developed three kinds of 3D print-molded CF/PEEK and PEEK honeycomb structures, with a fixed porosity of 30% (Fig. 13b1). The authors then investigated the energy absorption and self-sensing properties of these structures under quasi-static compression and impact loading. CF/PEEK honeycomb structures exhibited significant piezoresistive behavior under both in-plane and out-of-plane compression (Fig. 13b2), providing a new approach for the development of smart structural systems that can sense in-situ the strain and damage induced by operational or accidental loading. Xiong et al. (2023) reported

a multiscale structurally coordinated black PEEK-based wave-absorbing material for star sensors. The honeycomb 3D PEEK-based wave-absorbing material prepared had a high surface absorptivity of about 96.89% and exhibited wide-angle high absorption performance. Additionally, it maintained excellent photo-inhibition performance even after undergoing high- and low-temperature cycling tests.

A triply periodic minimal surface (TPMS) is a bionic structure that exhibits periodic changes in the X, Y, and Z-axis directions (Han and Che, 2018). Zhang H et al. (2023) used PEEK and CF/PEEK as base materials to prepare Schwarz, Diamond, and Gyroid TPMSs using the FDM process (Fig. 13c1). They comprehensively and systematically investigated

the load-bearing and energy-absorbing capacities of the three TPMSs under quasi-static compression (Figs. 13c2 and 13c3). The quasi-static compression behavior of the Gyroid was investigated, resulting in different load-bearing directions. The results indicated that the Diamond and Gyroid structures had superior load-bearing and energy-absorbing capacities. Additionally, the mechanical properties of the structures were significantly improved through post-treatment annealing. Similar studies have been conducted by Spece et al. (2022) and Du et al. (2023) demonstrating the potential of 3D-printed PEEK TPMS with optimized mechanical properties for various applications, including energy absorption, cushioning, shock resistance, and medical implantation. Jia et al. (2023) investigated the osseointegration properties of 3D-printed and molded PEEK/Ta Gyroid gradient structures. The 3D-printed PEEK/Ta Gyroid cages, created by incorporating Ta particles into PEEK, facilitated customized design, improved mechanical properties, and provided microstructures for surface properties, endocytobiological responses, and rapid osseointegration. This technique has the potential to greatly enhance intervertebral osseointegration in spinal fusion procedures.

The 3D printing of PEEK and its composites offers numerous possibilities for producing and applying high-performance bionic structures. Zhang et al. (2020) created a rib cartilage prosthesis using PEEK as the base material through 3D printing. The mechanical properties of the prosthesis can be adjusted by altering the amplitude, wavelength, and thickness of the wave-shaped structure. The prosthesis exhibited mechanical properties similar to those of natural rib cartilage and has the potential to serve as its replacement. The porous structure of pomelo peel is thought to protect the fruit from damage when it falls from the tree. Yang BS et al. (2022) studied the deformation behavior of three pomelo peel cultivars (Fig. 13d1) to quantitatively characterize their pore structure. An FDM-molded PEEK porous structure was created with low porosity on both sides and high porosity in the middle, resulting in a Poisson's ratio close to 0 (Figs. 13d2 and 13d4). The study confirmed that optimizing the structure through the natural evolution of pomelo peel could effectively absorb energy (Fig. 13d3).

The 3D printing enables the production of highly tailored implants to meet individual patient needs, potentially enhancing treatment outcomes and satisfaction (Kennedy et al., 2024). In recent years, there have

been significant strides in the application of 3D-printed PEEK and its composite materials across various fields, including dentistry, orthopedics, and cardiovascular medicine. PEEK-based dental components, such as prosthetic frameworks, clasps, and orthodontic devices (Herford et al., 2017; Alevizakos et al., 2020; Prechtel et al., 2020; Ghomi et al., 2021; Çelik et al., 2023; Reddy et al., 2023), offer patients a broader range of material choices beyond traditional metals, proving particularly beneficial for individuals with metal allergies. These PEEK-based solutions are characterized by their lightweight nature, elasticity, and flexibility, effectively minimizing post-fracture swelling. With the ongoing advancements in 3D printing technology, PEEK-based orthopedic implants are becoming increasingly precise, providing innovative solutions for patients with orthopedic conditions. In cranial and facial reconstruction surgeries, 3D-printed PEEK implants offer precise shaping capabilities, restoring both aesthetics and functionality (Kim et al., 2009; Papathanasiou et al., 2020). PEEK's exceptional wear resistance makes it a preferred material for manufacturing surgical instruments, including retractors, trial components, and cutting guides (Kurtz and Nevelos, 2019; Zhang XY et al., 2023). Orthopedic procedures often use PEEK-based screws and plates to stabilize fractures, especially in weight-bearing bones (Müller et al., 2020; Krätzig et al., 2021). The radiolucency of these implants proves invaluable for postoperative monitoring. In cardiovascular interventions, 3D-printed PEEK scaffolds find applications due to their radiolucency, enabling clear imaging during and after implantation (Oveissi et al., 2020). Furthermore, the customization of heart valve components using 3D-printed PEEK allows for adjustments to fit the patient's anatomical structure, reducing the risk of complications and enhancing long-term functionality (Yuerek et al., 2023). Undoubtedly, the outlook for the application of 3D-printed PEEK implants in healthcare and patient well-being is promising.

6.3 Discussion

As the most recent among manufacturing processes, 3D printing has seen rapid development. Optimizing process parameters and incorporating innovative post-treatments like ultrasound and laser have enabled the creation of PEEK and composite structures with exceptional functionality. 3D-printing excels in shaping intricate structures, from FGMs to medical

implants, offering new possibilities. However, challenges persist in real large-scale applications. Ensuring consistent mechanical properties in the Z-axis and interlayer bonding strength remains a significant challenge. Controllable molding accuracy and minimizing pore defects are also crucial. Systematic investigation into crystallization behavior and orientation throughout the printing process is needed. Moreover, there is a lack of research on smart structures with 3D-printed PEEK. Interdisciplinary research is necessary to enhance the intelligence of these parts. Addressing these challenges will advance the adoption of 3D-printed PEEK in diverse industrial applications.

7 Summary and outlook

This review centers on the four primary processes used for molding structural parts using PEEK and its composites. We comprehensively review studies on static mechanical properties, specialized mechanical behaviors under variable conditions (such as high temperature, high pressure, water immersion, salt spray, and crack extension), frictional properties, electrical properties, and biocompatibility of these materials or samples. Furthermore, we discuss the development and production of mechanical, structural, electrical, and biomedical products crafted from PEEK and its composites, exploring the synergies of each process's unique advantages in the manufacturing of these products.

In summary, ongoing research into the development and molding processes for PEEK and its composites has harnessed the distinct strengths of each technique. Basic profiles and functional PEEK composites have been innovatively created for extrusion molding. These PEEK-based composite basic profiles, 3D printing filaments, and particles undergo secondary processes such as machining, hot pressing, injection molding, and 3D printing, significantly expanding the application scope of PEEK. Hot compression molding efficiently mass-produces continuous fiber-reinforced PEEK composite laminates with ultra-high mechanical properties. Injection molding industrializes the production of most PEEK structures, fostering widespread application. 3D printing enables personalized customization and, when coupled with advanced computer-aided design (CAD) and computer-aided

engineering (CAE) technology, facilitates the creation of intricate PEEK composite porous structures for lightweight applications.

Over the past 50 years, scholars have conducted extensive research on the development of PEEK and its composite materials, as well as molding processes, resulting in fruitful outcomes and high expectations. However, with the future trajectory leaning towards industrial intelligence and systematization, there is a need for process innovation. For specific products, combining the advantages of several processes to achieve rapid prototyping and near-net forming has emerged as a developmental trend. Recently explored process lines, such as hot compression injection (Deng et al., 2021) and 3D printing injection hybrid molding (Boros et al., 2019; Tosello et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2020), demand further research in areas like process analysis, interface bonding, and predicting the overall properties of PEEK matrix composite products. The next phase in this field entails designing innovative processes to mold PEEK-based composites for a broader range of applications and high-performance products.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2022YFC2401903), the "Pioneer" and the "Leading Goose" R&D Program of Zhejiang Province (No. 2023C01170), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 52205424), and the Key Project of Science and Technology Innovation 2025 of Ningbo (No. 2023Z029), China.

Author contributions

Zhengchuan GUO and Junjie HE wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Jianzhong FU and Peng ZHAO helped to organize the manuscript. Chengqian ZHANG, Ruoxiang GAO, and Yifeng PAN checked the manuscript. Zhengchuan GUO, Chengqian ZHANG, and Peng ZHAO revised and edited the final version.

Conflict of interest

Zhengchuan GUO, Junjie HE, Ruoxiang GAO, Yifeng PAN, Chengqian ZHANG, Jianzhong FU, and Peng ZHAO declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Abd El-Fattah A, Youssef H, Gepreel MAH, et al., 2021. Surface morphology and mechanical properties of polyether ether ketone (PEEK) nanocomposites reinforced by nano-sized silica (SiO₂) for prosthodontics and restorative dentistry. *Polymers*, 13(17):3006.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13173006>

- Abu Bakar MS, Cheang P, Khor KA, 2003a. Mechanical properties of injection molded hydroxyapatite-polyetheretherketone biocomposites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 63(3-4): 421-425.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0266-3538\(02\)00230-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0266-3538(02)00230-0)
- Abu Bakar MS, Cheang P, Khor KA, 2003b. Tensile properties and microstructural analysis of spheroidized hydroxyapatite-poly (etheretherketone) biocomposites. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 345(1-2):55-63.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0921-5093\(02\)00289-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0921-5093(02)00289-7)
- Abu Bakar MS, Cheng MHW, Tang SM, et al., 2003c. Tensile properties, tension-tension fatigue and biological response of polyetheretherketone-hydroxyapatite composites for load-bearing orthopedic implants. *Biomaterials*, 24(13): 2245-2250.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0142-9612\(03\)00028-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0142-9612(03)00028-0)
- AlAlaween W, Abueed O, Gharaibeh B, et al., 2023. The development of a radial based integrated network for the modelling of 3D fused deposition. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 29(2):408-421.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/rpj-04-2022-0121>
- Alevizakos V, Mitov G, Teichert F, et al., 2020. The color stability and wear resistance of provisional implant restorations: a prospective clinical study. *Clinical and Experimental Dental Research*, 6(5):568-575.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cre2.311>
- AlFuraiji NHM, 2020. Bioactive Polyetheretherketone for Dental Implant Applications. PhD Thesis, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.
- Almudaihesh F, Holford K, Pullin R, et al., 2020. The influence of water absorption on unidirectional and 2D woven CFRP composites and their mechanical performance. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 182:107626.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2019.107626>
- Andrew JJ, Alhashmi H, Schiffer A, et al., 2021. Energy absorption and self-sensing performance of 3D printed CF/PEEK cellular composites. *Materials & Design*, 208:109863.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2021.109863>
- Bangarusampath DS, Ruckdäschel H, Altstädt V, et al., 2009. Rheology and properties of melt-processed poly(ether ether ketone)/multi-wall carbon nanotube composites. *Polymer*, 50(24):5803-5811.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2009.09.061>
- Basgul C, Thieringer FM, Kurtz SM, 2021. Heat transfer-based non-isothermal healing model for the interfacial bonding strength of fused filament fabricated polyetheretherketone. *Additive Manufacturing*, 46:102097.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2021.102097>
- Bathala L, Majeti V, Rachuri N, et al., 2019. The role of polyether ether ketone (PEEK) in dentistry—a review. *Journal of Medicine and Life*, 12(1):5-9.
<https://doi.org/10.25122/jml-2019-0003>
- Batista NL, Rezende MC, Botelho EC, 2021. The influence of crystallinity on the weather resistance of CF/PEEK composites. *Applied Composite Materials*, 28(1):235-246.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10443-020-09863-x>
- Berthet F, Lachaud F, Crevel J, et al., 2017. Behaviour and damage of injected carbon-fibre-reinforced polyether ether ketone: from process to modelling. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 51(2):141-151.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998316642000>
- Bismarck A, Hofmeier M, Dörner G, 2007. Effect of hot water immersion on the performance of carbon reinforced unidirectional poly(ether ether ketone) (PEEK) composites: stress rupture under end-loaded bending. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 38(2): 407-426.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2006.03.004>
- Blundell DJ, Osborn BN, 1983. The morphology of poly (aryl-ether-ether-ketone). *Polymer*, 8:953-958.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-3861\(83\)90144-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-3861(83)90144-1)
- Boros R, Rajamani PK, Kovács JG, 2019. Combination of 3D printing and injection molding: overmolding and overprinting. *eXPRESS Polymer Letters*, 13(10):889-897.
<https://doi.org/10.3144/expresspolymlett.2019.77>
- Bozarth MJ, Gillespie Jr JW, McCullough RL, 1987. Fiber orientation and its effect upon thermoelastic properties of short carbon fiber reinforced poly(etheretherketone) (PEEK). *Polymer Composites*, 8(2):74-81.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.750080203>
- Cano-Vicent A, Tambuwala MM, Hassan SS, et al., 2021. Fused deposition modelling: current status, methodology, applications and future prospects. *Additive Manufacturing*, 47:102378.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2021.102378>
- Cao J, Lu Y, Chen H, et al., 2018. Preparation, mechanical properties and in vitro cytocompatibility of multi-walled carbon nanotubes/poly(etheretherketone) nanocomposites. *Journal of Biomaterials Science, Polymer Edition*, 29(4): 428-447.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09205063.2017.1422852>
- Çelik HK, Koc S, Kustarci A, et al., 2023. The state of additive manufacturing in dental research—a systematic scoping review of 2012–2022. *Heliyon*, 9(6):e17462.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e17462>
- Çelik O, Choudhary A, Peeters D, et al., 2021. Deconsolidation of thermoplastic prepreg tapes during rapid laser heating. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 149:106575.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2021.106575>
- Chang BN, Gu JF, Long ZQ, et al., 2021. Effects of temperature and fiber orientation on the tensile behavior of short carbon fiber reinforced PEEK composites. *Polymer Composites*, 42(2):597-607.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.25850>
- Chen YW, Shan ZD, Yang XJ, et al., 2022. Influence of preheating temperature and printing speed on interlaminar shear performance of laser-assisted additive manufacturing for CCF/PEEK composites. *Polymer Composites*, 43(6):3412-3425.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.26625>
- Chivers RA, Moore DR, 1994. The effect of molecular weight and crystallinity on the mechanical properties of injection moulded poly(aryl-ether-ether-ketone) resin. *Polymer*, 35(1):110-116.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-3861\(94\)90057-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-3861(94)90057-4)

- Czechowicz A, Razaei F, Bach A, et al. 2021. New space new manufacturing— injection molding of satellite structures. Proceedings of IEEE Aerospace Conference, p.1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AERO50100.2021.9438309>
- Dai GM, Zhan LH, Guan CL, et al., 2021. Optimization of molding process parameters for CF/PEEK composites based on Taguchi method. *Composites and Advanced Material*, 30:1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/26349833211001882>
- Dai JN, Kou SQ, Yang HY, et al., 2022. High-content continuous carbon fibers reinforced PEEK matrix composite with ultra-high mechanical and wear performance at elevated temperature. *Composite Structures*, 295:115837. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2022.115837>
- Deng TZX, Zhang WT, Jiang W, et al., 2021. A hybrid lamination model for simulation of woven fabric reinforced thermoplastic composites solid-state thermo-stamping. *Materials & Design*, 200:109419. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2020.109419>
- Diouf-Lewis A, Farahani RD, Iervolino F, et al., 2022. Design and characterization of carbon fiber-reinforced PEEK/PEI blends for Fused Filament Fabrication additive manufacturing. *Materials Today Communications*, 31:103445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2022.103445>
- Du XY, Ronayne S, Lee SS, et al., 2023. 3D-printed PEEK/silicon nitride scaffolds with a triply periodic minimal surface structure for spinal fusion implants. *ACS Applied Bio Materials*, 6(8):3319-3329. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsabm.3c00383>
- Evans NT, Brennan Torstrick T, Lee CSD, et al., 2015. High-strength, surface-porous polyether-ether-ketone for load-bearing orthopedic implants. *Acta Biomaterialia*, 13:159-167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2014.11.030>
- Evans WJ, Isaac DH, Saib KS, 1996. The effect of short carbon fibre reinforcement on fatigue crack growth in PEEK. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 27(7):547-554. [https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-835x\(96\)00015-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-835x(96)00015-2)
- Feng CN, Cen JJ, Wu T, et al., 2022. Preparation and properties of the poly(ether ether ketone) (PEEK)/nano-zinc oxide (ZnO)-short carbon fiber (SCF) artificial joint composites. *ACS Applied Polymer Materials*, 4:8869-8877. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.2c01288>
- Feng YT, Wang L, Mi HY, et al., 2022. Fabrication of polyether-ether-ketone foams with superior properties and mitigated weld lines by microcellular injection molding. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, 24(3):2100766. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202100766>
- Fischer EC, Bengsch S, de Wall S, et al. 2020. Giant magneto-resistive effect based sensor on laser direct structured MID substrates. Proceedings of the 70th Electronic Components and Technology Conference, p.439-444. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ECTC32862.2020.00077>
- Gao C, Zhang SL, Han B, et al., 2014. Multi-walled carbon nanotube induced co-continuity of poly(ether ether ketone)/polyimide blends for high performance conductive materials. *RSC Advances*, 4(79):42175-42182. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ra07473d>
- Gao C, Zhang SL, Lin YJ, et al., 2015. High-performance conductive materials based on the selective location of carbon black in poly(ether ether ketone)/polyimide matrix. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 79:124-131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2015.03.047>
- Gao RX, Xie J, Yang JH, et al., 2021. Research on the fused deposition modeling of polyether ether ketone. *Polymers*, 13(14):2344. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13142344>
- Gladson TSF, Ramesh R, Kavitha C, 2019. Experimental investigation of mechanical, tribological and dielectric properties of alumina nano wire-reinforced PEEK/PTFE composites. *Materials Research Express*, 6(11):115327. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/ab491d>
- Goyal RK, 2013. Cost-efficient high performance polyetheretherketone/expanded graphite nanocomposites with high conductivity for EMI shielding application. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 142(1):195-198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2013.07.005>
- Goyal RK, Tiwari AN, Mulik UP, et al., 2006. Anisotropic linear thermal expansivity of poly(ether-ether-ketone). *European Polymer Journal*, 42(10):2888-2893. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2006.06.018>
- Guo ZC, Xie J, Zhang CQ, et al., 2023a. Large-scale control of morphology and tensile strength enhancement of PEEK fibers after post-treatment. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 24:2416-2427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2023.03.142>
- Guo ZC, Xie J, Yang JH, et al., 2023b. Rapid mold temperature rising method for PEEK microcellular injection molding based on induction heating. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 26:3285-3300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2023.08.081>
- Gupta V, Mathur RB, Dhami TL, et al., 2002. Carbon/Kevlar/PEEK thermoplastic composites. *High Performance Polymers*, 14(3):285-292. <https://doi.org/10.1177/095400830201400305>
- Han L, Che SA, 2018. An overview of materials with triply periodic minimal surfaces and related geometry: from biological structures to self-assembled systems. *Advanced Materials*, 30(17):1705708. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201705708>
- Han ND, Wang XX, Zhang DT, 2024. Cycle deformation behavior of 3D-printed CF/PEEK honeycomb metamaterials with zero Poisson's ratios. *Polymer Composites*, 45(2):1329-1346. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.27857>
- Han P, Tofangchi A, Zhang SH, et al., 2020. Effect of in-process laser interface heating on strength isotropy of extrusion-based additively manufactured PEEK. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 48:737-742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.05.107>
- Hastie JC, Guz IA, Kashtalyan M, 2023. Response of carbon/PEEK automotive driveshafts with/without an inner isotropic layer at high temperature considering temperature-dependent material properties. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part L: Journal of Materials: Design and Applications*, 237(6):1406-1415.

- <https://doi.org/10.1177/14644207221143342>
- He MM, Chen XC, Guo ZJ, et al., 2019. Super tough graphene oxide reinforced polyetheretherketone for potential hard tissue repair applications. *Composites Science and Technology*, 174:194-201.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2019.02.028>
- He MM, Huang Y, Xu H, et al., 2021. Modification of polyetheretherketone implants: from enhancing bone integration to enabling multi-modal therapeutics. *Acta Biomaterialia*, 129:18-32.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2021.05.009>
- Herford AS, Miller M, Lauritano F, et al., 2017. The use of virtual surgical planning and navigation in the treatment of orbital trauma. *Chinese Journal of Traumatology*, 20(1):9-13.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjtee.2016.11.002>
- Hsiung CM, Cakmak M, White JL, 1990. Crystallization phenomena in the injection molding of poly ether ether ketone and its influence on mechanical properties. *Polymer Engineering & Science*, 30(16):967-980.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pen.760301606>
- Hu CX, Liu TH, Neate N, et al., 2022. Enhanced thermal and electrical properties by Ag nanoparticles decorated GO-CNT nanostructures in PEEK composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 218:109201.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2021.109201>
- Hu JQ, Zhang HQ, Li S, et al., 2022. Process parameter-mechanical property relationships and influence mechanism of advanced CFF/PEEK thermoplastic composites. *Polymer Composites*, 43(8):5119-5132.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.26801>
- Hyvärinen M, Jabeen R, Kärki T, 2020. The modelling of extrusion processes for polymers—a review. *Polymers*, 12(6):1306.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12061306>
- Jaafar J, Siregar JP, Tezara C, et al., 2019. A review of important considerations in the compression molding process of short natural fiber composites. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 105(7):3437-3450.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-04466-8>
- Ji QB, 2020. Polyetheretherketone Thick-Wall Pipe Extrusion Manufacturing Device, Has Hopper Arranged on Machine Body, Rotary Component Arranged in End of Body, and Induction Coil Arranged on Hopper, Where Hopper Heats and Dries Internal Raw Materials. CN Patent 111016111A (in Chinese).
<https://webofscience.clarivate.cn/wos/diidw/full-record/DIIDW:2020340138>
- Ji QB, 2021. Mold for Extruding Polyetheretherketone Bar Extruding Mold, Has Pressurizing Layer Located at End Close to Feeding Channel, Where End of Guide Layer Far Away from Damping Layer is Connected with Polyetheretherketone Bars Extruding Opening. CN Patent 214820748-U (in Chinese).
<https://webofscience.clarivate.cn/wos/diidw/full-record/DIIDW:2021E07806>
- Jia CQ, Zhang Z, Cao SQ, et al., 2023. A biomimetic gradient porous cage with a micro-structure for enhancing mechanical properties and accelerating osseointegration in spinal fusion. *Bioactive Materials*, 23:234-246.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2022.11.003>
- Jiang HF, Jia R, Aiyiti W, et al., 2023. Infill strategies for 3D-printed CF-PEEK/HA-PEEK honeycomb core-shell composite structures. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 92:338-349.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2023.02.058>
- Jiang N, Tan PJ, He MM, et al., 2021. Graphene reinforced polyether ether ketone nanocomposites for bone repair applications. *Polymer Testing*, 100:107276.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2021.107276>
- Karger-Kocsis J, 1991. Microstructural aspects of fracture and fatigue behavior in short fiber-reinforced, injection-molded PPS-, PEEK- and PEN-composites. *Polymer Bulletin*, 27(1):109-116.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00296333>
- Kennedy SM, Raghav GR, Jeen RRB, et al., 2024. PEEK-based 3D printing: a paradigm shift in implant revolution for healthcare. *Polymer-Plastic Technology and Materials*, 63(6):680-702.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/25740881.2024.2302537>
- Kim MM, Boahene KDO, Byrne PJ, et al., 2009. Use of customized polyetheretherketone (PEEK) implants in the reconstruction of complex maxillofacial defects. *Archives of Facial Plastic Surgery*, 11(1):53-57.
<https://doi.org/10.1001/archfaci.11.1.53>
- King JA, Tomasi JM, Klimek-McDonald DR, et al., 2018. Effects of carbon fillers on the conductivity and tensile properties of polyetheretherketone composites. *Polymer Composites*, 39(S2):E807-E816.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.24250>
- Krätzig T, Mende KC, Mohme M, et al., 2021. Carbon fiber-reinforced PEEK versus titanium implants: an in vitro comparison of susceptibility artifacts in CT and MR imaging. *Neurosurgical Review*, 44(4):2163-2170.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10143-020-01384-2>
- Kuba D, Matsuzaki R, Ochi S, et al., 2022. 3D printing of composite materials using ultralow-melt-viscosity polymer and continuous carbon fiber. *Composites Part C: Open Access*, 8:100250.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomc.2022.100250>
- Kumar M, Kumar R, Kumar S, 2021. Synergistic effect of carbon nanotubes and nano-hydroxyapatite on mechanical properties of polyetheretherketone based hybrid nanocomposites. *Polymers and Polymer Composites*, 29(9):1365-1376.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0967391120969503>
- Kurkin EI, Sadykova VO, 2017. Application of short fiber reinforced composite materials multilevel model for design of ultra-light aerospace structures. *Procedia Engineering*, 185:182-189.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.03.336>
- Kurokawa M, Uchiyama Y, Nagai S, 1999. Performance of plastic gear made of carbon fiber reinforced poly-ether-ether-ketone. *Tribology International*, 32(9):491-497.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-679x\(99\)00078-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-679x(99)00078-x)
- Kurtz SM, Nevelos J, 2019. PEEK bearing materials for total joint replacement. In: Kurtz S (Ed.), PEEK Biomaterials

- Handbook. 2nd Edition. Elsevier, Oxford, UK, p.403-418.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812524-3.00024-7>
- Lai YH, Kuo MC, Huang JC, et al., 2007. On the PEEK composites reinforced by surface-modified nano-silica. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 458(1-2):158-169.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2007.01.085>
- Laubach M, Kobbe P, Hutmacher DW, 2022. Biodegradable interbody cages for lumbar spine fusion: current concepts and future directions. *Biomaterials*, 288:121699.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2022.121699>
- Lessard H, Lebrun G, Benkaddour A, et al., 2015. Influence of process parameters on the thermostamping of a [0/90]₁₂ carbon/polyether ether ketone laminate. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 70:59-68.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2014.12.009>
- Lewandowski A, Wilczyński K, 2022. Modeling of twin screw extrusion of polymeric materials. *Polymers*, 14(2):274.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14020274>
- Li J, Fu YT, Pi WL, et al., 2023. Improving mechanical performances at room and elevated temperatures of 3D printed polyether-ether-ketone composites by combining optimal short carbon fiber content and annealing treatment. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 267:111067.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2023.111067>
- Li MY, Zhou HZ, Zhang Y, et al., 2018. Effect of defects on thermal conductivity of graphene/epoxy nanocomposites. *Carbon*, 130:295-303.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2017.12.110>
- Li N, Yu KH, Lin KX, et al., 2023. Compressive mechanical properties of hierarchical porous bioactivity PEEK gradient materials. *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures*, 31(2):493-500.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15376494.2023.2257042>
- Li QS, Zhao W, Li YX, et al., 2019. Flexural properties and fracture behavior of CF/PEEK in orthogonal building orientation by FDM: microstructure and mechanism. *Polymers*, 11(4):656.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11040656>
- Li T, Song ZY, Yang XF, et al., 2023. Influence of processing parameters on the mechanical properties of PEEK plates by hot compression molding. *Materials*, 16(1):36.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16010036>
- Li Y, Lou Y, 2020. Tensile and bending strength improvements in PEEK parts using fused deposition modelling 3D printing considering multi-factor coupling. *Polymers*, 12(11):2497.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12112497>
- Li YD, Jia H, Cui XH, et al., 2022. Bending properties, compression properties, biocompatibility and bioactivity of sulfonated carbon fibers/PEEK composites with graphene oxide coating. *Applied Surface Science*, 575:151774.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2021.151774>
- Liang LB, Jiang BT, Wu TH, et al., 2022. Preparation of glass fiber/poly(ether ether ketone) composite foam with improved compressive strength and heat resistance. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, 24(3):2100940.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202100940>
- Liaw CY, Tolbert JW, Chow LW, et al., 2021. Interlayer bonding strength of 3D printed PEEK specimens. *Soft Matter*, 17(18):4775-4789.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/d1sm00417d>
- Lin YJ, Qin S, Han B, et al., 2017. Preparation of poly(ether ether ketone)-based composite with high electrical conductivity, good mechanical properties and thermal stability. *High Performance Polymers*, 29(2):205-210.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0954008316634444>
- Liu AK, Zou YJ, Chen YL, et al., 2023. Experimental investigation of impact resistance and compression behavior of CF/PEEK laminates after hot-press fusion repair with different stacking sequences. *Polymer Composites*, 44(10):6467-6481.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.27571>
- Liu XJ, Shan ZD, Liu JH, et al., 2022. Mechanical and electrical properties of additive manufactured high-performance continuous glass fiber reinforced PEEK composites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 247:110292.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2022.110292>
- Lu CR, Xu N, Zheng T, et al., 2019. The optimization of process parameters and characterization of high-performance CF/PEEK composites prepared by flexible CF/PEEK plain weave fabrics. *Polymers*, 11(1):53.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11010053>
- Lu Y, Li YB, Zhang Y, et al., 2022. Manufacture of Al/CF/PEEK curved beams by hot stamping forming process. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 37(14):1597-1609.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10426914.2022.2032140>
- Luo M, Tian XY, Shang JF, et al., 2020. Bi-scale interfacial bond behaviors of CCF/PEEK composites by plasma-laser cooperatively assisted 3D printing process. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 131:105812.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2020.105812>
- Lyu HX, Jiang NY, Li YZ, et al., 2021. Enhancing CF/PEEK interfacial adhesion by modified PEEK grafted with carbon nanotubes. *Composites Science and Technology*, 210:108831.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2021.108831>
- Ma R, Tang TT, 2014. Current strategies to improve the bioactivity of PEEK. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 15(4):5426-5445.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms15045426>
- Ma R, Tang SC, Tan HL, et al., 2014. Preparation, characterization, and in vitro osteoblast functions of a nano-hydroxyapatite/polyetheretherketone biocomposite as orthopedic implant material. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 9(1):3949-3961.
<https://doi.org/10.2147/ijn.S67358>
- Ma XL, Wen LH, Xiao JY, et al., 2023. Inherent relationship between process parameters, crystallization and mechanical properties of continuous carbon fiber reinforced PEEK composites. *Defence Technology*, 24:269-284.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dt.2022.04.010>
- Ma YT, Xie JZ, Li ZJ, et al., 2022. Lightweight, low temperature fatigue resistant, and low dielectric microcellular polyetheretherketone foams fabricated by microcellular injection molding. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*,

- 139(41):e52983.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.52983>
- Machado M, Murenu L, Fischlschweiger M, et al., 2016. Analysis of the thermomechanical shear behaviour of woven-reinforced thermoplastic-matrix composites during forming. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 86:39-48.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2016.03.032>
- Marcomini AL, Rego BT, Suman Bretas RE, 2017. Improvement of the short- and long-term mechanical properties of injection-molded poly(etheretherketone) and hydroxyapatite nanocomposites. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 134(7):44476.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.44476>
- Matschinski A, Ziegler P, Abstreiter T, et al., 2021. Fiber formation of printed carbon fiber/poly (ether ether ketone) with different nozzle shapes. *Polymer International*, 70(8): 1109-1117.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.6196>
- Mayer C, Wang X, Neitzel M, 1998. Macro- and micro-impregnation phenomena in continuous manufacturing of fabric reinforced thermoplastic composites. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 29(7): 783-793.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s1359-835x\(98\)00056-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1359-835x(98)00056-6)
- McCool R, Murphy A, Wilson R, et al., 2012. Thermoforming carbon fibre-reinforced thermoplastic composites. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part L: Journal of Materials: Design and Applications*, 226(2): 91-102.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1464420712437318>
- McLaughlin AR, Ghita OR, Savage L, 2014. Studies on the reprocessability of poly(ether ether ketone) (PEEK). *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 214(1): 75-80.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2013.07.010>
- McNiffe E, Ritter T, Higgins T, et al., 2023. Advancements in functionally graded polyether ether ketone components: design, manufacturing, and characterisation using a modified 3D printer. *Polymers*, 15(14):2992.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15142992>
- Mitschang P, Velthuis R, Didi M, 2013. Induction spot welding of metal/CFRPC hybrid joints. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, 15(9):804-813.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.201200273>
- Mokhtari M, Archer E, Bloomfield N, et al., 2021. High-performance and cost-effective melt blended poly(ether ether ketone)/expanded graphite composites for mass production of antistatic materials. *Polymer International*, 70(8):1137-1145.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.6226>
- Müller BS, Ryang YM, Oechsner M, et al., 2020. The dosimetric impact of stabilizing spinal implants in radiotherapy treatment planning with protons and photons: standard titanium alloy vs. radiolucent carbon-fiber-reinforced PEEK systems. *Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics*, 21(8):6-14.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ACM2.12905>
- Naganaboyina HPS, Nagaraju P, Sonaye SY, et al., 2023. In-house processing of carbon fiber-reinforced polyetheretherketone (CFR-PEEK) 3D printable filaments and fused filament fabrication-3D printing of CFR-PEEK parts. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 128(11-12):5011-5024.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-023-12203-5>
- Nishitani H, 1990. Polyether Ether Ketone Resin Pipe MFR. Comprises Introducing Pipe Material to Sizing Part, Rapid Cooling, Reheating and Slowly Cooling. JP Patent JP2059330-A (in Japanese).
<https://webofscience.clarivate.cn/wos/diidw/full-record/DIIDW:1990110332>
- Okubo H, Kaneyasu H, Kimura T, et al., 2021. Effects of a twin-screw extruder equipped with a molten resin reservoir on the mechanical properties and microstructure of recycled waste plastic polyethylene pellet moldings. *Polymers*, 13(7):1058.
<http://doi.org/10.3390/polym13071058>
- Oveissi F, Naficy S, Lee A, et al., 2020. Materials and manufacturing perspectives in engineering heart valves: a review. *Materials Today Bio*, 5:100038.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MTBIO.2019.100038>
- Pagé DJYS, Bonin HW, Bui VT, et al., 2002. Mixed radiation field effects from a nuclear reactor on poly(aryl ether ether ketone): a melt viscosity study. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 86(11):2713-2719.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.11232>
- Papathanasiou I, Kamposiora P, Papavasilou G, et al., 2020. The use of PEEK in digital prosthodontics: a narrative review. *BMC Oral Health*, 20(1):217.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-020-01202-7>
- Park K, Kim YS, 2009. Effect of mold temperature on mechanical properties of an injection-molded part with microfeatures. *Journal of Polymer Engineering*, 29(1-3):135-154.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/POLYENG.2009.29.1-3.135>
- Parvaiz MR, Mohanty S, Nayak SK, et al., 2011. Effect of surface modification of fly ash on the mechanical, thermal, electrical and morphological properties of polyetheretherketone composites. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 528(13-14):4277-4286.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2011.01.026>
- Patel P, Hull TR, McCabe RW, et al., 2010. Mechanism of thermal decomposition of poly(ether ether ketone) (PEEK) from a review of decomposition studies. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 95(5):709-718.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2010.01.024>
- Plagianakos TS, Muñoz K, Saenz-Castillo D, et al., 2020. Effect of hot-wet storage aging on mechanical response of a woven thermoplastic composite. *Aerospace*, 7(2):18.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace7020018>
- Prechtel A, Stawarczyk B, Hickel R, et al., 2020. Fracture load of 3D printed PEEK inlays compared with milled ones, direct resin composite fillings, and sound teeth. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 24(10):3457-3466.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-020-03216-5>
- Puértolas JA, Castro M, Morris JA, et al., 2019. Tribological and mechanical properties of graphene nanoplatelet/PEEK

- composites. *Carbon*, 141:107-122.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2018.09.036>
- Qi DS, Zhao S, Zhang HY, et al., 2022. Development of high-strength porous polyetheretherketone foam/nanosilver antibacterial composites for the prevention of postoperative infections in bone repair. *Composites Communications*, 31:101127.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coco.2022.101127>
- Qiao W, 2021. PEEK Bar Extrusion Forming Device, Has Supporting Rod Whose End is Connected with Side Surface of Supporting Cylinder, Collecting Box Installed in Placing Groove, and Box Door Hinged with Side Surface of Collection Desk. CN Patent 214056171-U (in Chinese).
<https://webofscience.clarivate.cn/wos/diidxw/full-record/DIIDW:2021A54446>
- Qu H, Wu ZX, Hou LY, et al., 2023. Ultrasonic-assisted reinforcement and annealing treatment of additive manufacturing polyether-ether-ketone. *Polymer Composites*, 44(3): 1684-1695.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.27197>
- Rabinowitz A, DeSantis PM, Basgul C, et al., 2023. Taguchi optimization of 3D printed short carbon fiber polyetherketoneketone (CFR PEKK). *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*, 145:105981.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2023.105981>
- Reddy KUK, Verma PC, Rathi A, et al., 2023. A comprehensive mechanical characterization of as-printed and saliva soaked 3D printed PEEK specimens for low-cost dental implant applications. *Materials Today Communications*, 36:106438.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MTCOMM.2023.106438>
- Ren L, Wu WZ, Ren LQ, et al., 2022. 3D printing of auxetic metamaterials with high-temperature and programmable mechanical properties. *Advanced Materials Technologies*, 7(9):2101546.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/admt.202101546>
- Ren TN, Zhu GM, Zhang CS, 2022. Improvement of the interfacial properties of CF/PEEK composites by introducing aminated polyphenylene sulphide (PPS-NH₂) as a sizing agent. *Polymer Composites*, 43(12):8890-8903.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.27070>
- Ren TN, Zhu GM, Zhang CS, et al., 2023. Preparation of CF/PEEK composites with high mechanical performance using PEEK derivatives as the sizing agent. *Macromolecular Rapid Communications*, 44(4):2200738.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/marc.202200738>
- Rezvani Ghomi E, Eshkalak SK, Singh S, et al., 2021. Fused filament printing of specialized biomedical devices: a state-of-the art review of technological feasibilities with PEEK. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 27(3):592-616.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-06-2020-0139>
- Ritter T, McNiffe E, Higgins T, et al., 2023. Design and modification of a material extrusion 3D printer to manufacture functional gradient PEEK components. *Polymers*, 15(18): 3825.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15183825>
- Rivière L, Lonjon A, Dantras E, et al., 2016. Silver fillers aspect ratio influence on electrical and thermal conductivity in PEEK/Ag nanocomposites. *European Polymer Journal*, 85:115-125.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2016.08.003>
- Ru XN, Chu MH, Jiang JZ, et al., 2023. Polyetheretherketone/Nano-Ag-TiO₂ composite with mechanical properties and antibacterial activity. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 140(4):e53377.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.53377>
- Sakai T, 2013. Screw extrusion technology—past, present and future. *Polimery*, 58(11-12):847-857.
<https://doi.org/10.14314/polimery.2013.847>
- Sala G, di Landro L, Cassago D, 2002. A numerical and experimental approach to optimise sheet stamping technologies: polymers thermoforming. *Materials & Design*, 23(1):21-39.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0261-3069\(01\)00037-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0261-3069(01)00037-1)
- Santos ACMQS, Monticeli FM, Ornaghi H, et al., 2021. Porosity characterization and respective influence on short-beam strength of advanced composite processed by resin transfer molding and compression molding. *Polymers and Polymer Composites*, 29(8):1353-1362.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0967391120968452>
- Sarasua JR, Pouyet J, 1997. Recycling effects on microstructure and mechanical behaviour of PEEK short carbon-fibre composites. *Journal of Materials Science*, 32(2):533-536.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1018598610260>
- Sarasua JR, Remiro PM, Pouyet J, 1995. The mechanical behaviour of PEEK short fibre composites. *Journal of Materials Science*, 30(13):3501-3508.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00349901>
- Shekar RI, Rao PMD, Siddaramaiah S, et al., 2010a. Fibre-fibre hybrid composites for aerospace applications. *Advanced Materials Research*, 123-125:1231-1234.
<https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.123-125.1231>
- Shekar RI, Kotresh TM, Prasad ASK, et al., 2010b. Hybrid fiber fabric composites from poly ether ether ketone and glass fiber. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 117(3): 1446-1459.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.32001>
- Shekar RI, Kumar MNS, Rao PMD, et al., 2011. Studies on the composites produced from co-weaved poly ether ether ketone and glass fiber fabric. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 45(7):741-749.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998310378906>
- Song J, Liao ZH, Shi HY, et al., 2017. Fretting wear study of PEEK-based composites for bio-implant application. *Tribology Letters*, 65(4):150.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11249-017-0931-8>
- Spece H, DeSantis PM, Kurtz SM, 2022. Development of an architecture-property model for triply periodic minimal surface structures and validation using material extrusion additive manufacturing with polyetheretherketone (PEEK). *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*, 133:105345.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2022.105345>
- Subramanian AV, Thanigachalam M, 2022. Mechanical performances, in-vitro antibacterial study and bone stress prediction of ceramic particulates filled polyether ether

- ketone nanocomposites for medical applications. *Journal of Polymer Research*, 29(8):318.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-022-03180-6>
- Suzuki Y, 2001. Extrusion Molding of Thin Tube for Continuous Molding Involves Passing Gases Through Inter-Connecting Tube into Shaping and Sizing Dies, So That Air Lubrication Film is Formed Between Surface of Molding and Die. JP Patent JP2001096607-A (in Japanese).
<https://webofscience.clarivate.cn/wos/diidw/full-record/DIIDW:2001325016>
- Talbott MF, Springer GS, Berglund LA, 1987. The effects of crystallinity on the mechanical properties of PEEK polymer and graphite fiber reinforced PEEK. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 21(11):1056-1081.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/002199838702101104>
- Tanaka K, Kitano T, Egami N, 2014. Effect of fiber orientation on fatigue crack propagation in short-fiber reinforced plastics. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 123:44-58.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2014.03.019>
- Tang SM, Cheang P, AbuBakar MS, et al., 2004. Tension-tension fatigue behavior of hydroxyapatite reinforced polyetheretherketone composites. *International Journal of Fatigue*, 26(1):49-57.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0142-1123\(03\)00080-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0142-1123(03)00080-x)
- Thanigachalam M, Subramanian AVM, 2022. Evaluation of PEEK-TiO₂-SiO₂ nanocomposite as biomedical implants with regard to in-vitro biocompatibility and material characterization. *Journal of Biomaterials Science, Polymer Edition*, 33(6):727-746.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09205063.2021.2014028>
- Tian RJ, Zhu GM, Lv YW, et al., 2021. Experimental study and numerical simulation for the interaction between laser and PEEK with different crystallinity. *High Performance Polymers*, 33(8):851-861.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0954008321996771>
- Tosello G, Charalambis A, Kerbache L, et al., 2019. Value chain and production cost optimization by integrating additive manufacturing in injection molding process chain. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 100(1-4):783-795.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-018-2762-7>
- Trzepieciński T, Najm SM, Sbayti M, et al., 2021. New advances and future possibilities in forming technology of hybrid metal-polymer composites used in aerospace applications. *Journal of Composites Science*, 5(8):217.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs5080217>
- Valino AD, Dizon JRC, Espera AH, et al., 2019. Advances in 3D printing of thermoplastic polymer composites and nanocomposites. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 98:101162.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2019.101162>
- van de Werken N, Koirala P, Ghorbani J, et al., 2021. Investigating the hot isostatic pressing of an additively manufactured continuous carbon fiber reinforced PEEK composite. *Additive Manufacturing*, 37:101634.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101634>
- Vatandaş BB, Uşun A, Yıldız N, et al., 2023. Additive manufacturing of PEEK-based continuous fiber reinforced thermoplastic composites with high mechanical properties. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 167:107434.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2023.107434>
- Verdejo R, Werner P, Sandler J, et al., 2009. Morphology and properties of injection-moulded carbon-nanofibre poly(etheretherketone) foams. *Journal of Materials Science*, 44(6):1427-1434.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-008-3168-y>
- Wang P, Zou B, 2022. Improvement of heat treatment process on mechanical properties of FDM 3D-printed short- and continuous-fiber-reinforced PEEK composites. *Coatings*, 12(6):827.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings12060827>
- Wang P, Zou B, Ding SL, et al., 2021. Effects of FDM-3D printing parameters on mechanical properties and microstructure of CF/PEEK and GF/PEEK. *Chinese Journal of Aeronautics*, 34(9):236-246.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2020.05.040>
- Wang P, Zou B, Ding SL, et al., 2022. Functionally graded polyetheretherketone-based composites additively manufactured by material extrusion using a transition interface design method. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 158:106977.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2022.106977>
- Wen FY, Li S, Chen R, et al., 2022. Improved thermal and electromagnetic shielding of PEEK composites by hydroxylating PEK-C grafted MWCNTs. *Polymers*, 14(7):1328.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14071328>
- Wilczyński K, Wilczyński KJ, Buziak K, 2022. Modeling and experimental studies on polymer melting and flow in injection molding. *Polymers*, 14(10):2106.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14102106>
- Wu GM, Schultz JM, 1990. Processing, microstructure, and failure behavior in short-fiber-reinforced poly(ether ether ketone) composites. *Polymer Composites*, 11(2):126-132.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.750110209>
- Wu TH, Liang LB, Bai Y, et al., 2023. Fabrication of corrosion-resistant and heat-resistant multi-walled carbon nanotubes/poly ether ether ketone/thermoplastic polyimide electromagnetic shielding foams based on double percolation structure. *Carbon*, 215:118423.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2023.118423>
- Xiong QY, Li Y, Li QY, et al., 2023. Multiscale structure coordinated poly(ether ether ketone)-based black absorber with wide-angle high absorption properties. *Advanced Materials Technologies*, 8(17):2300416.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/admt.202300416>
- Xu QF, Shang YS, Jiang ZL, et al., 2021. Effect of molecular weight on mechanical properties and microstructure of 3D printed poly(ether ether ketone). *Polymer International*, 70(8):1065-1072.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.6166>
- Yan X, Rao C, Lu L, et al., 2020. Strong 3D printing by TPMS injection. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 26(10):3037-3050.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/tvcg.2019.2914044>
- Yang BS, Chen WH, Xin RL, et al., 2022. Pomelo peel-inspired 3D-printed porous structure for efficient absorption of

- compressive strain energy. *Journal of Bionic Engineering*, 19(2):448-457.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42235-021-00145-1>
- Yang CC, Tian XY, Li DC, et al., 2017. Influence of thermal processing conditions in 3D printing on the crystallinity and mechanical properties of PEEK material. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 248:1-7.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2017.04.027>
- Yang JH, Xie J, Ji KP, et al., 2022a. Effect of mold opening on microcellular polyether-ether-ketone fabricated by injection molding. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 19:1678-1689.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2022.05.146>
- Yang JH, Xie J, Ji KP, et al., 2022b. Microcellular injection molding of polyether-ether-ketone. *Polymer*, 251:124866.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2022.124866>
- Yapıcı U, Pan L, Xu F, et al., 2014. Effect of functional groups on interfacial adhesion properties of PEEK/carbon fiber composites. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 598:66-72.
<https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.598.66>
- Yavas D, 2023. High-temperature fracture behavior of carbon fiber reinforced PEEK composites fabricated via fused filament fabrication. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 266:110987.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2023.110987>
- Ye X, Hu ZL, Li XP, et al., 2022. Effect of annealing and carbon nanotube infill on the mechanical and electrical properties of additively manufactured polyether-ether-ketone nanocomposites via fused filament fabrication. *Additive Manufacturing*, 59:103188.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2022.103188>
- Yuan K, Zhang K, Yang YQ, et al., 2022. Evaluation of interbody fusion efficacy and biocompatibility of a polyetheretherketone/calcium silicate/porous tantalum cage in a goat model. *Journal of Orthopaedic Translation*, 36:109-119.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jot.2022.06.006>
- Yuerek M, Kozyak BW, Shankar VR, 2023. Advances in extracorporeal support technologies in critically ill children. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics*, 90(5):501-509.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-023-04545-5>
- Zalaznik M, Kalin M, Novak S, 2016. Influence of the processing temperature on the tribological and mechanical properties of poly-ether-ether-ketone (PEEK) polymer. *Tribology International*, 94:92-97.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2015.08.016>
- Zema L, Loreti G, Melocchi A, et al., 2012. Injection molding and its application to drug delivery. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 159(3):324-331.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2012.01.001>
- Zhang CG, Wang L, Kang JF, et al., 2020. Bionic design and verification of 3D printed PEEK costal cartilage prosthesis. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*, 103:103561.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2019.103561>
- Zhang CP, Duan YG, Xiao H, et al., 2022. The effects of processing parameters on the wedge peel strength of CF/PEEK laminates manufactured using a laser tape placement process. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 120(11):7251-7262.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-022-09181-5>
- Zhang G, Schlarb AK, Tria S, et al., 2008. Tensile and tribological behaviors of PEEK/nano-SiO₂ composites compounded using a ball milling technique. *Composites Science and Technology*, 68(15-16):3073-3080.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2008.06.027>
- Zhang H, Guo ZC, Zhang ZH, et al., 2023. Biomimetic design and fabrication of PEEK and PEEK/CF cage with minimal surface structures by fused filament fabrication. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 26:5001-5015.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2023.08.236>
- Zhang SL, Wang HS, Wang GB, et al., 2012. Material with high dielectric constant, low dielectric loss, and good mechanical and thermal properties produced using multi-wall carbon nanotubes wrapped with poly(ether sulphone) in a poly(ether ether ketone) matrix. *Applied Physics Letters*, 101(1):012904.
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4733723>
- Zhang XY, Zhang T, Chen K, et al., 2023. Wear mechanism and debris analysis of PEEK as an alternative to CoCrMo in the femoral component of total knee replacement. *Friction*, 11(10):1845-1861.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40544-022-0700-z>
- Zhao LS, Hu JL, Gao LX, et al., 2023. Improvement of interfacial properties and bioactivity of CF/PEEK composites by rapid biomineralization of hydroxyapatite. *ACS Biomaterials Science & Engineering*, 9(7):4117-4125.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.2c00881>
- Zheng B, Li MY, Deng TZ, et al., 2019. Process-structure-property relationships of thermoformed woven carbon-fiber-reinforced polyether-ether-ketone composites. *Polymer Composites*, 40(10):3823-3834.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.25241>
- Zheng JB, Dong EC, Kang JF, et al., 2021. Effects of raster angle and material components on mechanical properties of polyether-ether-ketone/calcium silicate scaffolds. *Polymers*, 13(15):2547.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13152547>
- Zhong YJ, Xie GY, Sui GX, et al., 2011. Poly(ether ether ketone) composites reinforced by short carbon fibers and zirconium dioxide nanoparticles: mechanical properties and sliding wear behavior with water lubrication. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 119(2):1711-1720.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.32847>
- Zhou YQ, Cao ZX, Lu JY, et al., 2022. Objectives, challenges, and prospects of batch processes: arising from injection molding applications. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 39(12):3179-3189.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-022-1294-x>
- Zhu GN, Li Q, Wu HY, et al., 2021. PPSU and PEEK Engineering Plastic U-Shaped Sheet Production Device, Has Upper Mold Provided with Height Adjusting Component for Adjusting Height of U-Shaped Discharging Port, and Shaping Mold Provided with Upper Mold and Lower Mold. CN Patent 213227485U (in Chinese).
<https://webofscience.clarivate.cn/wos/diwdw/full-record/DIIDW:202157595M>