Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE B (Biomedicine & Biotechnology) in press
www.jzus.zju.edu.cn; www.springer.com/journal/11585
E-mail: jzus_b@zju.edu.cn

Correspondence

https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B2300941

Unmet needs of patients with intravascular large B-cell lymphoma: Three case reports and a literature review

Xian LI[1*], Ru LUO[2*], Jiaming XU[3], Xueli JING[1], Weiqin WANG[1], Xibin XIAO[1✉], Wenbin QIAN[1✉]

[1]*Department of Hematology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang Province, China*
[2]*Department of Pathology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang Province, China*
[3]*Department of Neurosurgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang Province, China*

Intravascular large B-cell lymphoma (IVLBCL), a rare subtype of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, is classified as an independent subtype of extranodal diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) in the World Health Organization (WHO) 2008 Classification (Turner et al., 2010). The 5th edition of the WHO 2022 Classification of hematolymphoid tumors retains this subtype (Alaggio et al., 2022). IVLBCL, which is characterized by neoplastic lymphocyte proliferation within the lumen of small blood vessels, tends to invade organs, such as the nervous system, skin, bone marrow, and lungs (Vásquez et al., 2019; D'Angelo et al., 2019; Fukami et al., 2020; Satoh et al., 2019).

Given the low incidence and diverse clinical presentations of IVLBCL, diagnosis and treatment of this disease are often overlooked, and IVLBCL patients have an inferior prognosis with a median overall survival (OS) time of less than 1 year (Jiamei et al., 2021). It is crucial for hematologists to understand the clinical presentations and pathological characteristics of IVLBCL. IVLBCL treatment strategy is mainly based on that used for DLBCL. The clinical outcomes of IVLBCL are highly diverse, although the addition of rituximab to chemotherapy has significantly improved the survival rate of these patients (Ferreri et al., 2008). Clinical issues related to central nervous system (CNS) prophylaxis and treatment remain unsolved, especially in patients with CNS involvement, whose prognosis is worse (Liu et al., 2020). For high-risk IVLBCL, the specific cytogenetic and molecular abnormalities, such as the high frequency of MYD88 and CD79B mutations and the disruption of programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1), might be required to develop novel treatment strategies to improve the prognosis for this disease (Shimada et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2019).

Further studies are warranted, given the variable clinical presentations and poor prognoses of IVLBCL patients. We hope that our findings will provide a reference for hematologists to improve the early diagnosis and appropriate management of IVLBCL.

Here, we retrospectively reviewed three Chinese patients newly diagnosed with IVLBCL between June

✉ Xibin XIAN, xiaoxibinzju@zju.edu.cn
Wenbin QIAN, qianwb@zju.edu.cn
Xian LI, lixian2018@zju.edu.cn
Ru LUO, 2517020@zju.edu.cn
* The two authors contributed equally to this work
Xibin XIAN, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9941-446X
Wenbin QIAN, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9817-6674
Xian LI, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6380-7336
Ru LUO, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6877-932x

Received Dec. 27, 2023; Revision accepted June 15, 2024;
Crosschecked xxx. xx, 20xx; Published online xxx. xx, 20xx

© Zhejiang University Press 2024

Unedited

2021 and June 2023. The diagnosis of IVLBCL was confirmed according to the 2008 WHO classification by pathologists who had experience with hematopoietic malignancies (Turner et al., 2010). This survey was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital Zhejiang University School of Medicine. The corresponding local ethics committee of each participating institution approved this study when applicable. All patients provided written informed consent before enrollment. The data were collected via an electronic case report form.

Case 1

In June 2021, a 77-year-old man was admitted to our hospital due to a 4-month history of dysuria. The patient underwent transurethral plasmakinetic resection of the prostate. Prostate pathology revealed the presence of atypical round cells in the small interstitial vessels, which was suspected to indicate DLBCL. After prostate surgery, the patient was noted to have cytopenia and an increased level of β_2-microglobulin (β_2-MG). Positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) revealed no abnormal metabolic lesion in the operation area; however, glucose metabolism in the bone marrow increased diffusely. A bone marrow (BM) biopsy was performed, revealing abnormal large B cells infiltrating the myeloid tissue. The immunohistochemical results confirmed the diagnosis of IVLBCL (Fig. 1). The patient initially underwent systemic chemotherapy with R-CHOP-21 (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vinorelbine, and prednisone). Due to the high risk of CNS involvement, Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors (BTKi) and high-dose methotrexate (MTX) were also integrated into the treatment strategy. After 6 cycles of immunochemotherapy, patient 1 experienced an improvement in cytopenia and a reduction in β_2-MG to normal levels. BM re-examination revealed the disappearance of lymphoma cells, indicating complete remission (CR). Due to poor performance status, autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) was not suggested as a treatment. Instead, continuous administration of oral BTKi was recommended for post-remission consolidation, and the patient was still in CR 24 months after induction chemotherapy.

Case 2

The second patient was a 66-year-old woman who presented to our hospital in December 2022 with a 5-month history of progressive neurological symptoms, including dizziness and recurrent falls. Blood tests revealed elevated LDH with a normal complete blood count, electrolytes, and β_2-MG. Bone-marrow biopsy revealed normocellular marrow and PET revealed multiple lesions in the right cerebellar hemisphere, bilateral lateral ventricles, and semioval regions with increased glucose metabolism. Moreover, abnormal enlargement and increased glucose metabolism were detected in multiple lymph nodes located in right cervical region IV and the periportal zone. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the head was performed, revealing multifocal white matter lesions in the right cerebellar hemisphere, bilateral lateral ventricles, and semioval regions. CT-guided stereotactic biopsy of cerebral white matter lesions revealed the presence of large B-cell collections within the lumina of blood vessels, confirming the diagnosis of IVLBCL. The patient underwent 6 courses of R-CHOP-MTX-BTKi; however, the central lesion progressively enlarged. Follow-up was conducted for 7 months until the patient succumbed to disease progression.

Case 3

The third patient was a 59-year-old man with a previous history of essential hypertension and outmoded cerebral infarction, who presented to the local hospital with episodic syncope in September 2022. The patient denied fever, nausea, vomiting, or hyperspasmia. CT of the head revealed no cause. The patient was considered to have epilepsy after cerebral infarction and received antiepileptic treatment. Although there was no recurrence of epilepsy, the patient experienced progressive numbness of the lower limbs and was then transferred to our hospital for further evaluation. PET revealed slight low-density lesions in the left occipital lobe with abnormal glucose metabolism. MRI revealed lesions in the posterior horn of the left occipital lobe of the lateral ventricle. This presentation was investigated with lesion stereotactic biopsy, revealing findings suspicious of glioma.

Unedited

During this period, the patient gradually developed fever, splenomegaly, progressive confusion, and memory loss, leading to complete dependence on others for activities of daily living. Blood tests revealed pancytopenia and increased LDH, ferritin, and soluble CD25 levels. BM biopsy revealed hemophagocytosis without lymphoma infiltration. A repeat brain stereotactic biopsy was completed, and the diagnosis of IVLBCL (Figs. 2 and 3) was determined in April 2023. After a 7-month diagnostic period, R-CHOP-MTX-BTKi therapy was initiated. The patient did not achieve remission after 3 courses of systemic chemotherapy and demonstrated recurrent fever, cytopenia, and coma. MRI of the brain also revealed no improvement in the central lesion. Follow-up was conducted for 3.5 months until patient died from subdural hemorrhage after falling out of bed due to inadequate home care.

The major clinical manifestations of the three patients with IVLBCL are summarized in Table 1. The patients were between 59 and 77 years old at diagnosis, with a male-to-female ratio of 2:1. The involved sites included the BM, CNS, lymph nodes, and prostate. Laboratory examination results indicated the presence of cytopenia, hypoalbuminemia, and elevated levels of serum LDH, ferritin, β_2-MG, and C-reactive protein (CRP) in two of the three patients. Notably, patient 3 presented with hemophagocytic syndrome according to the clinical manifestations and serological results. BM biopsies were performed, revealing lymphoma infiltration in one of the patients. In addition, patient 3 exhibited hemophagocytosis without any indication of lymphoma infiltration in the BM. All patients underwent lumbar puncture, and the results revealed an increase in protein content and nucleated cell levels in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in two of the three patients, which indicated CNS involvement. All three patients initially underwent systemic chemotherapy with R-CHOP-MTX-BTKi. Patient 1 improved and was still in CR 24 months after induction chemotherapy. In contrast, the other two patients (patient 2 and patient 3) experienced disease progression or complications within a limited follow-up period ranging from 3.5 to 7 months.

No significant skin lesions, including plaques, nodules, or telangiectasias, were observed in any of the three patients. The patients were diagnosed via organ biopsy, which revealed involvement of the prostate gland (patient 1), BM (patient 1), and CNS (patients 2 and 3). Notably, two of the three patients requested a second biopsy to confirm the diagnosis. The clinicopathological and immunohistochemical characteristics of the three patients are summarized in Table 2. Pathology revealed large B lymphocyte proliferation within the lumen of small blood vessels, with tumor cells adhering to the vascular wall; however, the structure of the vascular wall remained intact. The tumor cells were positive for B-cell markers (CD20, CD79a, and PAX5), BCL2 (one of three), and BCL6 (one of three). The Ki-67 proliferative index was generally high, ranging from 80% to 90% (Fig. 3). All patients in the study underwent cytogenetic analysis, and none had a specific chromosomal translocation. Only two patients had second-generation sequencing data available, with MYD88 L265P and CD79b Y196 double mutations in patient 1 and NOTCH 1 (p. P2415del) and PIM1 (p. P81S) mutations in patient 3. In addition, patient 3 was negative for PD-L1 expression (Fig. 4).

IVLBCL is a rare subgroup of large B-cell lymphoma with an annual incidence rate of no more than 0.5/100000 (Ponzoni et al., 2018). According to retrospective research, IVLBCL can be classified into three clinical subtypes: classic, hemophagocytic, and cutaneous. Previously, it was thought that clinical subtypes were related to race. The hemophagocytic subtype, common in Asian individuals, is characterized by frequent BM involvement, fever, anemia, and hepatosplenomegaly, resulting in the worst prognosis. The classic subtype shows a propensity for nonspecific symptoms and CNS involvement, and the cutaneous subtype manifests with simple skin lesions and a better prognosis. The latter two subtypes are more common in Caucasian patients (Qiu et al., 2022). However, it is now recognized that the geographical affiliations among different clinical subtypes are not deterministic, and IVLBCL patients from different geographic origins share comparable clinical characteristics (Ponzoni et al., 2007).

The variable clinical presentation and low incidence rate of IVLBCL make this disease very difficult to diagnose. This situation implies that in addition to clinical manifestations, understanding of histopathological features is crucial for early clinical recognition of IVLBCL (Ponzoni et al., 2018). Histopathology is the key to definitive diagnosis and is necessary for confirming the diagnosis in cases of suspicious clinical manifestations.

Unedited

A review of the relevant pathological literature on IVLBCL revealed that large B-cell lymphoma cells are diffusely distributed or clustered within the lumens of small blood vessels, whereas the vascular structure remains intact. In some cases, fibrinous thrombi, bleeding, and necrosis can be observed in the vascular lumen. Immunohistochemistry has shown that IVLBCL cells express a diverse range of B-cell markers, such as CD20, PAX5, CD19, CD22, CD79a, OTC2, and BOB1, which may partially overlap with those of DLBCL. The percentage range of patients with IVLBCL who express CD5 is 22%–38% (Brunet et al., 2017; Murase et al., 2010; Yegappan et al., 2001); in contrast, D5 is detected in only 5% of DLBCL patients (Xu-Monette et al., 2015). Most IVLBCL patients (75%–80%) express MUM1/IRF4, an immunophenotype of nongerminal center B-cell lymphoma (non-GCB) according to Han's classification, but CD10 is expressed in only 13% – 22% of patients (Yegappan et al., 2001; Murase et al., 2007). The Ki-67 proliferation index is generally high, with approximately 81% of patients having Ki-67 $\geqslant$ 60%. In some cases, tumor cells express PD-L1 (Gupta et al., 2019), and research results suggest that PD-L1 may be related to immune evasion in IVLBCL (Sakakibara et al., 2018). Furthermore, CD34 and CD31, which are markers of endothelial cells, can be used to confirm that tumor cells are located within blood vessels (Davis et al., 2022). Numerous cases of IVLBCL have been reported to be masked by background inflammation and misdiagnosed as primary epithelial or interstitial tumors (Muftah et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2022). The key to identifying IVLBCLs with background inflammation lies in pattern recognition and comparison with lymphovascular space invasion under low-power microscopy. Malignant lymphocytes are confined to vessel lumens in IVLBCL, with a dense cell arrangement and occasionally a discohesive intravascular nature. Immunohistochemistry can be used for further analysis when a characteristic pattern is recognized. However, in some cases, IVLBCL may only be represented in local tissue sections, thus limiting the performance of immunohistochemistry (Davis et al., 2022). Given these diagnostic findings, further research is warranted to improve the early detection of this rare lymphoma.

Molecular biology and cytogenetics evaluations are also required for the diagnosis of IVLBCL. IVLBCL has no specific cytogenetic abnormalities due to the heterogeneity of the disease, complex karyotype patterns, and small sample size (Matsue et al., 2019). However, somatic hypermutation is commonly observed in clonally rearranged immunoglobulin heavy chains, with a preference for the VH3 family (Kanda et al., 2001). Next-generation sequencing analysis has indicated that IVLBCLs exhibit genetic lesions characteristic of activated B-cell (ABC)-type DLBCL with NF-κB pathway overactivation (Gonzalez-Farre et al., 2023). The rearrangement of BCL2 also confirms the origin of non-GCB. Some studies have revealed (14; 18) translocation, a tandem triplication of BCL2 at the 18q21 region, and recurrent alterations of chromosomes 1, 6, and 18 (Vieites et al., 2005; 29. Klairmont et al., 2018). However, a widely recognized pattern of cytogenetic abnormalities in IVLBCL has not been well defined. Compared to nodal DLBCL, IVLBCL displays a significantly high frequency of mutations in MYD88 (57%), CD79B (67%), SETD1B (57%), and HLA-B (57%) (Shimada et al., 2021). Whether complex karyotypes or molecular abnormalities have prognostic implications remains unclear, and further research is needed.

Due to the rarity of the disease and the absence of prospective trials with large sample sizes, the most appropriate treatment strategy for IVLBCL has not been defined. In addition to the primary cutaneous subtype, which has a 3-year OS rate ranging from 40% to 72% (Ponzoni et al., 2007; Ferreri et al., 2004), IVLBCL is an aggressive disease (Rajyaguru et al., 2017). Without chemotherapy, the prognosis is extremely poor, with a 1-year overall survival rate of 2.7% (Jiamei et al., 2021), particularly for those with CNS involvement or hemophagocytic syndrome (Liu et al., 2020). Even with treatment, the 3-year OS rate of IVLBCL patients is estimated to be between 11.5% and 35% (Ferreri et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2020). Therefore, the primary treatment for IVLBCL is combination chemotherapy, similar to the treatment approach for DLBCL. Anthracycline-based chemotherapy can improve the prognosis of IVLBCL patients, and the cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (CHOP) regimen is crucial for appropriate management in most cases (Ferreri et al., 2004). The addition of rituximab to chemotherapy can further improve the survival rate of patients with IVLBCL. The CR and 3-year OS rates of IVLBCL patients who receive immunochemotherapy in Western countries are reported to be 53%–90% and 42.7%–89%, respectively (Ferreri et al., 2008; Brunet et al., 2017).

Uncorrected

The reported 2-year progression-free survival (PFS) and OS rates in Asian patients are 56% and 66%, respectively (Shimada et al., 2008).

Rituximab has been demonstrated to prolong survival in IVLBCL patients. Nonetheless, long-term survival remains unsatisfactory, particularly after disease recurrence, and effectively preventing relapse is a significant clinical concern. The 3-year PFS and OS rates for IVLBCL patients receiving consolidative autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) in frontline treatment are 83% and 89%, respectively, with a 3-year cumulative incidence of relapse of 14% (Kato et al., 2019; Meissner et al., 2017). Therefore, consolidative ASCT is recommended for patients younger than 65 years of age or in good physical condition (CSCO 2023). Nonetheless, this approach may only be a possibility for a small proportion of IVLBCL patients, as their median age is 70 years at diagnosis (Ponzoni et al., 2018), and most patients are unable to tolerate intensive chemotherapy because of their poor performance status.

Moreover, due to the high risk of CNS involvement and poor prognosis, CNS prophylaxis and treatment represent additional clinical concerns for patients with IVLBCL (Takahashi et al., 2022). Among patients with newly diagnosed IVLBCL without CNS involvement, the risk of CNS recurrence at three years was 25%; this risk reached as high as 18% even in the R-CHOP-treated group. During the course of the disease, patients with CNS involvement at diagnosis have a further 25% risk of recurrence within the first year (Shimada et al., 2010). Therefore, inclusion of drugs with superior CNS bioavailability in CHOP should be considered (CSCO 2023). A multicenter, single-arm, phase II clinical trial (PRIMEUR-IVL) evaluated the efficacy and safety of the R-CHOP regimen combined with high-dose MTX and intrathecal injection in IVLBCL patients as a first-line induction therapy. The reported 2-year PFS and OS rates were 76% and 92%, respectively, and the risk of CNS recurrence at 3 years was 3%. The results demonstrate that this regimen can be safely and effectively used for IVLBCL preventive CNS treatment (Shimada et al., 2020). However, current treatment strategies, including immunochemotherapy, high-dose methotrexate, and intrathecal injection, have improved the prognosis of patients with CNS involvement to a limited extent (Liu et al., 2020). Furthermore, despite intensifying treatment, some patients with unfavorable clinical features still have poor prognoses; hence, new treatment strategies deserve further investigation (Ponzoni et al., 2007).

Given the high frequency of MYD88 and CD79B mutations in IVLBCL (Shimada et al., 2021), the addition of BTKi to immunochemotherapy may be a potential initial treatment option. A prospective, single-arm, phase II clinical trial evaluated the efficacy of zanubrutinib (a selective BTKi) in combination with R-CHOP (ZR-CHOP) for patients with previously untreated IVLBCL in China. A total of 23 patients were enrolled, and the overall response rate was 100%. The reported CR rates in the interim and end-of-treatment evaluations were 94.7% and 92.3%, respectively. Among the 14 patients with CNS involvement, all showed significant improvement in their symptoms on MRI. After a median follow-up of 439 days (range 266–693), no relapses were observed (Yan et al., 2023). These results indicate that targeted therapeutic drugs can improve the outcomes of IVLBCL treatment. Thus, further research is needed on emerging genetic findings and crucial molecular markers. In daily clinical practice, due to technical limitations or a paucity of adequate tumor samples, it is usually difficult to assess genetic and molecular characteristics, which limits precise diagnosis and targeted therapy of IVLBCL.

In our study, the median age at diagnosis in patients with IVLBCL was 66 years, with an age range between 59 and 77 years. The symptom-to-diagnosis interval ranged from 4 to 7 months, and two of three patients underwent a second biopsy to clarify the diagnosis. Notably, prolonged periods with unrecognized and untreated IVLBCL generally lead to a decline in performance status and the ability to tolerate appropriate chemotherapy (Geer et al., 2019). Therefore, for patients with unexplained fever, cytopenia, or skin lesions, multiple multipoint biopsies on suspicious areas should be performed as early as possible. Moreover, additional research is necessary to explore pathological diagnostic criteria for the swift detection, diagnosis, and early treatment of this condition.

In our case series, all the patients underwent cytogenetic analysis, but none had complex karyotypes or chromosomal translocations. Fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis revealed that patient 1 had MYD88 and

Unedited

CD79B mutations. As a result of these molecular alterations, this patient showed a favorable response to BTKi-based treatment and was still alive at the time of the last follow-up. Despite receiving the R-CHOP regimen combined with MTX and BTKi, the other two patients (patients 2 and 3) experienced ineffective treatment and early mortality.

The reasons may be summarized as follows. First, both patients had CNS involvement at diagnosis. One patient also had hemophagocytic syndrome, which is associated with an adverse treatment response and poor prognosis. Also, the second-generation sequencing analysis revealed mutations in PIM1 (p. P81S) in patient 3. Expression of PIM1 is often associated with a poor prognosis in DLBCL patients (Mahadevan et al., 2005). Point mutations in the kinase PIM1 impact upstream regulators and downstream targets of the NF-κB signaling pathway, decreasing the sensitivity of ABC-type DLBCL to BTKis. In patient 3, the response to BTKi could have been restricted by this resistance mechanism that blocks the therapeutic target. Notably, patient 3 also exhibited NOTCH1 mutations (the N1-like subtype) and had a significantly poor response to R-CHOP. Given that this genetic subtype is predominantly associated with the ABC subgroup of DLBCL and highly expresses the T-cell gene signature, lenalidomide and immune checkpoint inhibitors could be explored as potential treatment options (Schmitz et al., 2018; Poletto et al., 2022). As a result of poor physical condition and rapid disease progression, none of the patients underwent ASCT, making it impossible to evaluate the efficacy of this treatment. In conclusion, given the currently recommended treatment strategies, the survival time of some IVLBCL patients remains poor, and the optimal method for the management of these patients is an open question because of the rarity of this disease. Further investigation is required to identify the molecular features and factors associated with disease prognosis and thereby improve therapeutic strategies.

Despite the limitations of our study, including its retrospective nature and small sample size, our findings accurately depict the current unmet need for early diagnosis and appropriate treatment of IVLBCL patients in clinical practice. Due to the rarity of IVLBCL, global multicenter studies are warranted. Moreover, precise prognostic stratification according to molecular genetic features and clinical subtypes can lead to appropriate therapeutic approaches to further improve the prognosis of patients with IVLBCL.

unedited

Data availability statement

The dataset used or analyzed during the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

We thank LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

Author contributions

Xian LI, Xibin XIAO, and Wenbin QIAN contributed to the design and conception of the study; Xian LI and Weiqin WANG contributed to data collection; Xian LI, and Ru LUO contributed to writing the initial drafting of the manuscript; Jiaming XU and Xueli JING reviewed and edited the original draft; All authors contributed to manuscript revision and read and approved the submitted version.

Compliance with ethics guidelines

Xian LI, Ru LUO, Jiaming XU, Xueli JING, Weiqin WANG, Xibin XIAO, and Wenbin QIAN declare that they have no competing interests.

The Medical Ethics Committee of The Second Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University reviewed and approved the studies involving human participants (I2023042). All procedures in studies involving human participants were performed by the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments.

unedited

Table 1 Summary of clinical manifestations of the three cases of IVLBCL

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
Sex/age (years)	M/77	F/66	M/59
Biopsy site	Prostate, BM	CNS	CNS
Involving site	Prostate, BM	CNS, lymph nodes	CNS
Haemoglobin (g/L)	92 (131-172)	134 (113-151)	102 (131-172)
White cell count ($\times10^9$/L)	7.2 (1.0-4.0)	5.3 (1.0-4.0)	3.2 (1.0-4.0)
Platelets ($\times10^9$/L)	76 (100-300)	162 (100-300)	76 (100-300)
Lactate dehydrogenase (U/L)	223 (120-250)	277(120-250)	669(120-250)
β_2-microglobulin (mg/L)	5.13 (1.0-3.0)	2.21 (1.0-3.0)	3.02 (1.0-3.0)
C reactive protein (mg/L)	92.1 (<10.0)	0.5 (<10.0)	135.1 (<10.0)
Albumin (g/L)	25.9 (35.0-52.0)	43.8 (35.0-52.0)	28.6 (35.0-52.0)
Ferritin (ng/ml)	818.5 (23.9-336.2)	101.2 (23.9-336.2)	2244 (23.9-336.2)
PET imaging	BM	CNS, lymph nodes	CNS
CSF	Normal	①nucleated cells 23/μL (<8) ②protein content 69mg/dL (8-43)	①nucleated cells 12/μL (<8) ②protein content 390mg/dL (8-43)
BM biopsy	+	-	-
Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis	-	-	+
Other			s CD25 5981IU/ml (<2400) Splenomegaly
The symptom-to-diagnosis interval	4 months	5 months	7 months
Treatment	R-CHOP-MTX- BTKi	R-CHOP-MTX- BTKi	R-CHOP-MTX- BTKi
Response	CR	PD	SD
Follow-up (months)	24	7	3.5
Outcome	Alive	Died	Died

IVLBCL, intravascular large B-cell lymphoma; BM, bone marrow; CNS, central nervous system; PET, positron emission tomography; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; s CD25, soluble CD25; R-CHOP-MTX-BTKi: rituximab 375 mg/m^2 intravenously on day 0, cyclophosphamide 750 mg/m^2, doxorubicin 50 mg/m^2, and vincristine 1.4 mg/m^2 (maximum 2.0 mg) intravenously on day 1, prednisolone 90 mg/day orally on days 1-5, methotrexate 3.5 g/m2 intravenously on day 2, Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor (orelabrutinib) 150 mg/day orally; Efficacy criteria: ① Complete remission (CR): All clinical symptoms and abnormal laboratory indicators returned to normal, with no new manifestations; ② Progressive disease (PD): New abnormalities related to the disease appeared, or initial abnormalities related to the disease significantly worsened; ③ Stable disease (SD): Neither complete remission nor disease progression was achieved (CSCO 2023).

unedited

Table 2 Immunohistochemistry of the three cases with IVLBCL

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
CD20	++	++	+++
PAX5	+	++	++
CD79a	+	+	+++
P53	ND	Wild type pattern	Wild type pattern
MUM1	ND	ND	+
BCL2	ND	ND	++
BCL6	ND	ND	+
CD3	-	-	-
CD5	ND	-	ND
CD10	-	ND	-
Ki-67	90%	80%	90%

ND, no data.

Immunohistochemistry samples were viewed at high magnification (×400), and 10 fields of each sample were visualized for semiquantitative analysis. The total staining score was based on a system previously described by Fromowitz et al. Each field was scored as “0” (no staining), “1” (light yellow staining), “2” (light brown staining), or “3” (dark brown staining). The overall percentage of positive staining per field was scored as “0” (≤ 5% staining), “1” (6~25% staining), “2” (26~50% staining), “3” (51~75% staining), or “4” (> 75% staining). The final score was simply the sum of these 2 individual scores, and was “-” (0-1 points), “+” (2-3 points), “++” (4-5 points), or “+++” (6-7 points).

unedited



Fig. 1 Bone-marrow histopathological images of case 1

(A) In bone marrow biopsy, the atypical lymphocytes were focally arranged (Red arrow) (H&E stain; original magnification × 200). (B-D) The lymphoid cells were weakly positive for CD79a, PAX5, and strongly positive for CD20 (Immunohistochemistry; original magnification × 200).

unedited



Fig. 2 H&E staining of the occipital-lobe lesion in case 3

(A-C) The tumor cells were filled with small veins. (D) The lymphoid cells were large, with prominent nucleoli (Original magnification × 400).

unedited



Fig. 3 Immunohistochemistry of the occipital-lobe lesion in case 3

(A-F) The lymphoid cells were strongly positive for CD20, CD79a, BCL2, weakly positive for BCL6, MUM1, and negative for CD10. (G) The Ki-67 proliferative index was high. (H) Neoplastic cells were confined in the blood vessels depicted by CD34 immunostaining (Original magnification × 200).

unedited



Fig. 4 Immunohistochemistry of programmed cell-death ligand 1(PD-L1) in case 3
When assayed with 22C3, the expression of PD-L1 in tumor cells was found to be negative (Original magnification × 200).

unedited

References

Turner JJ, Morton LM, Linet MS, et al., 2010. InterLymph hierarchical classification of lymphoid neoplasms for epidemiologic research based on the WHO classification (2008): update and future directions. *Blood*, 116(20):e90-98. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-06-289561

Alaggio R, Amador C, Anagnostopoulos I, et al., 2022. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: Lymphoid Neoplasms. *Leukemia*, 36(7):1720-1748. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-022-01620-2

Vásquez J, Romero V, Vilas P, et al., 2019. Progressive edemas and generalized telangiectasia: A presentation of Intravascular B-cell Lymphoma. *Clin Case Rep*, 7(12):2429-2432. https://doi.org/10.1002/ccr3.2514

D'Angelo CR, Ku K, Gulliver J, et al., 2019. Intravascular large B-cell lymphoma presenting with altered mental status: A case report. *World J Clin Oncol*, 10(12):402-408. https://doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v10.i12.402

Fukami Y, Koike H, Iijima M, et al., 2020. Demyelinating Neuropathy Due to Intravascular Large B-cell Lymphoma. *Intern Med*, 59(3):435-438. https://doi.org/10.2169/internalmedicine.3228-19

Satoh T, Arai E, Kayano H, et al., 2019. Pulmonary intravascular large B-cell lymphoma accompanying synchronous primary pulmonary adenocarcinoma and benign interstitial lesions. *J Clin Exp Hematop*, 59(3):140-144. https://doi.org/10.3960/jslrt.19012

Jiamei C, Bo L, Xixi L, et al., 2021. Clinical subtypes, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of intravascular large B-cell lymphoma in China. *Chin J of Oncol Prev and Treat*, 13(3): 288-293.

Ferreri AJ, Dognini GP, Bairey O, et al., 2008. International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group. The addition of rituximab to anthracycline-based chemotherapy significantly improves outcome in 'Western' patients with intravascular large B-cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*, 143(2):253-257. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2008.07338.x

Liu Z, Zhang Y, Zhu Y, et al., 2020. Prognosis of intravascular large B cell lymphoma（IVLBCL）: analysis of 182 patients from global case series. *Cancer Manag Res*, 12:10531-10540. https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S267825

Shimada K, Yoshida K, Suzuki Y, et al., 2021. Frequent genetic alterations in immune checkpoint-related genes in intravascular large B-cell lymphoma［J］. *Blood*, 137(11):1491- 1502. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020007245

Gupta GK, Jaffe ES, Pittaluga S, et al., 2019. A study of PD-L1 expression in intravascular large B cell lymphoma: correlation with clinical and pathological features. *Histopathology*, 75(2):282-286. https://doi.org/10.1111/his.13870

Ponzoni M, Campo E, Nakamura S, et al., 2018. Intravascular large B-cell lymphoma: a chameleon with multiple faces and many masks. *Blood*, 132(15):1561-1567. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-04-737445

Qiu L, Wang SA, Vega F, et al., 2022. From the archives of MD Anderson Cancer Center: Intravascular large B-cell lymphoma with numerous circulating lymphoma cells. *Ann Diagn Pathol*, 58:151934. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anndiagpath.2022.151934

Ponzoni M, Ferreri AJ, Campo E et al., 2007. Definition, diagnosis, and management of intravascular large B-cell lymphoma: proposals and perspectives from an international consensus meeting. *J Clin Oncol*, 25(21):3168-3173. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2006.08.2313

Brunet V, Marouan S, Routy JP, et al., 2017. Retrospective study of intravascular large B-cell lymphoma cases diagnosed in Quebec: A retrospective study of 29 case reports. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 96(5):e5985. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000005985

Murase T, Nakamura S, Kawauchi K, et al., 2010. An Asian variant of intravascular large B-cell lymphoma: clinical, pathological and cytogenetic approaches to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma associated with haemophagocytic syndrome. *Br J Haematol*, 111(3):826-834. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2000.02426.x

Yegappan S, Coupland R, Arber DA, et al., 2001. Angiotropic lymphoma: an immunophenotypically and clinically heterogeneous lymphoma. *Mod Pathol*, 14(11):1147-1156. https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.3880450

Xu-Monette ZY, Tu M, Jabbar KJ, et al., 2015. Clinical and biological significance of de novo CD5+ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in Western countries. *Oncotarget*, 6(8):5615-5633. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.3479

Murase T, Yamaguchi M, Suzuki R, et al., 2007. Intravascular large B-cell lymphoma (IVLBCL): a clinicopathologic study of 96 cases with special reference to the immunophenotypic heterogeneity of CD5. *Blood*, 109(2):478-485. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2006-01-021253

Sakakibara A, Inagaki Y, Imaoka E, et al., 2018. Autopsy case report of intravascular large B-cell lymphoma with neoplastic PD-L1 expression. *J Clin Exp Hematop*, 58(1):32-35.

Unedited

https://doi.org/10.3960/jslrt.17037

Davis JW, Auerbach A, Crothers BA, et al., 2022. Intravascular Large B-Cell Lymphoma. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*, 146(9):1160-1167.
https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2021-0165-RA

Muftah S, Xu Z, El Gaddafi W, et al., 2012. Synchronous intravascular large B-cell lymphoma within meningioma. *Neuropathology*, 32(1):77-81.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1789.2011.01223.x

Zhang F, Luo X, Chen Y, et al., 2015. Intravascular large B-cell lymphoma involving gastrointestinal stromal tumor: a case report and literature review. *Diagn Pathol*, 10:214.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0446-2

Yuan Y, Wang EH, Wang L, 2022. The first case of a collision tumor of gastrointestinal stromal tumor and intravascular large B cell lymphoma. *Ann Hematol*, 101(1):233-235.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00277-021-04418-x

Matsue K, Abe Y, Narita K, et al., 2019. Diagnosis of intravascular large B cell lymphoma: novel insights into clinicopathological features from 42 patients at a single institution over 20 years. *Br J Haematol*, 187(3):328-336.
https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.16081

Kanda M, Suzumiya J, Ohshima K, et al., 2001. Analysis of the immunoglobulin heavy chain gene variable region of intravascular large B-cell lymphoma. *Virchows Arch*, 439(4):540-546.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s004280100398

Gonzalez-Farre B, Ramis-Zaldivar JE, Castrejón de Anta N, et al., 2023. Intravascular Large B-Cell Lymphoma Genomic Profile Is Characterized by Alterations in Genes Regulating NF-κB and Immune Checkpoints. *Am J Surg Pathol*, 47(2):202-211.
https://doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0000000000001978

Vieites B, Fraga M, Lopez-Presas E, et al., 2005. Detection of t(14;18) translocation in a case of intravascular large B-cell lymphoma: a germinal centre cell origin in a subset of these lymphomas? *Histopathology*, 46(4):466-468.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2559.2005.02013.x

Klairmont MM, Cheng J, Martin MG, et al., 2018. Recurrent Cytogenetic Abnormalities in Intravascular Large B-Cell Lymphoma. *Am J Clin Pathol*, 150(1):18-26.
https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/aqy023

Ferreri AJ, Campo E, Seymour JF, et al., 2004; International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group (IELSG). Intravascular lymphoma: clinical presentation, natural history, management and prognostic factors in a series of 38 cases, with special emphasis on the 'cutaneous variant'. *Br J Haematol*, 127(2):173-183.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2004.05177.x

Rajyaguru DJ, Bhaskar C, Borgert AJ, et al., 2017. Intravascular large B-cell lymphoma in the United States (US): a population-based study using Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results program and National Cancer Database. *Leuk Lymphoma*, 58(9):1-9.
https://doi.org/10.1080/10428194.2017.1287363

Ferreri AJ, Campo E, Ambrosetti A, et al., 2004. Anthracycline-based chemotherapy as primary treatment for intravascular lymphoma. *Ann Oncol*, 15(8):1215-1221.
https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdh274

Shimada K, Matsue K, Yamamoto K, et al., 2008. Retrospective analysis of intravascular large B-cell lymphoma treated with rituximab-containing chemotherapy as reported by the IVL study group in Japan. *J Clin Oncol*, 26(19):3189-95.
https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.15.4278

Kato K, Mori T, Kim SW, et al., 2019. Outcome of patients receiving consolidative autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation in the frontline treatment of intravascular large B-cell lymphoma: Adult Lymphoma Working Group of the Japan Society for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant*, 54(9):1515-1517.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-019-0491-7

Meissner J, Finel H, Dietrich S, et al., 2017. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for intravascular large B-cell lymphoma: the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation experience. *Bone Marrow Transplant*, 52(4):650-652.
https://doi.org/10.1038/bmt.2016.339

Lymphoid Disease Group, Chinese Society of Hematology, Chinese Medical Association; Lymphoma Expert Committee of Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology(CSCO). Chinese expert consensus on the diagnosis and management of intravascular large B cell lymphoma (2023). *Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi*, 44(3):177-181.
https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-2727.2023.03.001

Takahashi H, Nishimaki H, Nakanishi Y, et al., 2022. Clinical impact of central nervous system-directed therapies on intravascular large B-cell lymphoma: A single institution's experience. *EJHaem*, 3(2):467-470.
https://doi.org/10.1002/jha2.380

Shimada K, Murase T, Matsue K, et al., 2010; IVL Study Group in Japan. Central nervous system involvement in intravascular large B-cell lymphoma: a retrospective analysis of 109 patients. *Cancer Sci*, 101(6):1480-1486.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.2010.01555.x

Shimada K, Yamaguchi M, Atsuta Y, et al., 2020. Rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisolone combined with high-dose methotrexate plus intrathecal chemotherapy for newly diagnosed intravascular large B-cell lym-

unedited

phoma (PRIMEUR-IVL): a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*, 21(4):593-602. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30059-0

Yan Z, Chao C, Danqing Z, et al., 2023. A prospective single-center phase 2 study of zanubrutinib plus R-CHOP in treat-naïve intravascular large B cell lymphoma. EHA 2023 Abstract P1185.

Geer M, Roberts E, Shango M, et al., 2019. Multicentre retrospective study of intravascular large B-cell lymphoma treated at academic institutions within the United States. *Br J Haematol*, 186(2):255-262. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.15923

Mahadevan D, Spier C, Della Croce K, et al., 2005. Transcript profiling in peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified, and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma identifies distinct tumor profile signatures. *Mol Cancer Ther*, 4(12):1867-1879. https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-05-0146

Schmitz R, Wright GW, Huang DW, et al., 2018. Genetics and Pathogenesis of Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*, 378(15):1396-1407. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1801445

Poletto S, Novo M, Paruzzo L, et al., 2022. Treatment strategies for patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Cancer Treat Rev*, 110:102443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2022.102443

unedited