



## Correspondence

<https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B2400102>



# Application of custom-made joint prostheses in wrist

Xiaodi ZOU<sup>1,2</sup>, Yanzhao DONG<sup>1</sup>, Changxing WANG<sup>2</sup>, Hui LU<sup>1</sup>✉

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopedics, the First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310003, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopedics, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Xinhua Hospital of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou 310014, China

The wrist joint is a highly mobile functional joint. Wrist conditions including traumatic and degenerative arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, and giant cell tumors of the distal radius, cause significant pain and mobility impairment. In joint surgery, the decision to use joint prostheses to reconstruct joint function is greatly influenced by the characteristics of the prosthesis (Mok et al., 2016). However, traditional implants have limitations such as shape mismatch, inadequate implant–bone interface strength which causes loosening, and poor bone ingrowth (Zhang et al., 2014).

Three-dimensional (3D) printing offers solutions through customized designs and porous structures for bone growth and stability (Chikarakara et al., 2014). Compared to conventional customized prostheses, 3D-printed prostheses have a shortened one-week manufacturing cycle. 3D printing allows for patient-specific implants with advantages over generic options (Tredan et al., 2022). It facilitates surgical planning with accurate 3D models that reflect the unique characteristics of a patient's underlying anatomical disease. As part of sophisticated modern surgical planning, the advantages of employing such modern technology empower orthopedic surgeons to predetermine the dimensions of a precise resection and simulate surgical maneuvers (Hoang et al., 2016). However, there is currently limited literature on customized prosthetics, and further research is needed to assess their long-term clinical efficacy. Furthermore, the new generation of wrist

prostheses requires constant improvement to reduce complications and increase long-term survival rates.

The Swanson prosthesis is a first-generation prosthesis made of silicone gel, designed to restore mobility and function of the wrist joint (Summers and Hubbard, 1984). Its hinged design allows for flexion and extension movements similar to a native wrist joint, but it is susceptible to aging and damage, leading to postoperative complications like silicone synovitis and prosthesis fracture. Second-generation prostheses, such as the Volz and Meuli prostheses, feature proximal and distal anchor-shaped structures securely fixed within the metacarpal and radius bones. However, these prostheses have mechanical deficiencies that can result in joint dislocation and prosthesis deformation. Researchers have enhanced the prosthesis design over the years, drawing inspiration from the Meuli prosthesis and leading to the creation of the MWP III prosthesis (Dennis et al., 1986). Third-generation prostheses, including the Trispherical, Bi-axial, and MWP III prostheses, were developed to better accommodate the biomechanical characteristics of the human wrist joint. These prostheses aim to restore the radial inclination angle and palmar tilt angle of the wrist joint by adopting an offset placement closely resembling normal anatomy. However, the fixation technique used in these prostheses is not significantly improved over predecessors, and issues like long-term prosthesis loosening and sinking persist (Shao et al., 2023). Fourth-generation wrist prostheses, such as the Universal series (types I and II), REMOTION, and Maestro prostheses, have improved material composition and fixation. The proximal and distal ends are predominantly cobalt-chrome-molybdenum and titanium alloys, while the joint surface is semi-circular ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene. The

✉ Hui LU, [huilu@zju.edu.cn](mailto:huilu@zju.edu.cn)

Hui LU, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5921-1043>

Xiaodi ZOU, <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-0071-9203>

Received Mar. 11, 2024; Revision accepted June 29, 2024;  
Crosschecked Feb. 8, 2025

© Zhejiang University Press 2025

fixation method involves inserting the distal end into the third metacarpal medullary cavity, and then using screws to secure the partial wrist and metacarpal bones on both sides. The Universal II prosthesis is extensively used (Gislason et al., 2017), because it has a modified distal fixation and expanded radial component. However, it experiences higher dorsal and ulnar loads than palmar and radial loads. The RE-MOTION prosthesis resembles the Universal II but has a press-fit fixation to the carpal component, offering greater flexibility. The Maestro prosthesis uses titanium alloy carpal and radial stems, a cobalt-chromium alloy joint body, and an ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene joint ball. Over a period of eight years, the Maestro prosthesis had a 95% survival rate, as well as offering pain relief and motion improvements.

The latest generation of prostheses has reduced the likelihood of loosening and periprosthetic fracture, but conventional prostheses still risk complications from inadequate alignment between the wrist joint prosthesis and the patient's bones. Wrist implants have not achieved the ten-year survival rates of knee (96.1%) or hip (95.6%) implants (Mok et al., 2016). Contemporary prosthetic design and materials enable the production of more personalized prostheses tailored to individual needs. Traditionally, customized prostheses have drawbacks like high costs and long manufacturing time. However, 3D printing technology has ameliorated these limitations. A retrospective study (Shao et al., 2023) comparing two cohorts of patients who underwent en bloc resection of Campanacci grade III or recurrent giant cell tumors of the distal radius, reconstructed with either a cooperative application of LARS® and a 3D-printed prosthesis (L-P group) or osteoarticular allograft (fibula autograft (FA) group), found that the combined use of LARS® and 3D-printed prostheses produced better postoperative clinical, functional, and radiological outcomes in the L-P group. Both techniques significantly improved postoperative function over a mean (40.42±18.43)-month follow-up. In a ten-year follow-up study of a 36-year-old patient with a distal radius giant cell tumor treated with a custom wrist prosthesis, Damert et al. (2020) found approximately 10 mm of subsidence of the radial component and 15° of increased radial abduction. Comparing 30 distal radius giant cell tumor reconstructions, Wang et al. (2020) found improved motion, strength, and function with both 3D-printed prostheses and

osteoarticular allografts, but prostheses showed significantly greater extension, flexion, and functional scores. Allograft complications were more frequent, including infection, resorption, fracture, and arthritis, while prosthetic complications were less frequent, mainly subluxation and dissociation, without arthritis.

Personalization of prosthetics through 3D printing technology maximizes restoration of the wrist's biomechanical features, reducing prosthesis pressure on the osteotomy plane and minimizing issues like sinking and loosening. Arthroplasty effectively alleviates wrist discomfort while maintaining optimal mobility, but wrist prostheses still do not match the long-term survival rate of knee or hip replacements. In summary, 3D-printed prostheses have recently shown promise, with manufacturing advances potentially enabling better patient outcomes. However, there are currently limited long-term clinical data on custom wrist prostheses. More research is needed to evaluate long-term outcomes and complications. Additionally, the occurrence of corrosion is one of the challenges of metal implants (Kia et al., 2022). Upon contact with tissue, metal ions are rapidly released into the body, leading to restricted oxygen diffusion and resulting in heightened toxicity levels. Consequently, there can be adverse effects on cellular function (Mitra et al., 2021). The increasing demand for metallic implants is putting pressure on researchers to improve the materials and techniques to meet the requirements. Therefore, scientists have initiated investigations into various enhancement approaches aimed at augmenting the osteogenic capacity, osteoconductivity, bacterial inhibition, and biocompatibility of bone implants (Pinheiro et al., 2022; Li et al., 2024).

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation Academic Exchange Program (No. LS21H0600010), China.

### Author contributions

Hui LU designed the study; Xiaodi ZOU drafted the manuscript; Yanzhao DONG performed literature selection; Changxing WANG revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

### Compliance with ethics guidelines

Xiaodi ZOU, Yanzhao DONG, Changxing WANG, and Hui LU declare that they have no conflict of interest.

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

## References

- Chikarakara E, Fitzpatrick P, Moore E, et al., 2014. *In vitro* fibroblast and pre-osteoblastic cellular responses on laser surface modified Ti-6Al-4V. *Biomed Mater*, 10(1):015007. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-6041/10/1/015007>
- Damert HG, Kober M, Mehling I, 2020. Custom-made wrist prosthesis (UNI-2™) in a patient with giant cell tumor of the distal radius: 10-year follow-up. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*, 140(12):2109-2114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00402-020-03593-2>
- Dennis DA, Ferlic DC, Clayton ML, 1986. Volz total wrist arthroplasty in rheumatoid arthritis: a long-term review. *J Hand Surg Am*, 11(4):483-490. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0363-5023\(86\)80181-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0363-5023(86)80181-2)
- Gislasen MK, Foster E, Bransby-Zachary M, et al., 2017. Biomechanical analysis of the Universal 2 implant in total wrist arthroplasty: a finite element study. *Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Eng*, 20(10):1113-1121. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2017.1336548>
- Hoang D, Perrault D, Stevanovic M, et al., 2016. Surgical applications of three-dimensional printing: a review of the current literature & how to get started. *Ann Transl Med*, 4(23):456. <https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2016.12.18>
- Kia C, Antonacci CL, Wellington I, et al., 2022. Spinal implant osseointegration and the role of 3D printing: an analysis and review of the literature. *Bioengineering (Basel)*, 9(3):108. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering9030108>
- Li Y, Zheng JB, Sun CN, et al., 2024. Transfer film effects induced by 3D-printed polyether-ether-ketone with excellent tribological properties for joint prosthesis. *Bio-Des Manuf*, 7(1):43-56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42242-023-00258-y>
- Mitra I, Bose S, Dernell WS, et al., 2021. 3D printing in alloy design to improve biocompatibility in metallic implants. *Mater Today (Kidlington)*, 45:20-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2020.11.021>
- Mok SW, Nizak R, Fu SC, et al., 2016. From the printer: potential of three-dimensional printing for orthopaedic applications. *J Orthop Translat*, 6:42-49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jot.2016.04.003>
- Pinheiro M, Krairi A, Willaert R, et al., 2022. Structural optimization of patient-specific temporomandibular joint replacement implants for additive manufacturing: novel metrics for safety evaluation and biomechanical performance. *Bio-Des Manuf*, 5(2):333-347. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42242-021-00174-z>
- Shao XH, Ding H, Li JM, et al., 2023. Primary cooperative application of a LARS® tube and 3D-printed prosthesis for reconstruction of the distal radius after *en bloc* resection of giant cell tumor of bone: a comparative retrospective study. *Orthop Surg*, 15(6):1521-1533. <https://doi.org/10.1111/os.13722>
- Summers B, Hubbard MJS, 1984. Wrist joint arthroplasty in rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison between the Meuli and Swanson prostheses. *J Hand Surg Br*, 9(2):171-176. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-7681\(84\)80023-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-7681(84)80023-6)
- Tredan DAM, Mobbs RJ, Maharaj M, et al., 2022. Combining virtual surgical planning and patient-specific 3D-printing as a solution to complex spinal revision surgery. *J Pers Med*, 13(1):19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm13010019>
- Wang YT, Min L, Lu MX, et al., 2020. The functional outcomes and complications of different reconstruction methods for giant cell tumor of the distal radius: comparison of osteo-articular allograft and three-dimensional-printed prosthesis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*, 21:69. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-3084-0>
- Zhang BGX, Myers DE, Wallace GG, et al., 2014. Bioactive coatings for orthopaedic implants-recent trends in development of implant coatings. *Int J Mol Sci*, 15(7):11878-11921. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms150711878>