



Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B2400294>



Establishment of a standardized daily behavior collection and analysis system for brain disease models of rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys and its application in autism spectrum disorder

Xiaofeng REN^{1,2,5*}, Huimin WANG^{3*}, Xiaoman LV^{4*}, Yi ZHOU³, Yingyin FAN^{1,2,5}, Yanjun YU^{1,2,5},
Christoph W. TURCK^{1,2}, Yuhui CHEN³, Longbao LV¹, Yingzhou HU^{1,2}, Hao LI^{1,2},
Wenchao WANG^{1,2}, Dongdong QIN⁴, Xiaoli FENG³, Xintian HU^{1,2}

¹National Research Facility for Phenotypic & Genetic Analysis of Model Animals (Primate Facility), Key Laboratory of Genetic Evolution & Animal Models, National Resource Center for Non-human Primates, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650107, China

²Key Laboratory of Animal Models and Human Disease Mechanisms of Yunnan Province, KIZ/CUHK Joint Laboratory of Bioresources and Molecular Research in Common Diseases, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, China

³Department of Physiology, Faculty of Basic Medical Science, Kunming Medical University, Kunming 650500, China

⁴Key Laboratory of Traditional Chinese Medicine for Prevention and Treatment of Neuropsychiatric Diseases, Yunnan University of Chinese Medicine, Kunming 650500, China

⁵University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China

Abstract: Complex brain diseases seriously endanger human health, and early diagnostic biomarkers and effective treatments are currently lacking. Due to ethical constraints on human research, establishing monkey models is crucial to address these issues. With the rapid development of technology, transgenic monkey models of a range of brain diseases, especially autism spectrum disorder (ASD), have been successfully established. However, to establish practical and effective brain disease models and subsequently apply them to disease mechanism and treatment studies, there is still a lack of a standard tool, i.e., a system for collecting and analyzing the daily behaviors of brain disease model monkeys. Therefore, with the goal of undertaking a comprehensive and quantitative study of behavioral phenotypes, we established a standard daily behavior collection and analysis system, including behavioral data collection protocols and a monkey daily behavior ethogram (MDBE) for rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys, which are the most commonly used non-human primates in model construction. Then, we used ASD as an application example after referring to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-5-TR), which is widely used in clinical disease diagnosis to obtain ASD core clinical symptoms. We then established a sub-ethogram (ASD monkey core behavior ethogram (MCBE-ASD)) specifically for quantitative assessment of the core clinical symptoms of an ASD monkey model based on MDBE. Subsequently, we demonstrated the high reproducibility of the system.

Key words: Monkey daily behavior ethogram; Monkey behavior collection protocol; Rhesus monkey; Cynomolgus monkey; Autism spectrum disorder (ASD)

✉ Xintian HU, xthu@mail.kiz.ac.cn
Xiaoli FENG, virgo1985712@163.com
Dongdong QIN, qindong108@163.com
Wenchao WANG, wangwenchao@mail.kiz.ac.cn
Hao LI, lihao@mail.kiz.ac.cn
Yingzhou HU, huyingzhou@mail.kiz.ac.cn

* The three authors contributed equally to this work

✉ Xiaofeng REN, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4518-2058>
Huimin WANG, <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-6574-5156>
Xiaoman LV, <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-5779-0237>

✉ Xintian HU, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1216-4806>
Xiaoli FENG, <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-8523-290X>
Dongdong QIN, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2005-8408>
Wenchao WANG, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5021-8660>
Hao LI, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3160-8719>
Yingzhou HU, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7220-7607>

Received June 6, 2024; Revision accepted Sept. 25, 2024;
Crosschecked Oct. 29, 2024

© Author(s) 2024

1 Introduction

In recent years, the incidence of various brain diseases has been increasing annually. This trend has placed a heavy burden on patients, their families, and society, posing a serious threat to human health (Feigin et al., 2021). In the case of autism spectrum disorder (ASD), for example, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reports that 1 in 36 children have ASD, a 4.14-fold increase since 2000 (Maenner et al., 2023). Data show that 60%–70% of individuals with ASD are unable to complete normal education, maintain a job, or live independently. The etiology of most brain diseases is highly complex, and the pathogenesis remains unclear (Lintas and Persico, 2009; Chaste and Leboyer, 2012; Ghosh et al., 2013; Ronemus et al., 2014), leading to a lack of effective diagnostic biomarkers and therapeutic strategies. Given the ethical constraints on human research, it is essential to develop animal models that can effectively replicate conditions in human patients in order to find solutions.

Non-human primates have a clear advantage over other experimental animals in mimicking human brain disease phenotypes due to their high similarity to humans in terms of genes, brain structure and function, and behavioral performance. Rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys—the non-human primates most frequently used in experimental research—share 93.5% genetic similarity with humans (Gibbs et al., 2007) and exhibit advanced emotional responses and complex behaviors similar to those of humans, including depression, anxiety, and restricted interests. Therefore, they are ideal animals for modeling human brain diseases (Kalin and Sheltona, 2003; Chan et al., 2015; Qiao et al., 2023).

In recent years, with the rapid development of monkey gene-editing technology, a series of transgenic monkey models of brain diseases have been successfully established. In particular, remarkable progress has been made in the establishment of ASD monkey models, as the ASD pathogenic genes have been identified, and their gene penetration and expressivity are high. For example, in 2016, Zilong QIU's group successfully established the first lentivirus-transfected methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) ASD cynomolgus monkey model (Liu et al., 2016). In 2017, Weizhi JI's group successfully modeled the knockout

of the *MECP2* gene in cynomolgus monkeys using transcription activator-like (TAL) effector nuclease (TALEN) technology, leading them to exhibit core symptoms of ASD, such as stereotyped behaviors and impaired social interactions (Chen et al., 2017). In 2019, Guoping FENG's team (Zhou et al., 2019) and Xiaojiang LI's team (Tu et al., 2019) each edited the SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains protein 3 (*SHANK3*) gene using clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9) technology, both succeeding in inducing the classic ASD phenotype in cynomolgus monkeys. In 2021, our team knocked out the *MECP2* gene in the hippocampus of young rhesus monkeys and successfully induced the first in situ gene-edited ASD model (Wu et al., 2021). In addition to the ASD model, our team also knocked out both *PINK1* and *DJ-1* genes through in situ gene-editing technology to establish the first adult rhesus monkey Parkinson's disease (PD) model with typical clinical symptoms and pathological hallmarks (Li et al., 2021). The establishment of these new models provides new platforms and opportunities for the research and treatment of brain diseases.

The biggest breakthrough in these models, when carefully analyzed, is the establishment of novel and effective gene-editing techniques for monkeys. The transgenic models established with these novel techniques have, for the first time, opened the door to applying knowledge of the genetics of brain disease to studying its underlying mechanisms and potential treatments. However, to truly establish effective and practical brain disease models and apply them to disease mechanism and treatment research, another important technological breakthrough is needed—a standard, comprehensive system for collecting and analyzing the daily behaviors of monkeys modeling brain diseases. For example, when core clinical symptoms (behaviors) were measured in the above five ASD models to determine whether the modeling was successful, different research teams used significantly different behavioral collection protocols and data analysis methods, which makes direct behavioral phenotype comparison between the models very difficult. This is also very unfavorable for comparing and improving future models, using models to study disease mechanisms, and evaluating the efficacy of drugs or intervention measures.

To achieve a direct comparison between different models and lay a good foundation for future behavioral phenotyping studies of various monkey models of brain diseases (not only ASD), based on the current breeding and rearing of gene-edited model monkeys in the cages of qualified facilities, this study established a standardized daily behavioral data collection protocol and analysis system (ethogram) mainly for behavioral phenotyping studies of rhesus and cynomolgus monkey models of brain diseases. The logical basis for this is that if everyone uses the same tool (ruler) when evaluating model behavioral phenotype, the comparability of results is naturally guaranteed. The ethogram (a catalog or list of the observed behaviors of a particular species) of this system has the following two main goals: (1) to refer to the authoritative international clinical diagnostic manual for brain diseases in order to quantitatively evaluate the core clinical symptoms of brain disease models to determine whether the modeling is successful and (2) to break through the focus on core clinical symptoms and conduct as comprehensive a study as possible on the various daily behavioral phenotypes of model monkeys, as changes in a gene can have extensive and profound effects on daily behaviors, possibly far beyond the scope of clinical symptoms. This enlargement of scope can provide geneticists with a powerful tool to study the important biological issue of the gene–phenotype relationship and can also provide a broader and deeper behavioral basis for research on the mechanisms and treatment of brain diseases. Compared with the core clinical symptoms, the general clinical phenotypes of various brain diseases are rich and complex. To comprehensively evaluate them, the establishment of an ethogram with as comprehensive a behavioral phenotype as possible is required.

To achieve these goals, we developed a comprehensive ethogram that covers all the daily behaviors of rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys gathered from the literature. Then, we referred to the core clinical symptoms (behaviors) of various brain diseases, extracted and combined the corresponding behaviors from the comprehensive ethogram, and created a sub-ethogram that can be used to evaluate the core clinical symptoms of a specific brain disease (such as ASD) model to determine whether the modeling is successful. By recording and analyzing the frequency and duration of various behaviors in the ethogram and sub-ethogram,

a quantitative evaluation of the daily behavioral phenotypes of the model monkeys could be achieved. The system is applicable to a range of ages, including adolescent, adult, and elderly monkeys, but does not include infant or maternal behaviors. Applicable species include rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys, both male and female.

The following is a brief review of the differences in the behavioral phenotyping systems used in the above five ASD gene-editing models and their consequences, and then a solution is proposed, which is the principle for establishing the daily behavior collection and analysis system presented in this article. First, there were large differences in the specific timing and total duration of behavioral collection protocols among the five studies. In terms of the duration of behavioral collection, Qiu's team (Liu et al., 2016) and Feng's team (Zhou et al., 2019) did not give clear descriptions of the specific recording time points. Ji's team (Chen et al., 2017) chose to record at 9:00–10:00 in the morning, Li's team (Tu et al., 2019) recorded for 30 min at 9:00–11:30 in the morning and 14:00–16:30 in the afternoon, and our team (Wu et al., 2021) recorded for 30 min at 9:30–11:30 in the morning and 14:00–16:00 in the afternoon. In terms of the length of time for behavioral collection, Qiu's team (Liu et al., 2016) recorded the target monkeys' behavior for one hour every day for five consecutive days; Ji's team (Chen et al., 2017) recorded each monkey five times without specifying the duration; our team (Wu et al., 2021) and Li's team (Tu et al., 2019) both recorded continuously for 30 min each in the morning and afternoon. Our team (Wu et al., 2021) collected data for seven consecutive days, while Li's team (Tu et al., 2019) recorded for six consecutive days. It is well-established that monkey behaviors vary over time, showing various behavioral patterns at different hours of the day (Li et al., 2019). Different teams recording behaviors at different time points reduce the comparability of the data. In addition, if the duration of the behavioral data collection is too brief, some behaviors may be missed and the behavioral patterns of the target monkeys cannot be fully understood. Therefore, establishing a standard behavior collection protocol is an effective way of resolving these outstanding problems.

The DSM-5-TR (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision; March 2022) defines impaired social interactions

and restricted, repetitive behaviors (RRBs) as core symptoms of ASD. Although the above five models all examined the two core symptoms of ASD, namely social interactions and repetitive behaviors, they ignored the restricted interests in RRB, an important specific phenotype of ASD that may only exist in ASD patients. Additionally, even for the same social interactions, there were significant differences in the specific types of behaviors included for analysis, the active and passive analysis of social behaviors, and the inclusion criteria used by different groups. For example, regarding the specific social behaviors analyzed, Qiu's team (Liu et al., 2016) examined social contact and social grooming; Ji's team (Chen et al., 2017) evaluated social play, sharing toys, social grooming, and social proximity (within an arm's length); our laboratory (Wu et al., 2021) added social submission, such as screaming, crouching, running, lip-smacking, presenting mount, and grimacing, to the work of Ji's team; and Feng's team (Zhou et al., 2019) included expression such as chasing, running, social following, social play, aggression, presenting mount, anogenital stimulation, social grooming, and mounting. Regarding the active and passive aspects of social behaviors, Ji's team (Chen et al., 2017) and our team (Wu et al., 2021) only observed target model monkeys actively initiating social behaviors, while Li's team (Tu et al., 2019) observed both active and passive social interactions. Regarding the inclusion criteria for social behaviors, Qiu's team (Liu et al., 2016) specified that social approach behaviors lasted at least 3 s before recording, while Feng's (Zhou et al., 2019), Ji's (Chen et al., 2017), Li's (Tu et al., 2019), and our (Wu et al., 2021) teams adopted the principle of recording as soon as they occur. These differences in assessment criteria create challenges in interpreting results and make it difficult for readers to determine whether discrepancies between different groups are due to actual biological differences or methodological variations. Consequently, many findings from monkey-based ASD studies are not directly comparable and are difficult to replicate, hindering the establishment, validation, and application of monkey ASD models.

After reviewing the differences among various team behavior assessment systems and the problems they caused, we proposed the following solutions. In terms of data collection, based on the facts that the model monkeys are generally cage-reared in standardized

facilities and that the clinical diagnosis was focused on the individual patient's behavior, to obtain objective and continuous model monkey behavioral data that are suitable for the next analysis, we employed focal animal sampling (Altmann, 1974; Lutz et al., 2022) using focused video tracking, where the recording camera is fixed on a specific target monkey to continuously track and record its behavior and surrounding environment. Additionally, we reviewed a large number of monkey daily behavior studies and integrated our extensive experience in monkey behavioral observations to establish a set of standardized and detailed behavioral data collection protocols tailored to different monkey housing environments, including single-cage housing and social group housing. In terms of ethogram formulation, we also referred to a large quantity of classic behavioral research literature and combined our own long-term behavioral observation research experience.

We first classified monkey daily behaviors into either individual or social behaviors. Monkeys housed in single cages were analyzed for individual behaviors, such as self-grooming and exploratory behaviors. For monkeys housed in social groups, we analyzed individual behaviors as well as social interactions, including social grooming, play, proximity, and contact. In the process of developing the ethogram, adhering to the principle that the definitions of the listed behaviors should not overlap with one other, we included as comprehensive a list of behavior types as possible, which is very important in gene-behavioral phenotype studies and can provide a sufficiently broad foundation for the development of sub-ethograms for various diseases. For individual behaviors, after excluding cases where the behaviors were the same but were named differently, our ethogram listed all observable and measurable basic behaviors from the reviewed literature and defined them as basic individual behaviors. For social behaviors, we summarized and organized all behaviors related to social interactions reported in the literature to obtain basic social behaviors, the definitions of which did not overlap.

Next, clear and explicit descriptions and inclusion criteria were provided for all basic behaviors in both categories. To facilitate a better analysis of the functional aspects of the behaviors, we grouped functionally similar basic behaviors into one composite behavior. To prevent confusion, once a basic behavior

had been categorized into one composite behavior, it could not be categorized into other composite behaviors. Finally, we defined and gave specific names to the composite behaviors. This approach effectively addressed two major issues present in current behavior ethograms: inconsistencies in definitions, behavior types, behavior manifestations, and inclusion criteria for behaviors with the same name; and the use of different names for fundamentally the same behavior. Additionally, we included one to three typical video clips for each basic behavior in our ethogram, ensuring a clear and accurate understanding of the basic daily monkey behaviors by visualizing each behavior.

2 Methods

To address the significant heterogeneity of collection protocols and behavior ethograms in current behavioral studies of model monkeys, we developed standardized protocols for daily behavioral data collection and formulated a comprehensive daily behavior ethogram, forming a daily behavioral data collection and analysis system for both rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys (Fig. 1).

2.1 Establishment of behavioral data collection protocols for rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys

Objective and standardized behavioral data collection protocols are essential for behavioral research. In daily behavior studies, it is necessary to conduct comprehensive and continuous behavioral observations of target monkeys. Comprehensive observations

involve recording all observable behaviors, while continuous observations mean uninterrupted recording over a certain duration. To achieve this, focal animal sampling (Altmann, 1974; Lutz et al., 2022) was adopted here. This approach involves using a camera to continuously record all behaviors exhibited by an animal over a set period, providing a complete dataset for subsequent behavioral assessments. In addition to the behavioral data collection method, various other factors, such as living environment, recorders, recording time, and duration of recording, may impact monkey behaviors. For acquiring objective behavioral data, the authors reviewed numerous papers on behavioral studies of rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys. In conjunction with our long-term experiences, the influences on target monkeys of environment, recorders, recording time, and recording duration were considered for formulating precise monkey daily behavioral data collecting protocols. This ensured that the behavioral data from different research teams would be directly comparable. Table 1 summarizes the key factors influencing behavioral data collection and the corresponding countermeasures we developed.

2.2 Establishment of daily behavior ethogram for rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys

After collecting behavioral data, the next step is analyzing behavioral data. According to the tradition of clinical symptom evaluation in monkey models of brain diseases, it is imperative to establish an ethogram for behavioral evaluation of monkey models. First, the ethogram must be comprehensive, encompassing as many observable and measurable monkey




Fig. 1 Composition of daily behavior collection and analysis system for rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys. Daily behavior collection and analysis system for rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys consists of two parts: (1) daily behavior collection protocols, which follow the principle of "focal tracking" to continuously collect monkey behavioral data; (2) a comprehensive monkey daily behavior ethogram (MDBE).

Table 1 Important factors influencing the collection of rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys’ behavioral data and corresponding countermeasures

Factor	Possible influencer	Countermeasure
Living habitat	Familiar habitats are conducive to observing realistic daily monkey behavior. Collecting behavior during sudden changes in living habitat affected the accuracy of behavioral data (Fernström et al., 2008).	Consulting the literature and combining the experience of keepers to recommend a minimum duration for which monkeys should reside in their current environment before behavioral data collection to ensure that the data reflect behaviors in a familiar setting
Monkey marker	Marking is critical, especially for monkeys, forming the basis for identifying and tracking by video recorders.	Providing guidelines for marking monkeys in group cages
Video recorder	During behavior recording, inappropriate video recorder actions interfered with monkey behaviors (Iredale et al., 2010).	Specifying specific details regarding gross appearance, distance from cages, range of movement, and noise level of video recorders to minimize any behavioral disturbance
Specific time/duration of behavioral data collection	Monkey behavior may be influenced by specific timing of collection (days and time points) with differential collection time points leading to great differences in behavioral data. Regarding duration, insufficient collection days and recording length enhance chance errors, leading to failure to fully understand monkey behavioral patterns.	Consulting the guideline for model construction and providing recommendations for specific timing and duration of behavioral data collection to minimize errors
Distance of video equipment from cages	Video equipment too close to cages interferes with behaviors and misses surroundings, but too great distance compromises video clarity.	Recommending optimal distances between video equipment and monkey cages
Screen coverage of target monkeys	Screen coverage of target monkey should be adjusted to changes in behavioral states. For example, when a monkey is sprinting quickly, a high screen percentage is not ideal for tracking its trajectory.	Assigning screen coverage for different living habitats and various behavioral states of target monkeys
Clarity of recorded videos	Video clarity directly impacts an analyst’s capability to identify target monkey and accurately judge its behaviors (Balzamo et al., 1998).	Establishing clear requirements for video clarity to ensure high-quality behavioral recordings

behaviors as possible. Second, it should be standardized—each behavior should be clearly defined so that there is no overlap among various behaviors. The manifestations and inclusion criteria of each behavior are definitely specified. To enhance the intuitive understanding of the ethogram, especially for inexperienced observers, one to three typical video clips are assigned for each basic behavior. These requirements are listed as basic principles for the ethogram of daily monkey behavior. To fulfill the requirement of comprehensiveness, we searched PubMed and selected 53 pieces of literature published between 1977 and 2024 based on the criteria that the article must: (1) focus on a daily behavior study; (2) involve behavioral research on rhesus or cynomolgus monkeys; (3) contain a clear, explicit behavioral definition or description of its manifestations; (4) have unique features, i.e., if the

ethograms used in two articles are highly similar, they will not be included together. After excluding instances where the same behaviors were named differently, all definitively non-overlapped behaviors directly observed and measured from the literature were designated as basic behaviors. To facilitate behavioral analysis, basic behaviors with similar functions were treated as composite behaviors. To maintain clear boundaries between different composite behaviors, each basic behavior was categorized under only one composite behavior. Then, clear description and inclusion criteria were applied for each basic behavior based on the literature and our long-term experience in behavioral analysis. Both a name and a definition were provided for each composite behavior. The literature for determining basic and composite behaviors in the ethogram is listed in Table S1. To enhance the intuitive understanding of

the ethogram, especially for inexperienced observers, one to three typical video clips were prepared for each basic behavior. Six experienced observers sorted through 420 behavioral videos from 70 monkeys (six videos per monkey, 30-min clip) from our previous studies over a period of one year. Each observer screened and selected typical clips corresponding to each basic behavior. Finally, the most representative clips for each basic behavior were assigned as standard references. Finally, the monkey daily behavior ethogram (MDBE) was successfully established. To establish a core symptom assessment ethogram suitable for ASD monkey modeling, the authors consulted the DSM-5-TR, a globally authoritative tool for classifying and diagnosing mental disorders based on the core symptoms of ASD—social interaction dysfunctions and RRBs. These corresponded to three basic behaviors of MDBE, namely social interactions, stereotyped behaviors, and restricted interests. Through extracting and combining these behaviors of MDBE, the authors developed a specialized ethogram for evaluating the core symptoms of ASD in monkeys named the ASD monkey core behavior ethogram (MCBE-ASD).

2.3 Specific applications of MDBE and MCBE-ASD

To carry out a valid analysis of behavioral phenotypes of model monkeys with the above ethograms, a detailed description of how to use MDBE and MCBE-ASD is provided in the “Results” section. To demonstrate the application of ethograms, three experienced behavior observers analyzed 30-min video clips from three monkeys living in a social group using both MDBE and MCBE-ASD. The results of this analysis are presented in Section 3.3. The consistency of the observations was also examined. In addition, in order to demonstrate the differences in behavioral patterns among individual monkeys, we list six behaviors with the longest durations from each of three monkeys for comparison.

3 Results

We established a comprehensive and standardized system for collecting and analyzing the daily behavioral data of monkeys based on a detailed literature review and our long-term experience observing

the behavior of rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys. The system includes daily monkey behavioral data collection protocols and daily monkey behavior ethograms.

3.1 Daily behavioral data collection protocols for rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys

The data collection protocol for monkey behavior employs focal animal sampling, focusing on a specific target monkey and continuously video-recording all behaviors over a set period. Standardized behavioral data collection protocols tailored for both single-cage and social groups were established. Measures for minimizing environmental impact, the presence of video recorders, and the timing/duration of recording were implemented.

3.1.1 Single-cage monkey behavioral data collection protocol

In single-cage housing, a monkey lives alone in a cage (usually 0.90 m×0.90 m×0.90 m), resulting in a limited locomotion range. As such, the camera can be placed on a tripod in front of the cage, with the operator only needing to operate the camera at the beginning and end of the recording session, and there is no need for the operator to track the monkey during the video recording (Figs. 2a and 2b). Details on the daily behavioral data collection protocols for single-cage monkeys are shown in Table 2.



Fig. 2 Monkey daily behavioral data collection protocols. (a) Behavioral data collection set-up for a single-cage monkey; (b) An example of behavior recording of a single-cage monkey; (c) Behavioral data collection set-up for monkeys in a social group; (d) An example of behavior recording of a target monkey in a social group.

Table 2 Behavioral data collection protocols for single-cage and group-housed rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys

Factor	Requirements for single-cage and social group monkeys
	Common requirements
Living habitat	Based on the literature on monkey model construction (Zhang et al., 2016; Galvão-Coelho et al., 2017; Lutz, 2018; da Silva et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2020), combined with the experience of professional keepers, and considering the target monkey’s diet, sleep, activity level, and interaction with other monkeys (group-housed monkeys), it is suggested that target monkeys should have lived in their current habitat for at least one month prior to the start of data collection.
Video recorder	(1) Monkeys must pass a medical examination, confirming that they are free from infectious diseases that could impact their health; (2) Standard dress code is needed; (3) No loud noise will be generated during the recording and large movements can be avoided.
Pre-recording requirement	Before formal recording, the video recorders should conduct a pre-recording session for at least 5 d to: (1) acclimate monkeys to the recording equipment and personnel, and (2) familiarize themselves with the recording process.
Recording time and duration	For recording time, to capture a complete behavioral pattern of the target monkey, it is recommended that video recording should be conducted in both the morning and afternoon. To reduce the impact of other factors, the time when keepers are feeding and cleaning monkey cages and veterinarians are conducting examinations should be avoided (Griffis et al., 2013). In our experience, behavioral data are usually not collected within 30 min after feeding, cage cleaning, or veterinary examination. Referring to previous monkey model research literature, for recording duration, it is recommended to record for at least 30 min twice a day, once in the morning and once in the afternoon (Feng et al., 2011; Griffis et al., 2013; Camus et al., 2014; Hage et al., 2014; Truelove et al., 2017; Stewart et al., 2023). For behavioral observation duration, it is recommended that recordings should be conducted for seven consecutive days or more for each monkey (Qin et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2021). During a behavioral data collection cycle, one can choose to record at the time periods of 9:00–9:30 and 14:00–14:30 on the first day, 9:30–10:00 and 14:30–15:00 on the second day, and so on, trying to cover the behavior of the target monkey during all time periods.
Clarity of videos	The video should provide a clear visual image, with the standard that the monkey’s detailed movements should be clearly visible in the video file. Image quality should be based on the specific conditions of the monkey’s surroundings, with a minimum of high quality (HQ) and, if necessary, full high definition (FHD).
	Different requirements
Marking for target monkeys	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single cage No marking is required for single-cage monkeys. • Social group Target monkeys can be marked for identification by shaving, which should be trackable by video recorder. Possible shaving sites include back of the waist, back of the neck, limbs, or other body parts. If the monkey is shedding, the marking site should be chosen to avoid areas where shedding occurs.
Recording protocol	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single cage To begin recording, the video recorder first sets up the camera on a tripod and displays a sheet of A4 paper in front of camera showing the target monkey’s identity and location. Once the recording time has elapsed, the video recorder turns off the camera and removes the equipment. • Social group At the beginning of the recording, the recorder should provide a clear description of the date, time, weather conditions, target monkey’s identification number, and shaving mark characteristics and location of target monkey in video. During recording, a recorder should (1) describe the monkey’s movement trajectory and behavior for subsequent analysis and (2) note any changes in external environment (including but not limited to weather conditions, such as thunder and rain, and events, such as people waking past the cage). The recorder should keep their voice clear but not interfere with behavior.
Distance of video equipment from the monkey cages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single cage To avoid disturbing behaviors, a distance of at least 1.5 m should be maintained between video equipment and front of the cage. • Social group To ensure video recording clarity and avoid interference with the target monkey, a distance of at least 2 m should be maintained between video equipment and front of the cage.

To be continued

Table 2 (continued)

Factor	Requirements for single-cage and social group monkeys
Screen percentage of the target monkeys	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single cage To capture all behaviors, the recording screen should cover the entire target monkey's cage. To avoid visual interference, the recording screen tries not to capture other monkey cages (Fig. 2b). • Social group Initially, the recording should cover the entire cage, and then adjust to focus on the target monkey. During recording, screen coverage of the target monkey should be adjusted according to its behavior. The maximum percentage requirement is to ensure that the target monkey is completely in screen (the recommended maximum percentage is 33%); the minimum percentage is to ensure that the detailed movements of the target monkey can be seen clearly (the recommended minimum percentage is 11%). When the target monkey's movement range is large, the focus should be adjusted to make the target monkey's proportion smaller to facilitate tracking of its activity trajectories; when the target monkey's activity range is small or it has no position movement, its proportion should be increased. When the target monkey interacts with other monkeys, peer should be included in the screen (Fig. 2d).
Recording of social interactions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single cage Single-caged target monkeys are not involved in social interactions. • Social group To ensure valid recording of social interactions, on the basis of focusing on the target monkey, the monkeys interacting with the target monkey are also required to be on the recording screen.

3.1.2 Social group monkey behavioral data collection protocol

In a social group setting, several monkeys, usually 4–8, are housed together in a large enclosure that connects an indoor compartment (2.61 m×2.46 m×2.58 m) and an outdoor iron cage (2.67 m×2.66 m×2.67 m). The social group monkeys have a large range of locomotion, so placing a camera directly in front of the cage is insufficient for capturing the full range of movement trajectories. Therefore, the recording equipment needs to be adjusted during the video recording to focus on the movement trajectories of the target monkey and to record its social interactions (the monkeys interacting with the target monkey should be visible on the recording screen) (Figs. 2c and 2d). Details on behavioral data collection protocols for group-housed monkeys are summarized in Table 2.

3.2 Daily behavior assessment ethogram (MDBE) for rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys

Individual behaviors consist of 47 basic behaviors that are directly observable and measurable in rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys, with no overlap in definition. These basic behaviors were classified, based on functional similarities, into the following five composite behaviors: voluntary locomotion, exploration, stereotyped behavior, response to external stimuli, and foraging and eating behavior. Social behaviors encompassed

23 basic behaviors. Among them, 19 behaviors were grouped as social interactions (e.g., sexual behavior, social grooming, social play, social contact, social proximity, and social following). The remaining four basic behaviors, namely social withdrawal, sitting alone, non-social state, and rejected mount, are the opposite of social interactions, and their assessment is also very important for the target monkey's social interactions.

To assist users in understanding the targeted monkey behaviors in MDBE, we provided the names of the basic behaviors (the first column from the left in Table 3), their specific descriptions (the second column), and inclusion criteria (the third column). We also listed the names of composite behaviors generated by combining functionally similar basic behaviors (the fifth column), along with their definitions, with details shown in Table 3. Additionally, we included one to three typical behavior videos for each basic behavior after careful screening (these video clips shown in the supplementary information), with their corresponding indices listed in Table 3 (the fourth column).

Next, based on MDBE and by referring to DSM-5-TR for the core clinic symptoms of ASD, which include social interactions, stereotyped behaviors, and restricted interests, we combined them into an ASD core clinical behavior assessment ethogram suitable for ASD model validation of rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys, referred to as MCBE-ASD (Table 4).

Table 3 Monkey daily behavior ethogram (MDBE)

Basic behavior name	Basic behavior description	Basic behavior inclusion criteria	Index of basic behavior video clips ¹	Composite behavior name
Walking	Moving slowly using all four limbs alternately		1-1	Voluntary locomotion ^a
Climbing	Climbing along cage or crawls on ground using all four limbs		2-1	Voluntary locomotion
Running	Moving rapidly using all four limbs alternately		3-1	Voluntary locomotion
Jumping	Utilizing force through its hind limbs or all four limbs simultaneously to elevate its body from the ground, including vertical jumps and leaps to various locations		4-1	Voluntary locomotion
Hanging	Hanging from cage top or side, with all four limbs off ground and no positional changes		5-1, 5-2	
Standing	Standing on all four limbs or hind limbs without positional changes		6-1, 6-2	
Voluntary vocalization	Making a sound in the absence of an obvious stimulus		7-1	
Self-grooming	Using mouth, tongue, hands, and feet for self-grooming, and common forms including (1) grooming fur with hands or feet and (2) licking fur with mouth and tongue		8-1, 8-2	
Scratching	Using hands or feet to scratch or rub parts of body, such as head, arms, legs, or torso		9-1, 9-2	
Hair-pull	Pulling hairs from oneself or others softly, as distinguished from plucking in self-injury, which is usually followed by hair-eat		10-1, 10-2, 10-3	
Hair-eat	Chewing or ingesting hair		10-1, 10-2, 10-3	
Abnormal mouth movements	Moving mouth, lips, or tongue repeatedly, not associated with eating or containing objects in mouth		11-1	
Huddling	Adopting a posture with body bent, limbs and head tightly tucked, head at or below shoulders with eyes usually open		12-1	

To be continued

Table 3 (continued)

Basic behavior name	Basic behavior description	Basic behavior inclusion criteria	Index of basic behavior video clips ¹	Composite behavior name
Rubbing ground	Rubbing hand back and forth on floor or another platform		13-1, 13-2	
Tactile manipulation exploration	Engaging in physical manipulation of the cage or other objects in the environment, excluding food, through actions such as picking or touching, utilizing hands or feet	Specific objects are documented.	14-1, 14-2	Exploration behavior ^p
Oral and olfactory exploration	Exploring (licks & sniffs) cage or other objects using mouth or tongue	Specific objects are documented	15-1, 15-2	Exploration behavior
Restricted interests	Displaying unusual, focused, and narrow interest in specific objects or environments (excluding food) while lacking interest in other objects/domains	Specific objects are documented.	16-1	
Swinging	Hanging and swinging back and forth at cage top or side	Behavior must be repeated at least twice.	17-1, 17-2	Stereotyped behaviors ^s
Pacing	Walking/climbing/jumping back and forth along a repetitive route, including mechanically circling in cage	Route (back and forth) should be repeated at least twice. Circling must involve a minimum of two rotations.	18-1, 18-2, 18-3	Stereotyped behaviors
Rocking	Keeping its feet still while swaying its upper body back and forth	Behavior must be repeated at least twice.	19-1, 19-2	Stereotyped behaviors
Back-flipping/body-flipping	Repeatedly performing the same vertical body flip motion	Behavior must be repeated at least twice.		Stereotyped behaviors
Spinning	Repeatedly spinning or twirling around itself in a horizontal direction	Behavior must be repeated at least twice.		Stereotyped behaviors
Bouncing	Repeatedly bouncing up and down in the same position	Behavior must be repeated at least twice.	20-1	Stereotyped behaviors
Digit-sucking	Continuously sucking its fingers, toes, or other body parts	Behavior must last for at least 3 s.	21-1	Stereotyped behaviors

To be continued

Table 3 (continued)

Basic behavior name	Basic behavior description	Basic behavior inclusion criteria	Index of basic behavior video clips ¹	Composite behavior name
Self-grasping/clasping	Continuously grasping or holding its own limbs, tail, or other body parts	Behavior must last for at least 3 s.	22-1	Stereotyped behaviors
Saluting	Repeatedly placing its hand or finger over its eyes without causing injury	Behavior must be repeated at least twice.	23-1	Stereotyped behaviors
Other stereotyped behaviors	Displaying other repetitive and fixed movements or behavioral patterns, distinct from previously delineated behavioral categories			Stereotyped behaviors
Self-injurious behaviors	Intentionally injuring its own body, commonly in the form of hair plucking, self-biting, self-hitting, and head/body banging, with severe cases resulting in punctured or torn skin		24-1, 24-2	
Teeth-baring	Opening mouth widely in a threatening manner and displaying its teeth		25-1	Response to external stimuli ^d
Grimacing	Displaying an exaggerated grin and showing its teeth			Response to external stimuli
Tail-crooking	Adopting a posture characterized by alert elevation of its tail		26-1	Response to external stimuli
Lip-smacking	Rapid twitching of mouth		27-1	Response to external stimuli
Freezing	Sitting or crouching in a stiff manner, remaining motionless for at least 3 s	Behavior must last for at least 3 s.		Response to external stimuli
Convulsing	Violent shaking or spasmodic movements of its body			Response to external stimuli
Cage-shaking	Violently shaking cage, steel bars, rails, or swing		28-1	Response to external stimuli

To be continued

Table 3 (continued)

Basic behavior name	Basic behavior description	Basic behavior inclusion criteria	Index of basic behavior video clips ¹	Composite behavior name
Attacking people/objects	Target monkey exhibits hostility or aggression toward other monkeys/organisms or objects.			Response to external stimuli
Present mount	Exhibiting hip and tail lifting postures in non-sexually related contexts			Response to external stimuli
Positional movement	Engaging in positional change behaviors such as walking, climbing, running, and jumping, in response to external stimuli		29-1, 29-2	Response to external stimuli
Vocalization	Emitting vocalizations, inclusive of screams, as a response to external stimuli (e.g., keeper passing by, commotion in an adjacent monkey cage)		30-1, 30-2, 30-3	Response to external stimuli
Yawning	Opening mouth widely and inhaling, and then slowly exhaling		31-1, 31-2, 31-3	Response to external stimuli
Stamping	Jumping or trotting rhythmically on its feet and then landing quickly, accompanied by tensing and stiffening of limbs			Response to external stimuli
Other responses to external stimuli	Displaying responses to external stimuli in addition to above categories			Response to external stimuli
Foraging	Foraging for food in the surroundings		32-1	Foraging and eating, drinking ^e
Eating food	Ingesting food		33-1, 33-2	Foraging and eating, drinking
Drinking water	Drinking water from tap or other sources (e.g., puddles)			Foraging and eating, drinking
Resting	Displaying recumbent behaviors such as laying, reclining, sprawling, and sitting to meet rest and sleep needs		34-1	

To be continued

Table 3 (continued)

Basic behavior name	Basic behavior description	Basic behavior inclusion criteria	Index of basic behavior video clips ¹	Composite behavior name
Masturbatory behavior	Stimulating its genitals with its hands or other body parts			Social interactions ^{2f}
Sexual behavior	Sexual intercourse between target monkey and monkey of the opposite sex			
Mounting	Mounting the hips of another monkey of the same sex		35-1	Social interactions
Failed mount	Trying to mount the hips of another monkey and failing		36-1	Social interactions
Anogenital stimulation	Stimulating genitals of another monkey with its hands or other body parts			Social interactions
Grooming solicitation	Presenting body parts (excluding hip) to peers, e.g., back, chest, or limbs, and waiting to be groomed		37-1, 37-2	Social interactions
Social grooming	Touching another monkey's fur, involving picking, scraping, spreading, mouth picking, and licking		38-1, 38-2, 38-3	Social interactions
Social play	Engaging in common forms of play, including fighting, rolling, slapping, pulling, wrestling, pushing, chasing, grasping, and softly biting, without obvious hostility or outcome		39-1, 39-2	Social interactions
Mild aggression	Engaging in common forms of mildly aggressive behavior, such as eye threats, lip-smacking ³ , half-open mouth, open-mouthed bared-teeth scream, and displacement ⁴		40-1	Social interactions
Extreme aggression	Engaging in common forms of extremely aggressive behavior, such as chasing, biting, hitting, and grabbing		41-1	Social interactions
Mild submission	Engaging in common forms of mildly submissive behavior, such as lip-smacking ³ , grimacing, freezing, and yielding position to another monkey ⁴		42-1	Social interactions
Extreme submission	Engaging in common forms of extremely submissive behavior, such as screaming, crouching, and running		43-1, 43-2	Social interactions
Taking over	Snatching objects (including food) from peers		44-1, 44-2	Social interactions
Walking side-by-side	Walking side-by-side with another monkey, usually with the upper limbs resting on each other, similar to a "two-person tripod"		45-1, 45-2	Social interactions

To be continued

Table 3 (continued)

Basic behavior name	Basic behavior description	Basic behavior inclusion criteria	Index of basic behavior video clips ¹	Composite behavior name
Social contact	Except engaging in social play, social conflict, social grooming, side-by-side walking, sexual behavior, and sex-related behavior, initiating skin/hair contact with another monkey		46-1	Social interactions
Ventral contact	Using abdomen to make contact with body parts of another monkey, commonly ventral to ventral or ventral to dorsal		47-1, 47-2	Social interactions
Social proximity	Staying within an arm's length of another monkey, but not in contact		48-1, 48-2	Social interactions
Social following	Following another monkey, maintaining a relative position within an arm's length		49-1, 49-2	Social interactions
Passing contact	Briefly contacting other monkeys with body (except for mouth/hands) during positional movements, but without stopping moving		50-1, 50-2	Social interactions
Bumping	Making physical contact (except for mouth/hands) with peers during positional movements, and then stopping moving		51-1	Social interactions
Social withdrawal	Moving away or ignoring other monkeys' social intentions, including contact, grooming, playing, or threatening			
Rejected mount	Refusing to be mounted by another monkey		52-1	
Sitting alone	Staying alone in one place, showing no other behaviors and with no other monkeys within an arm's length		53-1	
Non-social state	Performing certain behaviors alone, such as voluntary locomotion, without social interactions with peers		54-1	

¹ Video clips are shown in the supplementary information. ² All basic behaviors of social interactions can be actively initiated or passively accepted by target monkey. ³ Appearance of lip smacking by target monkey indicates sometimes threat and sometimes submission, which needs to be judged within the context of the specific social situation and based on body posture and facial expression of target monkey. ⁴ Displacement refers to occupying the original position or space of other monkeys, generally initiated by monkeys of high status in the social group; yielding position to another monkey refers to giving up its position or space to another monkey, usually initiated by monkeys of low status in the social group. Composite behavior definition: ^a Target monkey initiates behavior autonomously, generating positional changes; ^b Target monkey engages in oral, olfactory, or tactile manipulation of objects (excluding food) within its environment using its hands, feet, mouth, and tongue; ^c Target monkey exhibits repetitive, fixed movements or behavioral patterns that usually lack a clear purpose or function; ^d Target monkey responds to external stimuli, including, but not limited to, people passing by or talking loudly near them, keepers entering the monkey cage next door, stimuli from monkey next door, and sound of thunder; ^e Target monkey acquires and consumes food and water in the environment to fulfill physiological needs; ^f Social communication and interaction between two or more monkeys, including social play, grooming, contact, proximity, and following.

Table 4 ASD monkey core behavior ethogram (MCBE-ASD)

Core behavior name	Core behavior contents
Social interaction	Sexual behavior, mounting, failed mount, anogenital stimulation, grooming solicitation, social grooming, social play, aggressive behavior, submissive behavior, taking over, walking side-by-side, social contact, ventral contact, social proximity, social following, passing contact, and bumping
Stereotyped behavior	Swinging, pacing, rocking, back-flipping/body-flipping, spinning, bouncing, digit-sucking, self-grasping/clasping, and saluting
Restricted interest	Displaying unusual, focused, and narrow interest in specific objects or environments (excluding food) while lacking interest in other objects/domains

3.3 Uses and applications of MCBE-ASD

3.3.1 Uses of ethograms

Using the above ethograms, we can quantitatively assess the daily behavioral phenotype of the model monkey by measuring the timing and frequency of the behavior occurrence. Specifically, experienced behavior analysts strictly adhere to the names, definitions, specific manifestations, and inclusion criteria provided in the ethograms, then judge all the behaviors of the target monkey displayed in a video clip, accurately record the start time and end time of each behavior, and finally calculate the frequency and duration of the behavior. The specific process of behavioral analysis is as follows: first, the analyst selects a video file for analysis and records the target monkey number, video name, total video duration, analysis time, and analyst name; second, the video file is imported into video software, such as Adobe Premiere Pro software (Adobe Inc., USA); and finally, the analyst identifies and records all displayed behaviors from the beginning to the end of the video.

Taking the stereotyped behavior of digit-sucking as an example, when Monkey 1 in the video displays digit-sucking behavior, the analyst manually pauses the video to record the start time (e.g., 15:00) and resumes play. When the digit-sucking behavior stops, the recording is paused again to record the end time (e.g., 15:11). The interval between these two time points is the duration of Monkey 1's digit-sucking behavior (i.e., 11 s). This behavior may occur several times within the video. The total duration of Monkey 1's digit-sucking behavior in the video is calculated by summing all time periods during which the behavior occurred, while the frequency of the behavior is the number of episodes. The duration and frequency of all digit-sucking behaviors of Monkey 1 in the video clip are added up and then divided by the total duration of the video to obtain the duration and frequency per unit time,

expressed in seconds per hour (s/h) and frequency per hour (times/h), respectively. The same analysis procedure is applied to other behaviors in the ethogram.

Sometimes, the target monkey performs two (or more) behaviors at the same time; the specific start time and end time of each behavior must be recorded in detail. For example, the target monkey started digit-sucking at 5:00, then started to make contact with another monkey at 5:05, and stopped digit-sucking at 5:10, and the other monkey walked away and stopped the contact at 5:15. This situation should be recorded as follows: digit-sucking, 5:00–5:10; social contact, 5:05–5:15. To minimize subjective influence, the “double-blind principle” should be strictly followed when using the ethograms for behavioral analysis, ensuring that the analyst is unaware of any specific information about the subjects. Additionally, it is recommended that the same video file should be analyzed simultaneously by three experienced analysts. When the consistency between their analyses is $\geq 95\%$, the results from each analyst can be averaged to obtain the final behavioral data.

3.3.2 Results of using MDBE and MCBE-ASD

To test the repeatability of the behavioral analysis system described above, three experienced analysts independently analyzed the respective 30-min video files of three group-housed monkeys using MDBE and MCBE-ASD. The results showed that the consistency of their analysis results for various behaviors was between 95.04% and 100.00%, meeting the requirement of 95.00% consistency. Subsequently, the average duration and frequency of each behavior were calculated for the three monkeys. Tables 5 and S2 summarize the duration and frequency of the 70 basic behaviors of the three monkeys, and Tables 6 and S3 summarize six comprehensive behaviors. Tables 7 and S4 summarize the duration and frequency of the three core ASD behaviors. For the duration and frequency of

Table 5 Results of basic behaviors of three monkeys in a social group using MDBE

Basic behavior name	Monkey 1 (female, 3.43 years old)-Video 1			Monkey 2 (female, 3.39 years old)-Video 2			Monkey 3 (male, 3.41 years old)-Video 3					
	Duration		Frequency	Duration		Frequency	Duration		Frequency			
	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)		
Walking	99.54	428.67±1.15	98.36	121.06±1.15	97.65	825.75±11.20	99.44	205.10±0.67	98.78	515.59±3.64	98.66	169.46±1.31
Climbing	97.56	81.37±1.15	96.84	36.38±0.66	97.96	293.67±3.46	97.37	75.92±1.15	99.34	346.13±1.31	98.92	104.69±0.65
Running	95.06	23.15±0.66	95.05	23.15±0.66	100.00	5.99±0.00	100.00	5.99±0.00	95.32	24.21±0.65	100.00	5.89±0.00
Jumping	98.91	104.52±0.66	96.97	65.49±1.15	95.45	43.95±1.15	97.06	39.29±0.67	100.00	9.81±0.00	100.00	7.85±0.00
Hanging	97.66	129.66±1.75	96.68	34.40±0.66	98.39	123.86±1.15	95.44	25.30±0.67	99.32	597.38±2.36	98.27	65.43±0.65
Standing	95.67	52.92±1.32	95.05	23.15±0.66	95.44	25.30±0.67	100.00	7.99±0.00	95.44	24.86±0.65	100.00	7.85±0.00
Voluntary vocalization	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Self-grooming	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	11.99±0.00	100.00	2.00±0.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Scratching	97.75	50.94±0.66	95.05	23.15±0.66	95.46	67.26±1.76	95.05	23.31±0.67	100.00	13.74±0.00	100.00	5.89±0.00
Hair-pull	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Hair-eat	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Abnormal mouth movements	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Huddling	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Rubbing ground	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Tactile manipulation exploration	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	95.45	24.87±0.65	100.00	9.81±0.00
Oral and olfactory exploration	100.00	5.95±0.00	100.00	3.97±0.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Restricted interests	98.67	86.00±0.66	95.05	23.15±0.66	97.81	52.61±0.67	100.00	9.99±0.00	98.29	66.09±0.65	4.68	24.21±0.65
Swinging	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	3.93±0.00	100.00	1.96±0.00
Pacing	99.82	1125.25±1.15	96.53	33.08±0.66	99.14	134.52±0.67	100.00	5.99±0.00	98.29	66.09±0.65	100.00	3.93±0.00
Rocking	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Back-flipping/body-flipping	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Spinning	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Bouncing	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND

To be continued

Table 5 (continued)

Basic behavior name	Monkey 1 (female, 3.43 years old)- Video 1				Monkey 2 (female, 3.39 years old)- Video 2				Monkey 3 (male, 3.41 years old)- Video 3			
	Duration		Frequency		Duration		Frequency		Duration		Frequency	
	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)
Digit-sucking	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Self-grasping/ clasping	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Saluting	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Other stereotyped behaviors	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Self-injurious behaviors	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Teeth-baring	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	95.44	25.31±0.67	100.00	5.99±0.00	100.00	5.89±0.00	100.00	3.93±0.00
Grimacing	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Tail-crooking	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	97.56	81.91±1.15	96.06	29.30±0.67	95.33	24.21±0.65	100.00	9.81±0.00
Lip-smacking	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Freezing	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Convulsing	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	1.96±0.00	100.00	1.96±0.00
Cage-shaking	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Attacking people/ objects	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Present mount	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	95.97	28.64±0.67	100.00	9.99±0.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Positional movement	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	9.99±0.00	100.00	4.00±0.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Vocalization	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Yawning	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Stamping	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Other responses to external stimuli	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Foraging	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	5.89±0.00	100.00	1.96±0.00
Eating food	97.46	44.98±0.66	100.00	7.94±0.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	99.40	499.24±1.73	98.03	57.58±0.66
Drinking water	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Resting	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND

To be continued

Table 5 (continued)

Basic behavior name	Monkey 1 (female, 3.43 years old)-Video 1				Monkey 2 (female, 3.39 years old)-Video 2				Monkey 3 (male, 3.41 years old)-Video 3				
	Duration		Frequency		Duration		Frequency		Duration		Frequency		
	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)	
Masturbatory behavior	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Sexual behavior	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Mounting	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Failed mount	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Anogenital stimulation	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Grooming solicitation	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Social grooming	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Social play	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND
Mild aggression	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	95.07	23.31±0.66	100.00	5.99±0.00	100.00	5.89±0.00	100.00	5.89±0.00	3.93±0.00
Extreme aggression	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	95.04	22.90±0.66	100.00	9.81±0.00	9.81±0.00
Mild submission	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	95.07	23.31±0.66	100.00	7.99±0.00	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND
Extreme submission	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	4.00±0.00	100.00	2.00±0.00	100.00	9.81±0.00	100.00	3.93±0.00	3.93±0.00
Taking over	100.00	1.98±0.00	100.00	1.98±0.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND
Walking side-by-side	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	100.00	ND
Social contact	97.55	123.70±1.75	100.00	15.88±0.00	95.18	133.19±3.71	95.31	24.64±0.67	100.00	3.93±0.00	100.00	1.96±0.00	1.96±0.00
Ventral contact	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	ND
Social proximity	99.42	717.09±2.39	98.34	68.80±0.66	99.59	736.52±1.76	97.14	69.92±1.15	98.98	482.22±2.85	98.21	63.47±0.66	63.47±0.66
Social following	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	ND
Passing contact	98.54	78.72±0.66	98.51	76.74±0.66	98.05	118.53±1.33	96.82	72.59±1.33	97.06	38.60±0.65	95.44	24.86±0.65	24.86±0.65
Bumping	100.00	7.94±0.00	100.00	3.97±0.00	98.00	99.89±1.15	97.99	57.27±0.67	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	ND
Social withdrawal	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	ND
Rejected mount	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	ND
Sitting alone	99.61	298.34±0.66	95.78	27.12±0.66	98.99	395.56±2.31	97.96	56.61±0.67	99.48	374.92±1.13	97.07	38.61±0.65	38.61±0.65
Non-social state	99.77	2670.56±3.50	99.97	3432.64±0.66	99.55	2461.27±6.42	99.88	3359.60±2.40	99.87	3036.64±2.27	100.00	3495.97±0.00	3495.97±0.00

MDBE: monkey daily behavior ethogram; SEM: standard error of the mean; ND: some behaviors were not detected.

Table 6 Results of composite behaviors of three monkeys in a social group using MDBE

Composite behavior Name	Monkey 1 (female, 3.43 years old)-Video 1			Monkey 2 (female, 3.39 years old)-Video 2			Monkey 3 (male, 3.41 years old)-Video 3					
	Duration		Frequency	Duration		Frequency	Duration		Frequency			
	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)		
Voluntary locomotion	99.22	637.71±2.88	98.60	246.09±1.98	97.67	1169.37±15.74	99.07	326.30±1.76	98.96	895.75±5.36	99.61	287.90±0.65
Exploration behavior	100.00	5.95±0.00	100.00	3.97±0.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	95.44	24.86±0.65	100.00	9.81±0.00
Stereotyped behaviors	99.82	1125.25±1.15	96.53	33.08±0.66	99.14	134.52±0.67	100.00	5.99±0.00	98.38	70.01±0.66	100.00	5.89±0.00
Response to external stimuli	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	98.53	135.85±1.15	95.84	47.95±1.15	96.47	32.06±0.65	100.00	15.70±0.00
Foraging and eating, drinking	97.45	44.98±0.66	100.00	7.94±0.00	100.00	ND	100.00	ND	99.41	505.13±1.73	98.10	59.54±0.65
Social interactions	99.35	929.44±3.50	99.32	167.36±0.66	99.02	1138.73±6.42	98.27	240.40±2.40	99.30	563.36±2.27	100.00	104.03±0.00

MDBE: monkey daily behavior ethogram; SEM: standard error of the mean; ND: some behaviors were not detected.

Table 7 Results of ASD core behaviors in three monkeys in a social group using MCBE-ASD

ASD core behavior name	Monkey 1 (female, 3.43 years old)-Video 1			Monkey 2 (female, 3.39 years old)-Video 2			Monkey 3 (male, 3.41 years old)-Video 3					
	Duration		Frequency	Duration		Frequency	Duration		Frequency			
	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (s/h)	Consistency (%)	Mean±SEM (times/h)		
Social interactions	99.35	929.44±3.50	99.32	167.36±0.66	99.02	1138.73±6.42	98.27	240.40±2.40	99.30	563.36±2.27	100.00	104.03±0.00
Stereotyped behaviors	99.82	1125.25±1.15	96.53	33.08±0.66	99.14	134.52±0.67	100.00	5.99±0.00	98.38	70.01±0.66	100.00	5.89±0.00
Restricted interests	98.67	86.00±0.66	95.05	23.15±0.66	97.81	52.61±0.67	100.00	9.99±0.00	98.29	66.09±0.65	95.32	24.21±0.65

ASD: autism spectrum disorder; MCBE-ASD: ASD monkey core behavior ethogram; SEM: standard error of the mean.

each behavior, we list the specific values of three analysts (Tables S2–S4), as well as their consistency and the averages (shown as mean±standard error of the mean (SEM)) (Tables 5–7). Overall, the results showed that MDBE and MCBE-ASD enabled efficient monkey daily behavior quantification analysis. Then, by comparing the duration of various behaviors of three rhesus monkeys, we found that different individuals displayed different behavioral patterns. For example, Monkey 1 displayed the most stereotyped behaviors, while Monkey 2 and Monkey 3 spent more time on voluntary locomotion and social interactions (Fig. S1).

4 Discussion

To solve the problem of heterogeneity in the evaluation of behavioral phenotypes in studies using monkey models of brain diseases, the present study established a standardized daily behavior collection and analysis system for rhesus and cynomolgus macaques, which are the non-human primates most commonly used for model development. This system was based on an extensive literature review and our long-term experience in monkey behavioral studies and was established on the principles of comprehensiveness and standardization, including behavioral data collection protocols and a daily behavior ethogram (MDBE). We demonstrated the specific application of MDBE in brain disease modeling validation using ASD as an example. That is, on the basis of MDBE, a sub-ethogram for the quantitative assessment of core clinical symptoms in the ASD monkey model (MCBE-ASD) validation was established according to the DSM-5-TR, a globally recognized authoritative tool for the classification and diagnosis of mental disorders. Subsequently, we used both MDBE and MCBE-ASD to analyze the behavioral video files of three socially housed monkeys to demonstrate their application. The data not only demonstrate the high reproducibility of the system but also show the differences in the behavioral patterns of these three individual rhesus monkeys.

The main advantages of our system are as follows. First, MDBE covers all observable and measurable daily behaviors of rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys that we found in the literature, including 47 basic individual behaviors and 23 basic social behaviors, covering the behavioral phenotypes of monkeys housed in both single cages and social groups. These

behaviors can be used in juvenile, adolescent, adult, and elderly monkeys, and apply to both females and males. For each basic behavior, MDBE provides clear description and inclusion criteria. Therefore, in addition to its wide coverage, MDBE has the characteristics of standardization. Second, MDBE contains a hierarchy of basic behaviors and composite behaviors, which facilitates a better understanding and systematic analysis of the characteristics and patterns of the model monkey's daily behavior. In addition, for the basic behaviors in the MDBE, we included one to three typical video clips as references. This feature greatly enhances the user's understanding of rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys' daily behaviors, thereby improving behavioral analysis accuracy.

Of course, this system also has some limitations. First, it is only applicable to rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys. When extending the system to other macaque species, users need to carefully consider the variability of behavioral characteristics among different species. Second, considering the ethical restrictions and common cases in monkey brain disease modeling research, we only included the behaviors of juvenile, adolescent, adult, and aged monkeys, and not maternal or infant behaviors. In addition, although we have demonstrated the repeatability of the system, due to time limitations, we have not yet verified the long-term stability of the system. We will continue to follow up on this issue in the future. Additionally, 30 min was chosen as the focused recording time based on previous studies (Feng et al., 2011; Griffis et al., 2013; Camus et al., 2014; Hage et al., 2014; Qin et al., 2016; Truelove et al., 2017; Tu et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2021; Stewart et al., 2023) and our own experience. This is because 30 min can effectively capture most daily behaviors, avoiding the inability to fully understand the monkeys' behavioral patterns due to short recording time. At the same time, problems of data redundancy and recorder fatigue can be avoided. Although we have not yet conducted a systematic comparative study on the relationship between different recording time (e.g., 10/30/60 min) and behavioral acquisition effects, this is important research that we will conduct in the future.

It is important to note that the choice of behavioral data collection and analysis methods depends greatly on the purpose of the study. The goal of this study was to quantitatively analyze the daily behavioral phenotypes of brain disease model monkeys, which

are usually housed in cages in good monkey facilities; therefore, we chose the method of focal sampling recording for collecting data on monkey behaviors. The advantage of focal sampling is that it can accurately record the frequency, duration, and order of occurrence of behaviors, thereby facilitating a detailed understanding of the behavioral patterns, especially complex behaviors, of the individuals of interest. However, there are drawbacks to this approach: sometimes, social interactions or responses to the environments are hard to explain because of the camera's screen limitation. To alleviate this shortcoming, while tracking the activities of target monkeys, the recorder is required to adjust the camera to try to capture the surrounding individuals as much as possible. Simultaneously, a recorder should provide oral descriptions of the changes in the surrounding environment in the video, such as loud noises and caretakers passing by. Of course, commonly used behavioral data collection methods, such as scan sampling, 0–1 sampling (1 represents the presence of behavior and 0 represents the absence of behavior), and on-site observation, also have their unique advantages. In terms of behavioral analysis, in addition to behavioral analysts, electronic data collection programs such as Noldus Observer and Mangold INTERACT are quite useful and we will try them in the future.

5 Conclusions

In this study, a behavior collection and analysis system for rhesus and cynomolgus monkeys, which are the most commonly used non-human primates in brain disease modeling, has been developed with the aim of providing a quantification analysis of the daily behaviors of both. It includes daily behavior data collection protocols and a daily behavior ethogram (MDBE). We then developed a sub-ethogram (MCBE-ASD) based upon MDBE for evaluating the core clinical symptoms in an ASD monkey model as an application example.

Data availability statement

The datasets used or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

This study was partially supported by the Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province

(No. 2019B030335001), the STI2030-Major Projects (No. 2021ZD0200900), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Nos. 2021YFF0702700 and 2018YFA0801403), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 81960422, 32100801, 82101241, 82360226, 82160923, 82260929, 82374425, and 32460194), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) (No. XDB32060200), the STI2030-Major Projects (Nos. 2022ZD0205200 and 2022ZD0212700), the Science and Technology Department of Yunnan Province (Nos. 202305AH340006 and 202305AH340007), the Yunnan Fundamental Research Projects (Nos. 202201AT070153 and 202201AT070139), the Science and Technology Project of Yunnan Province (No. 202101AY070001-001), the Yunnan Revitalization Talent Support Program (No. YNWR-QNBJ-2019-043), the CAS "Light of West China" Program, and the Yunnan Revitalization Talents Support Plan. We would like to thank the National Research Facility for Phenotypic and Genetic Analysis of Model Animals, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and National Resource Center for Non-human Primates (China) for technical support and animal care.

Author contributions

Xintian HU, Xiaoli FENG, Dongdong QIN, and Wenchao WANG designed and led the project. Xiaofeng REN, Huimin WANG, and Xiaoman LV conducted the literature research, combining the opinions of all authors to establish the two scales. Hao LI and Yingzhou HU gave constructive comments on the revision of the scales. Yingyin FAN, Yanjun YU, and Yuhui CHEN performed the collection and analysis of monkey behaviors. Yi ZHOU, Xiaofeng REN, Christoph W. TURCK, and Longbao LV performed statistical analysis of the behavioral results. Xiaofeng REN and Xintian HU prepared the manuscript. Wenchao WANG and Xiaoli FENG assisted with revision of the article. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript, and therefore, have full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity and security of the data.

Compliance with ethics guidelines

Xiaofeng REN, Huimin WANG, Xiaoman LV, Yi ZHOU, Yingyin FAN, Yanjun YU, Christoph W. TURCK, Yuhui CHEN, Longbao LV, Yingzhou HU, Hao LI, Wenchao WANG, Dongdong QIN, Xiaoli FENG, and Xintian HU declare that they have no conflict of interest.

All animal welfare and experimental protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. IACUC-PE-2023-12-006). All monkeys were also handled in accordance with the National Institute of Health (USA) Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All animals had free access to clean water and were fed monkey chow supplemented with seasonal fresh fruit and vegetables twice a day. There were swings, ladders, branches, and mirrors for enriching living

habitats within each social cage. Visual and auditory stimuli were offered daily through television programs and music display for sensory enrichment of single-caged monkeys.

Open Access

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits use, duplication, adaptation, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Altmann J, 1974. Observational study of behavior: sampling methods. *Behaviour*, 49(3):227-267.
<https://doi.org/10.1163/156853974x00534>
- Balzamo E, van Beers P, Lagarde D, 1998. Scoring of sleep and wakefulness by behavioral analysis from video recordings in rhesus monkeys: comparison with conventional EEG analysis. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*, 106(3): 206-212.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0013-4694\(97\)00152-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0013-4694(97)00152-1)
- Camus SM, Rochais C, Blois-Heulin C, et al., 2014. Depressive-like behavioral profiles in captive-bred single- and socially-housed rhesus and cynomolgus macaques: a species comparison. *Front Behav Neurosci*, 8:47.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00047>
- Chan AWS, Jiang J, Chen YJ, et al., 2015. Progressive cognitive deficit, motor impairment and striatal pathology in a transgenic Huntington disease monkey model from infancy to adulthood. *PLoS ONE*, 10(5):e0122335.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0122335>
- Chaste P, Leboyer M, 2012. Autism risk factors: genes, environment, and gene-environment interactions. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*, 14(3):281-292.
<https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2012.14.3/pchaste>
- Chen YC, Yu JH, Niu YY, et al., 2017. Modeling Rett syndrome using TALEN-edited *MECP2* mutant cynomolgus monkeys. *Cell*, 169(5):945-955.e10.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.035>
- da Silva FS, Silva EAS, de Sousa GM, et al., 2019. Acute effects of ayahuasca in a juvenile non-human primate model of depression. *Braz J Psychiatry*, 41(4):280-288.
<https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-4446-2018-0140>
- Feigin VL, Vos T, Alahdab F, et al., 2021. Burden of neurological disorders across the US from 1990-2017: a global burden of disease study. *JAMA Neurol*, 78(2):165-176.
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.4152>
- Feng XL, Wang LN, Yang SC, et al., 2011. Maternal separation produces lasting changes in cortisol and behavior in rhesus monkeys. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 108(34):14312-14317.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010943108>
- Fernström AL, Sutian W, Royo F, et al., 2008. Stress in cynomolgus monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*) subjected to long-distance transport and simulated transport housing conditions. *Stress*, 11(6):467-476.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10253890801903359>
- Galvão-Coelho NL, Galvão ACM, da Silva FS, et al., 2017. Common marmosets: a potential translational animal model of juvenile depression. *Front Psychiatry*, 8:175.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2017.00175>
- Ghosh A, Michalon A, Lindemann L, et al., 2013. Drug discovery for autism spectrum disorder: challenges and opportunities. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, 12(10):777-790.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd4102>
- Gibbs RA, Rogers J, Katze MG, et al., 2007. Evolutionary and biomedical insights from the rhesus macaque genome. *Science*, 316(5822):222-234.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1139247>
- Griffis CM, Martin AL, Perlman JE, et al., 2013. Play caging benefits the behavior of singly housed laboratory rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*). *J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci*, 52(5):534-540.
- Hage SR, Ott T, Eiselt AK, et al., 2014. Ethograms indicate stable well-being during prolonged training phases in rhesus monkeys used in neurophysiological research. *Lab Anim*, 48(1):82-87.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0023677213514043>
- Iredale SK, Nevill CH, Lutz CK, 2010. The influence of observer presence on baboon (*Papio* spp.) and rhesus macaque (*Macaca mulatta*) behavior. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*, 122(1):53-57.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2009.11.002>
- Kalin NH, Shelton SE, 2003. Nonhuman primate models to study anxiety, emotion regulation, and psychopathology. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, 1008(1):189-200.
<https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1301.021>
- Li H, Wu SH, Ma X, et al., 2021. Co-editing *PINK1* and *DJ-1* genes via adeno-associated virus-delivered CRISPR/Cas9 system in adult monkey brain elicits classical Parkinsonian phenotype. *Neurosci Bull*, 37(9):1271-1288.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12264-021-00732-6>
- Li YH, Huang ZH, Zhou QH, et al., 2019. Daily activity pattern in Assamese macaques inhabiting limestone forest, southwest Guangxi, China. *Global Ecol Conserv*, 20:e00709.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00709>
- Lintas C, Persico AM, 2009. Autistic phenotypes and genetic testing: state-of-the-art for the clinical geneticist. *J Med Genet*, 46(1):1-8.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.2008.060871>
- Liu Z, Li X, Zhang JT, et al., 2016. Autism-like behaviours and germline transmission in transgenic monkeys overexpressing MeCP2. *Nature*, 530(7588):98-102.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16533>
- Lutz CK, 2018. A cross-species comparison of abnormal behavior in three species of singly-housed old world monkeys. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*, 199:52-58.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2017.10.010>

- Lutz CK, Coleman K, Hopper LM, et al., 2022. Nonhuman primate abnormal behavior: etiology, assessment, and treatment. *Am J Primatol*, 84(6):e23380. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.23380>
- Maenner MJ, Warren Z, Williams AR, et al., 2023. Prevalence and characteristics of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years – autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2020. *MMWR Surveill Summ*, 72(2):1-14. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss7202a1>
- Qiao N, Ma LZ, Zhang Y, et al., 2023. Update on nonhuman primate models of brain disease and related research tools. *Biomedicines*, 11(9):2516. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines11092516>
- Qin DD, Chu XX, Feng XL, et al., 2015. The first observation of seasonal affective disorder symptoms in rhesus macaque. *Behav Brain Res*, 292:463-469. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2015.07.005>
- Qin DD, Rizak J, Feng XL, et al., 2016. Prolonged secretion of cortisol as a possible mechanism underlying stress and depressive behaviour. *Sci Rep*, 6:30187. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30187>
- Ronemus M, Iossifov I, Levy D, et al., 2014. The role of *de novo* mutations in the genetics of autism spectrum disorders. *Nat Rev Genet*, 15(2):133-141. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3585>
- Stewart BM, Joyce MM, Creeggan J, et al., 2023. Primates and disability: behavioral flexibility and implications for resilience to environmental change. *Am J Primatol*, e23579. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.23579>
- Truelove MA, Martin AL, Perlman JE, et al., 2017. Two methods of social separation for paired adolescent male rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*). *J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci*, 56(6):729-734.
- Tu ZC, Zhao H, Li B, et al., 2019. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of *SHANK3* in monkey leads to drug-treatable autism-like symptoms. *Hum Mol Genet*, 28(4):561-571. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddy367>
- Wu SH, Li X, Qin DD, et al., 2021. Induction of core symptoms of autism spectrum disorder by *in vivo* CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing in the brain of adolescent rhesus monkeys. *Sci Bull*, 66(9):937-946. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2020.12.017>
- Yin YY, Tian CY, Fang XX, et al., 2020. The faster-onset antidepressant effects of hypidone hydrochloride (YL-0919) in monkeys subjected to chronic unpredictable stress. *Front Pharmacol*, 11:586879. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.586879>
- Zhang ZY, Mao Y, Feng XL, et al., 2016. Early adversity contributes to chronic stress induced depression-like behavior in adolescent male rhesus monkeys. *Behav Brain Res*, 306:154-159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2016.03.040>
- Zhou Y, Sharma J, Ke Q, et al., 2019. Atypical behaviour and connectivity in *SHANK3*-mutant macaques. *Nature*, 570(7761):326-331. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1278-0>

Supplementary information

Tables S1–S4; Fig. S1; Video clips