

Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE  
 ISSN 1009-3095  
 http://www.zju.edu.cn/jzus  
 E-mail: jzus@zju.edu.cn



## Hollow dimension of modules

ORHAN Nil, KESKİN TÜTÜNCÜ Derya

(Department of Mathematics, University of Hacettepe, Beytepe 06532, Ankara, Turkey)

E-mail: nilorhan@hacettepe.edu.tr; keskin@hacettepe.edu.tr

Received Jan. 16, 2005; revision accepted Apr. 21, 2005

**Abstract:** In this paper, we are interested in the following general question: Given a module  $M$  which has finite hollow dimension and which has a finite collection of submodules  $K_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) such that  $M = K_1 + \dots + K_n$ , can we find an expression for the hollow dimension of  $M$  in terms of hollow dimensions of modules built up in some way from  $K_1, \dots, K_n$ ? We prove the following theorem: Let  $M$  be an amply supplemented module having finite hollow dimension and let  $K_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be a finite collection of submodules of  $M$  such that  $M = K_1 + \dots + K_n$ . Then the hollow dimension  $h(M)$  of  $M$  is the sum of the hollow dimensions of  $K_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) if and only if  $K_i$  is a supplement of  $K_1 + \dots + K_{i-1} + K_{i+1} + \dots + K_n$  in  $M$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Key words:** Hollow dimension, Supplement submodule

doi:10.1631/jzus.2005.A1055

**Document code:** A

**CLC number:** O177

### INTRODUCTION

Throughout this note  $R$  will denote an arbitrary associative ring with identity and all modules will be unital right  $R$ -modules. Let  $M$  be any  $R$ -module and  $S$  a submodule of  $M$ .  $S$  is called a small submodule of  $M$  (denoted as  $S \ll M$ ) if for every submodule  $T$  of  $M$  with  $M = S + T$ , then  $M = T$ . Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . If any submodule  $K$  of  $M$  is minimal with the property that  $M = N + K$ , then the submodule  $K$  is called a supplement of  $N$  in  $M$ . It is not hard to see that  $K$  is a supplement of  $N$  in  $M$  if and only if  $M = N + K$  and  $N \cap K \ll K$ . A submodule  $K$  of  $M$  is called a supplement submodule of  $M$  if there exists a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $K$  is a supplement of  $N$  in  $M$ . Every submodule of any module  $M$  need not have a supplement in  $M$ . For example, consider the  $Z$ -module  $M_{\mathbb{Z}} = Z$ . In this module, just the submodules  $0$  and  $M$  have supplements (in fact they are supplements of each other in  $M$ ). Therefore any  $R$ -module  $M$  is called supplemented if every submodule of  $M$  has a supplement in  $M$ . On the other hand, let  $M$  be any  $R$ -module.  $M$  is called amply supplemented if for any two submodules  $A$  and  $B$  of  $M$  with  $M = A + B$ ,  $A$  has a supplement in  $M$  that is contained in  $B$ . Since every artinian module is amply supplemented, the  $Z$ -module

$M = Z/pZ \oplus Z/p^3Z$  is an amply supplemented module, where  $p$  is any prime integer. Clearly, every amply supplemented module is supplemented, but the converse is not true in general (Lemma A.5 in (Mohamed and Müller, 1990)). Any module  $M$  is called hollow, if every proper submodule of  $M$  is small in  $M$ .

In this note, we are interested in the following general question: Given a module  $M$  which has finite hollow dimension and a finite collection of submodules  $K_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) such that  $M = K_1 + \dots + K_n$ , can we find an expression for the hollow dimension of  $M$  in terms of hollow dimensions of modules built up in some way from  $K_1, \dots, K_n$ ?

### HOLLOW DIMENSION

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A non-empty family  $\{N_i\}_I$  of proper submodules of  $M$  is called co-independent if for any  $i \in I$  and any finite subset  $F \subseteq I \setminus \{i\}$ ,  $N_i + \bigcap_{k \in F} N_k = M$ , with the convention that the intersection with an empty index set is set to be  $M$ .

**Proposition 1** For a non-zero module  $M$  the following equivalent (3.1.2 in (Lomp, 1996); Grezszuk and Puczyłowski, 1984):

(1)  $M$  does not contain an infinite coindependent family of submodules.

(2)  $M$  contains a finite coindependent family of submodules  $\{N_1, \dots, N_n\}$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i \ll M$  and  $M/N_i$  is a hollow module for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

(3)  $\text{Sup}\{k|M \text{ contains a coindependent family of submodules of cardinality equal to } k\} = n$ .

(4) For any descending chain  $N_1 \supset N_2 \supset \dots$  of submodules of  $M$  there exists  $j$  such that for all  $k \geq j$ ,  $N_j/N_k \ll M/N_k$ .

(5) There exists an epimorphism from  $M$  to a finite direct sum of  $n$  hollow factor modules with the small kernel.

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to have finite hollow dimension if it satisfies one of the conditions in Proposition 1. We denote the hollow dimension of  $M$  with  $h(M)$ . For the module  $M$  as in Proposition 1,  $h(M) = n$ . Note that  $M = 0 \Leftrightarrow h(M) = 0$  and  $M$  is hollow  $\Leftrightarrow h(M) = 1$ .

**Proposition 2** Let  $M$  be any  $R$ -module. Then the following hold:

(1) If  $M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$ , then  $h(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n h(M_i)$  (5.13 in (Miyashita, 1966); 3.1.10 (1) in (Lomp, 1996)).

(2) If  $M$  has finite hollow dimension, then for any submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $N \ll M \Leftrightarrow h(M) = h(M/N)$  (Hanna and Shamsuddin, 1984; 3.1.10 (2) in (Lomp, 1996)).

(3) Assume the sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Then  $h(L) \leq h(M) \leq h(N) + h(L)$  (3.10 (6) in (Lomp, 1996)).

(4) Let  $M = \sum_I M_i$  with  $M_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then  $h(M) \leq \sum_I h(M_i)$  (Remarks 2 in (Lomp, 1996)).

(5) If  $M$  has finite hollow dimension and  $N$  a submodule of  $M$ , then  $N$  is a supplement submodule of  $M \Leftrightarrow h(M) = h(M/N) + h(N)$  (Corollary 3.2.3 in (Lomp, 1996)).

(6) If  $M = \sum_{i=1}^n H_i$  is an irredundant sum of hollow modules, then  $h(M) = n$  (Theorem 7.10 in (Hanna and Shamsuddin, 1984); Theorem 14 in (Grzeszczuk and Puczylowski, 1984); Lemma 1 in (Rim and Takemori, 1993); 3.2.5 in (Lomp, 1996)).

**Lemma 1** Let  $N, K$  be submodules of  $M$  such that  $K$

is a supplement submodule of  $M$ ,  $M = K + N$  and  $K \cap N \ll M$ . Then  $K$  is a supplement of  $N$  in  $M$ .

**Proof** Clear by Lemma 1.1 in (Keskin, 2000).

**Lemma 2** Let  $K$  and  $L$  be submodules of an amply supplemented module  $M$  such that  $M = K + L$ . Then there exist submodules  $K'$  and  $L'$  of  $M$  such that  $K' \subseteq K$ ,  $L' \subseteq L$  and  $K'$  and  $L'$  are supplements of each other in  $M$ .

**Proof** Since  $M$  is amply supplemented, there exists a supplement  $K'$  of  $L$  in  $M$  with  $K' \subseteq K$ , namely,  $M = K' + L$ ,  $K' \cap L \ll K' \subseteq K$ . And again there exists a supplement  $L'$  of  $K'$  in  $M$  such that  $L' \subseteq L$ . By Lemma 1,  $K'$  is a supplement of  $L'$  in  $M$ .

We can give the following simple example of Lemma 2 in which  $M, K, L, K'$  and  $L'$  are all constructed:

Let  $R = \begin{bmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$  be the ring of all upper triangular  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $F$ , where  $F$  is a field.

It is well-known that  $R$  is a right perfect ring and hence  $R$  is an amply supplemented right  $R$ -module by Theorem 4.41 in (Mohamed and Müller, 1990).

Consider the right  $R$ -modules  $K = \begin{bmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and

$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $R_R = K + L$ . On the other hand,  $R_R =$

$\begin{bmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$ . Now we can take  $K' = K$  and  $L' =$

$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix} \leq L$ . Clearly,  $K'$  and  $L'$  are supplements of

each other in  $R_R$ .

**Lemma 3** Let  $K, L$  be submodules of a module  $M$  such that  $K$  is a supplement of  $L$  in  $M$ . Let  $G, H$  be submodules of  $M$  contained in  $K$  such that  $G$  is a supplement of  $H$  in  $K$ . Then  $G$  is a supplement of  $H + L$  in  $M$ .

**Proof** Let  $M = K + L$  with  $K \cap L \ll K$  and  $K = G + H$  with  $G$  being minimal in this property. Clearly  $M = G + (H + L)$ . Suppose that  $M = G' + (H + L)$  for any submodule  $G'$  of  $M$  with  $G' \subseteq G$ . Then by modularity,  $K = G' + (K \cap (H + L)) = G' + H + (K \cap L)$ . Since  $K \cap L \ll K$ ,  $K = G' + H$ . By the minimality of  $G$ ,  $G' = G$ . Therefore  $G$  is a supplement of  $H + L$  in  $M$ .

**Lemma 4** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module having finite hollow dimension and let  $K, L$  be submodules of  $M$  with  $M = K + L$ . Then  $h(M) = h(K) + h(L)$  if and only if  $K$  and  $L$  are supplements of each other in  $M$ .

**Proof** Assume that  $h(M)=h(K)+h(L)$ . Then  $K$  and  $L$  both have finite hollow dimension. Note that there is an epimorphism from  $L$  to  $M/K$  with the kernel  $K \cap L$  and so by Proposition 2 (3),  $h(M) \leq h(K)+h(M/K) \leq h(K)+h(L)=h(M)$ . Thus by Proposition 2 (5),  $K$  is a supplement submodule of  $M$ . Similarly  $L$  is a supplement submodule of  $M$ . Consider the isomorphism  $\varphi: M/K \cap L \rightarrow [K/K \cap L] \oplus [L/K \cap L]$  defined by  $\varphi(k+l+K \cap L)=(k+K \cap L, l+K \cap L)$ , where  $k \in K, l \in L$ . Then by Proposition 2 (1),  $h(M/K \cap L)=h([K/K \cap L] \oplus [L/K \cap L])=h(K/K \cap L)+h(L/K \cap L)=h(M/L)+h(M/K)$ . Since  $h(L)+h(M/L)=h(M)=h(K)+h(M/K)$ , then  $h(M/L)=h(M)-h(L)$  and  $h(M/K)=h(M)-h(K)$ . Therefore  $h(M/K \cap L)=h(M)$ . By Proposition 2 (2),  $K \cap L \ll M$ . Now by Lemma 1,  $K$  and  $L$  are supplements of each other in  $M$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $K$  and  $L$  are supplements of each other in  $M$ . Since  $K \cap L \ll K$ ,  $h(K)=h(K/K \cap L)$  by Proposition 2 (2). Since  $L$  is a supplement submodule of  $M$ ,  $h(M)=h(M/L)+h(L)$  by Proposition 2 (5). Therefore  $h(M)=h(K)+h(L)$ .

**Lemma 5** Any supplement submodule of an amply supplemented module  $M$  is amply supplemented (41.7 (1) in (Wisbauer, 1991)).

Now we can give the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1** Let  $M$  be an amply supplemented module having finite hollow dimension and let  $K_i$  be submodules of  $M$  such that  $M=K_1+\dots+K_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Then  $h(M)=\sum_{i=1}^n h(K_i)$  if and only if  $K_i$  is a supplement of  $K_1+\dots+K_{i-1}+K_{i+1}+\dots+K_n$  in  $M$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Proof** For  $n=1$  the result is clear. Suppose that  $n \geq 2$  and  $L_i=K_1+\dots+K_{i-1}+K_{i+1}+\dots+K_n$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Suppose that  $h(M)=\sum_{i=1}^n h(K_i)$ . Let  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . By Proposition

2 (4),  $h(L_i) \leq \sum_{j \neq i} h(K_j)$  and hence  $h(L_i)+h(K_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n h(K_i) = h(M) \leq h(L_i)+h(K_i)$ . Thus  $h(M)=h(K_i)+h(L_i)$ . By Lemma 4,  $K_i$  is a supplement of  $L_i$  in  $M$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $K_i$  is a supplement of  $L_i$  in  $M$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $K_i \neq 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Then  $L_i \neq M$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Consider the submodule  $K_1$ . Since  $K_1$  is a supplement submodule of  $M$ , by Proposition 2 (5),  $K_1$  has finite hollow dimension. Suppose that  $K_1$  is not hollow. Then there exist proper submodules  $K_{11}$  and

$K_{12}$  of  $K_1$  such that  $K_1=K_{11}+K_{12}$ . By Lemma 5,  $K_1$  is amply supplemented. Therefore by Lemma 2, we can suppose without loss of generality that  $K_{11}$  and  $K_{12}$  are supplements of each other in  $K_1$ . By Lemma 3,  $K_{11}$  is a supplement of  $K_{12}+L_1$  in  $M$  and  $K_{12}$  is a supplement of  $K_{11}+L_1$  in  $M$ . Moreover, by Lemma 4,  $h(K_1)=h(K_{11})+h(K_{12})$ . Thus  $\sum_{i=1}^n h(K_i)=h(K_{11})+h(K_{12})+\sum_{i=2}^n h(K_i)$ . We

also note that  $1 \leq h(K_{11}) < h(K_1)$  and  $1 \leq h(K_{12}) < h(K_1)$ . If  $K_{11}$  or  $K_{12}$  is not a hollow submodule of  $M$  then the above argument can be repeated. Since  $h(K_1) < \infty$ , it follows that this process must stop. Thus  $M=H_1+\dots+H_k+K_2+\dots+K_n$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer and  $H_i$  is a hollow submodule of  $M$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$  such that  $H_i$  is a supplement of  $(\sum_{j \neq i} H_j)+K_2+\dots+K_n$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $K_i$  is a supplement of  $H_1+\dots+H_k+K_2+\dots+K_{i-1}+K_{i+1}+\dots+K_n$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n h(K_i)=\sum_{j=1}^k h(H_j)+\sum_{i=2}^n h(K_i)$ .

Now consider  $K_2, K_3, \dots, K_n$  in turn using the above argument. There exist a positive integer  $t \geq k$  and hollow submodules  $H_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq t$ ) of  $M$  such that  $H_j$  is a supplement of  $H_1+\dots+H_{j-1}+H_{j+1}+\dots+H_t$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq t$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n h(K_i)=\sum_{j=1}^t h(H_j)$ . It is easy to check that

$M=\sum_{j=1}^t H_j$  is an irredundant sum of hollow modules  $H_j$ . By Proposition 2 (6),  $h(M)=t=\sum_{i=1}^n h(K_i)$ .

**References**

Grezeszczuk, P., Puczylowski, E.R., 1984. On Goldie and dual Goldie dimension. *J. Pure App. Algebra*, **31**:47-54.  
 Hanna, A., Shamsuddin, A., 1984. Duality in the Category of Modules. Applications, Algebra Berichte 49, Verlag Reinhard Fischer München.  
 Keskin, D., 2000. On lifting modules. *Comm. Alg.*, **28**:3427-3440.  
 Lomp, C., 1996. On Dual Goldie Dimension. Diplomarbeit (M.Sc. Thesis), HHU Düsseldorf, Germany.  
 Miyashita, Y., 1966. Quasi-projective modules, perfect modules and a theorem for modular lattices. *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido*, **19**:86-110.  
 Mohamed, S.H., Müller, B.J., 1990. Continuous and Discrete Modules. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, 147, Cambridge.  
 Rim, S.H., Takemori, K., 1993. On dual Goldie dimension. *Comm. Alg.*, **21**:665-674.  
 Wisbauer, R., 1991. Foundations of Module and Ring Theory. Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia.