

Meng et al. / J Zhejiang Univ-Sci A (Appl Phys & Eng) 2021 22(10):792-804

Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE A (Applied Physics & Engineering) ISSN 1673-565X (Print); ISSN 1862-1775 (Online) www.jzus.zju.edu.cn; link.springer.com E-mail: jzus\_a@zju.edu.cn



# A comparative study of methods for remediation of diesel-contaminated soil<sup>\*</sup>

Fan-xu MENG<sup>1</sup>, Yan SONG<sup>1</sup>, Li-juan MAO<sup>2</sup>, Wen-jun ZHOU<sup>1</sup>, Dao-hui LIN<sup>†‡1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China <sup>2</sup>Analysis Center of Agrobiology and Environmental Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China <sup>3</sup>The Institute of Zhejiang Ecological Civilization, Huzhou 313300, China <sup>†</sup>E-mail: lindaohui@zju.edu.cn

Received Feb. 19, 2021; Revision accepted May 6, 2021; Crosschecked Sept. 26, 2021

**Abstract:** Soil pollution by diesel fuels is a worldwide environmental problem, but little research has been carried out into on-site techniques for remediation of soil polluted by waste solvents. This study compared chemical oxidation and soil washing methods for their efficiency and environmental and economic impacts. Soil was spiked with  $0^{\#}$  diesel to simulate an actual pollution level of about 1260 mg/kg total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH). Fenton-like oxidation eliminated 90.4% of the TPH with a Fe<sup>2+</sup>:H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ratio of 1:10 in 5 d compared with 25.8% removal by the activated persulfate method under the same conditions. In washing tests, sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate and Tween 80 were both unsuitable for TPH washing, while ultrapure water removed 36.1% of TPH in 75 min. Only the Fenton-like oxidation technique met remediation goals based on the screening values of the Guideline for Risk Assessment of Contaminated Sites. The environmental impact and economic assessment of techniques demonstrated the superiority of water washing for dealing with low-degree TPH contamination.

Key words: Total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH); Chemical oxidation; Soil washing; Environmental risk; Soil remediation https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.A2100087 CLC number: X53

# 1 Introduction

Diesel is a petroleum by-product used worldwide. Its production, smelting, transportation, and incomplete combustion produce sites polluted with total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH). Diesel is more toxic to plants than crude oil because of its higher proportion of light hydrocarbons (Kirk et al., 2005). The extensive occurrence of soil pollution caused by TPH and its relevant derivatives has attracted attempts to seek and establish efficient, economical, and green remediation strategies to restore polluted sites.

Confronted by several different types of TPHpolluted soils, various technologies including chemical oxidation (Mirzaee et al., 2017; Picard and Chaouki, 2017; Lominchar et al., 2018), chemical washing (Li et al., 2016; Patowary et al., 2018), thermal desorption (Samaksaman et al., 2016), bioventing (Österreicher-Cunha et al., 2004), biopiling (Li et al., 2019), and other combined approaches (Guo SH et al., 2014; Dos Santos et al., 2017) have been put into practice. Bioremediation (Gojgic-Cvijovic et al., 2012; Bahadure et al., 2013) is generally considered an environmentally friendly technology thanks to its effectiveness in preventing future pollution events, but its relatively long remediation time (a dozen weeks to several months) restricts its application.

792

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Corresponding author

<sup>\*</sup> Project supported by the Science and Technology Research Program of Zhejiang Province (No. 2020C03011), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21621005), and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2017YFA0207003)
ORCID: Dao-hui LIN, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9662-7195

<sup>©</sup> Zhejiang University Press 2021

Taking the real-world application of different techniques into account, conventional remediation methods have frequently been based on successful precedents. Considering each technology's maturity and convenience, chemical oxidation and soil washing are optimum options due to the strong interactions between oxidants and TPH and their time-saving characteristics. However, comparative studies of the multifarious methods for remediation of TPHpolluted soils are rare.

Among multiple advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), Fenton/Fenton-like techniques and the increasingly prevalent activated persulfate oxidation technique have shown a benign remediation potential to eliminate diesel. Representative studies on removing TPH from soil are shown in Table 1. The Fenton-like technique can achieve a 92% TPH removal efficiency rate in one week, compared with 98% in eight weeks by activated persulphate oxidation (Usman et al., 2012a; Lominchar et al., 2018). The different removal rates of these oxidizing agents

are attributed mainly to the different free radicals generated in the reactions. In Fenton/Fenton-like oxidation, the reaction process is intense because it is caused by the second most reactive chemical species (OH) (Yap et al., 2011). In activated persulfate oxidation, the sulphate radical (SO4-, oxidationreduction potential (ORP)=2.6 V) is gentler than  $H_2O_2$  (ORP=2.8 V), but the reagents may penetrate deeper into the source zone (Osgerby, 2006). In addition, sulphate radicals  $(SO_4)$  are more stable than OH because of their low affinity with soil organic matter (Usman et al., 2012a). Therefore, both methods may achieve similar remediation efficiency rates over a relatively long span. Soil washing is gaining attention because of its relative rapidity (Khan et al., 2004; Österreicher-Cunha et al., 2004). Its remediation efficiency is directly related to its washing agents. Surfactants are an effective washing agent for organic-polluted soil (Bruell et al., 1997). Anionic and non-ionic surfactants show a favourable removal rate of petroleum hydrocarbons due to solubilization

Oxidation method	Pollutant and initial content	Matrix Optimum condition		Maximum re-	Reference
Fenton $(Fe^{2+}+H_2O_2)$	TPH, 2000 mg/kg	Oily sludge col-	pH=5, mass ratio of H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> to sample=15 molar ra-	36.47% TPH removal in 24 h	Farzadkia et
(10 11202)	2000 mg/kg	refinery	tio of $H_2O_2$ to $Fe^{2+}=10$		ui., 2011
Fenton-like (Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> +H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> )	Fresh crude oil, 4000 mg/kg	Spiked artificial soil composed of silica and magnetite	Molar ratio of H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> to Fe=10, solid-to-liquid ratio=1:10	92% crude oil oxidation in one week	Usman et al., 2012a
$\begin{array}{c} Magnetite \ activated \\ persulfate \\ (Fe_{3}O_{4}+ \\ Na_{2}S_{2}O_{8}) \end{array}$	Fresh crude oil, 4000 mg/kg	Spiked artificial soil composed of silica and magnetite	Molar ratio of Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> to Fe=1, solid-to-liquid ratio=1:10	83% crude oil oxidation in one week	Usman et al., 2012a
Fenton-like (Fe <sup>0</sup> +H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> )	Diesel, 5000 mg/kg	Spiked loamy sand soil	Circumneutral pH (6.7– 7.4), 2.17 mol/L H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> and 4.27% (in weight) zero-valent iron (ZVI)	67% TPH re- moval in 24 h	Jamialahmadi et al., 2015
Alkaline activated persulfate (NaOH+ Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> )	Diesel, 4930 mg/kg	Soil collected from a train mainte- nance facility	Sodium persulfate= 100 g/L, molar ratio of NaOH to Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> =4, soil-to-liquid ratio=1:2	98% TPH re- moval in 56 d	Lominchar et al., 2018
Soil minerals acti- vated persulfate (zeolite, hema- tite, goethite, magnetite, etc.)	Gasoline, 4500 mg/kg	Spiked soil by fresh gasohol and aged for a month	pH=4.5, 1% (in weight) magnetite, 5.5% Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> , 85% moisture	94.14% TPH removal in 48 h	Satapanajaru et al., 2017
Ferrous activated persulfate (Fe <sup>2+</sup> +Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> )	Diesel, 5000 mg/kg	Soil collected from an oil-refining plant	20% (in weight) $Na_2S_2O_8$ , molar ratio of $Na_2S_2O_8$ to $Fe^{2+}=0.1$ , soil-to- liquid ratio=1:5	55% TPH re- moval in 40 d	Yen et al., 2011

Table 1 Summary of studies using Fenton, Fenton-like, and activated persulfate oxidations to remove TPH from soil

above the critical micelle concentration (CMC) (Befkadu and Chen, 2018). Faced with non-negligible adsorption in soil (Kang and Jeong, 2015; Zhang et al., 2018), research on mixed surfactants is of great interest due to their synergistic effects in proper ratios. The adsorption of non-ionic surfactants to soil decreases in the presence of anionic surfactants (Yang et al., 2006). In contrast, non-ionic surfactants may reduce the precipitation of anionic surfactants with cations simultaneously (Huang et al., 2014). Besides surfactants, water washing also allows removal of TPH in accidentally polluted zones (Hernández-Espriú et al., 2013). Different classes of surfactants used for TPH washing are summarized in Table 2. Even though many studies have concentrated on TPH-polluted soil remediation via the two methods evaluated here, a comprehensively comparative study is lacking.

Previous comparative studies focused mainly on optimizing experimental condition parameters, as several mathematical experimental design methods, including response surface methodology, artificial neural networks, and particle swarm optimization, had been applied (Moreira et al., 2007). However, few studies have compared the efficiency, environmental impacts, and economic requirements of conventional methods, especially for chemical-contaminated sites. In this study, classical chemical oxidation and soil washing technologies were applied to remediate spiked soil collected from waste solvent-polluted sites.  $0^{\#}$  diesel was spiked to simulate actual contamination conditions based on similar components (C10-C36). Sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (SDBS) and Tween 80 were chosen as representative anionic and nonionic surfactants, respectively, in consideration of their wide applications in soil remediation. The aims of this study were (1) to compare the treatment effects of two classical soil remediation methods to seek optimum conditions for waste solvent-polluted sites; and (2) to assess their environmental friendliness and economy to provide a reference remediation method for such sites. This study aimed to provide a decision blueprint for application to similarly polluted sites.

# 2 Experimental design

# 2.1 Chemicals

 $0^{\#}$  diesel was purchased from a local petrol station. Its density was 0.833 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and kinematic viscosity 3.049 mm<sup>2</sup>/s at 20 °C. Other chemicals were purchased and used without further purification, including hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 30%; Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., China), ferrous sulphate heptahydrate (FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, analytical reagent (AR); Shanghai Lingfeng Chemical Reagent Co., China),

Washing agent	Pollutant and initial content	Matrix	Optimum condition	Maximum removal efficiency	Reference
Anion surfactant {5-[3,4, 5-tris(hexyloxy) ben- zyloxy] isophthalic acid disodium salt (AS-5)}	Diesel fuel, 24752 mg/kg	Soil extracted from the exrefinery	0.1% (in weight) AS-5, soil-to- liquid ratio=3:20	76% TPH removal in 23 h	Gracida et al., 2017
Water	Diesel, 32 100 mg/kg	Soil from a diesel spill- contaminated site	Soil-to-liquid ratio=1:5	40% TPH removal in 24 h	Hernández- Espriú et al., 2013
Nonionic surfactant (Tween 80)	Diesel, 32 100 mg/kg	Soil from a diesel spill- contaminated site	0.5% (in weight) Tween, soil-to- liquid ratio=1:5	33.05% TPH re- moval in 24 h	Hernández- Espriú et al., 2013
Biosurfactant (rhamnolipid)	Petroleum, 2636 mg/kg	Soil from a farm, a part of a pe- troleum supply storage system	300 mg/kg rhamno- lipid, nutrient solution (1-mL 10-g/L NaNO <sub>3</sub> + 1-mL 2-g/L K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> each run) for 30-g soil	95.0% TPH re- moval in 36 d	Li et al., 2018

Table 2 Summary of studies using soil washing methods to remove TPH from soil

citric acid (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>7</sub>,  $\geq$ 99.5%; Macklin, Shanghai, China), sodium persulfate (Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, 99%; Macklin, Shanghai, China), SDBS (C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub>S, 90.0%; Macklin, Shanghai, China), Tween 80 (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>CINO<sub>3</sub>, viscous liquid; Aladdin, Shanghai, China), methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade,  $\geq$ 99.9%; Sigma-Aldrich, USA), carbon tetrachloride (CCl<sub>4</sub>, AR, 99.5%; Aladdin, Shanghai, China), and a TPH mixture (standard solution, 30.5 µg/mL in carbon tetrachloride; Aladdin, Shanghai, China). Deionized (DI) water (18.2 MΩ·cm, Milli-Q) was used in the experiments.

## 2.2 Soil pre-treatment and characterization

Contaminated soil was collected from a local waste solvent-polluted site in Zhejiang Province, China. The main pollutant in the soil had been determined to be TPH at a concentration of 1260 mg/kg. This TPH level exceeded the screening value of TPH (C<16 (carbon atom amount less than 16 in alkane of TPH), 620 mg/kg) for commercial and industrial sites according to DB 33/T 892-2013 (Quality and Technology Supervision Bureau of Zhejiang Province, 2013). The soil was air dried for one week and then homogenized using a 60-mesh sieve (0.25 mm). The pre-treated soil was kept at ambient temperature before use. After the pre-treatment, the soil TPH content was below the limit of detection of the analytic method (Section 2.5). To simulate the original pollution situation, corresponding amounts of  $0^{\#}$  diesel dissolved in carbon tetrachloride were added to the sieved soil. The spiked soil was thoroughly stirred with a glass rod and aged for 2 d. The TPH content was determined before use.

Basic soil properties were measured. The soil humidity was calculated as the ratio of weight loss at 105 °C for 12 h. The soil pH and redox potential (ORP) were measured with DI water (soil:water= 1:2.5) using a METTLER TOLEDO<sup>™</sup> Seven Compact pH meter S220. Porosity was assessed by the ring knife testing method (Meng et al., 2016). Total organic carbon (TOC) was analysed via a TOC-V CPH SSM5000A (SHIMADZU, Japan). The mechanical composition of the soil was measured using a laser particle size analyser (Microtrac S3500, USA).

## 2.3 Chemical oxidation experiments

For Fenton-like oxidation, experiments were conducted in pots for 5 d. Each pot contained 200 g of

the spiked soil. The amounts of citric acid and oxidant  $(H_2O_2)$  were fixed at 0.24 mmol/g and 6 mmol/g, respectively. By changing the additional amount of FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, the Fe<sup>2+</sup>:oxidant molar ratio was set to 1:10, 1:50, 1:100, and 1:500 to evaluate its effect on oxidation activity. All reagents were added in solution form, and the soil:solution weight ratio was controlled at 1:1. Blank experiments were performed without the addition of any reagents.

For activated persulfate oxidation,  $Fe^{2+}$  from  $FeSO_4$ ·7H<sub>2</sub>O was used as the activator. The  $Fe^{2+}$ : oxidant (Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>) molar ratios were set the same as for the Fenton-like oxidation, and the Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> amount was fixed at 2 mmol/g. The other experimental conditions were the same as for the Fenton-like oxidation.

## 2.4 Chemical washing experiments

DI water, SDBS, and Tween 80 were used as washing agents. In DI water washing batch experiments, the effects of the soil-to-liquid ratio and the washing time were examined simultaneously. Specifically, spiked soil samples (10 g, 5 g, and 2.5 g) were added to 50-mL centrifuge tubes. Then, 50 mL of DI water was poured into the centrifuge tubes (soil-to-liquid ratios: 1:5, 1:10, and 1:20) and shaken in a horizontal shaking incubator (180 r/min, 25 °C) for 75 min. To examine the influence of the washing time, soil was collected at 15, 45, and 75 min by centrifugation (4000g, 20 min (g is the gravitational acceleration)) and freeze dried for 24 h. Then, the residual TPH in the soil was measured.

For SDBS and Tween 80 washing batch experiments, the impacts of the washing agent concentration, washing time, and soil-to-liquid ratio were examined. Specific experimental conditions and relevant parameters are shown in Table 3. The best washing time ( $T_{\rm B}$ ) was determined in the washing agent concentration and washing time test carried out first and used in the washing agent concentration and soil-to-liquid ratio test. The residual TPH in the treated soil was measured.

Following confirmation of the optimum conditions for single surfactant washing, further mixed washing agent batch experiments were carried to obtain more comprehensive data regarding the synergistic effects of anionic and non-ionic surfactants (Zhou and Zhu, 2007; Shi et al., 2015). Corresponding experimental conditions are listed in Table 3.

## 2.5 Analytical methods

TPH in soil was extracted by vibration and sonication. In detail, 0.5 g of soil was weighed in a 50-mL centrifuge tube, and 50 mL of carbon tetrachloride were added to the tube. Then, the tube was shaken and sonicated for 1 h. Afterwards, the mixture was centrifuged at 4000g for 20 min and 2 mL of supernatant was placed in a 2-mL screw top vial with a cap. The TPH content was measured using a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890B) equipped with an automatic liquid sampler (7693A), flame ionization detector (FID), and HP-5 capillary column (30 m×0.25 mm× 0.25 µm) (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The ramping temperature procedure and other settings were the same as those used by Lai et al. (2009). The limit of quantification (LOQ) was 0.7 mg/L (70 mg/kg in soil).

## 2.6 Statistical analysis

The treatment efficiency rates of the different methods are expressed by the removal efficiency, calculated by

Removal efficiency = 
$$\frac{C_0 - C}{C_0}$$
, (1)

where  $C_0$  indicates the initial TPH content (chemical washing experiments) or the TPH content in blank experiments (chemical oxidation experiments), and *C* indicates the residual TPH content under different treatment conditions.

All experiments were carried out twice, and the data in figures are presented as the means±standard errors. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with different chemical oxidation treatments for TPH was performed using the SPSS 20.0 software package for Windows. Statistical significance was determined by p<0.05.

# 3 Results and discussion

## 3.1 Soil characterization

The basic soil properties are shown in Table 4. The background concentration of TPH in the aged blank soil was below the limit of quantification (70 mg/kg). Blank spiked experiments demonstrated that the recovery rate of TPH was 80.7%–99.0% after 2 d of ageing.

## 3.2 Chemical oxidation of contaminated soil

Fenton-like oxidation results (Fig. 1a) showed a

Treatment method	Influence factor	Fixed condition	
Fenton-like oxidation	$Fe^{2+}:H_2O_2$ molar ratio (1:10, 1:50, 1:100,	Citric acid (0.24 mmol/g); $H_2O_2$	
	1:500)	(6 mmol/g); treatment time (5 d)	
Activated persulfate oxidation	Fe <sup>2+</sup> :Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> molar ratio (1:10, 1:50, 1:100, 1:500)	$Na_2S_2O_8$ (2 mmol/g); treatment time (5 d)	
DI water washing	Washing time (15, 45, 75 min)	Water volume (50 mL); soil-to-liquid ratio (1:5, 1:10, 1:20)	
	Soil-to-liquid ratio (1:5, 1:10, 1:20)	Water volume (50 mL); washing time (15, 45, 75 min)	
SDBS/Tween 80 washing	Washing agent concentration (2, 3, 4, 5 g/L)	Soil-to-liquid ratio (1:20); washing time (15, 30, 60, 90 min)	
	Washing time (15, 30, 60, 90 min)	Soil-to-liquid ratio (1:20); washing agent concentration (2, 3, 4, 5 g/L)	
	Soil-to-liquid ratio (1:10, 1:20, 1:25)	Washing time (60 min); washing agent concentration (2, 3, 4, 5 g/L)	
Mixed agent washing	SDBS:Tween 80 ratio (1:4, 2:3, 1:1, 3:2, 4:1)	Total agent concentration (5 g/L); soil-to-liquid ratio (1:20); washing time (60 min)	

Table 3	Summar	y of soil	remediation	experimental	conditions and	relevant	parameters
	-	1					

Fabl	e 4	Basic p	hysicoc	hemical	propert	ies of	original	soi
			•		1 1			

Humidity		ORP	Porosity	Mechanical composition			TOC
(%)	pН	(mV)	(%)	Clay (<0.002 mm)	Powder (0.002-	Gravel (0.05-	(mg/g)
(, 0)		(	(, 0)	(%)	0.05 mm) (%)	2 mm) (%)	(
6.39±0.38	8.96±0.03	-88.0	59.2	3.22	52.2	44.6	2.41

slight decrease in TPH after 1 d of treatment. After another 2 d, a significant difference (p < 0.05) was generated among different Fe<sup>2+</sup>:H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ratios. After 5 d of treatment, the differences between different treatments and blank groups became even more obvious. When the molar ratio increased, the TPH removal efficiency increased from 29.4% to 78.2% in 3-d and from 66.5% to 90.4% in 5-d treatments. The maximum removal of TPH was achieved with an  $Fe^{2+}$ :H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ratio of 1:10, which was similar to that of previous studies (Goi and Trapido, 2004; Yap et al., 2011; Farzadkia et al., 2014). The lowered removal efficiency at lower Fe<sup>2+</sup>:H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ratios was caused mainly by the reaction between excess H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and hydroxyl radicals (Lin and Gurol, 1998). For the TPH removal efficiency and screening value of TPH, the optimal  $Fe^{2+}$ :H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> molar ratio was 1:10.

With the  $Fe^{2+}$ -activated persulfate method, TPH levels decreased much more slowly (Fig. 1b). However, as with the Fenton-like method, the removal efficiency was enhanced with an increase in the molar ratio. The maximum removal efficiency of TPH was only 39.5% with an  $\text{Fe}^{2+}:S_2O_8^{2-}$  ratio of 1:10 and 5 d of ageing, which was less than half that of the Fentonlike disposal. This is because of the differences in the selective behaviour of the two oxidation processes. A series of studies (Usman et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2012c) demonstrated that activated persulfate oxidation is a selective oxidation process aimed mainly at lowmolecular-weight n-alkanes, while in Fenton-like treatments, the oxidation process is unselective and relatively thorough. Another key factor is the longevity of free radicals in experiments. For Fenton-like reactions, the main free radical ( $\cdot$ OH) lasts only  $10^{-9}$ – $10^{-6}$  s in the liquid phase (de Laat and Gallard, 1999; Du et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2010). For activated persulfate, sulphate radicals  $(SO_4^{-})$  have a longer lifetime  $(3 \times 10^{-5} - 4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s})$  (Zhou et al., 2015). Correspondingly, further scavenging tests showed a faster reaction rate for hydroxyl radicals compared with sulphate radicals  $(9.7 \times 10^8 \text{ L/(mol \cdot s)} \text{ vs. } 3.2 \times 10^6 \text{ L/(mol \cdot s)})$ second-order rate constants) when methanol was the quenching agent (Liang and Su, 2009). This dynamic also coordinated with the exothermic degree when all reagents were added to the spiked soil. Based on these results, activated persulfate oxidation is a relatively weak oxidation method of TPH degradation and cannot meet the screening value. For elimination

impact, Fenton-like oxidation performed better than activated persulfate oxidation in this short-term trial.

Fig. 1 Residual concentrations of TPH in spiked soil with chemical oxidation: (a) effects of the  $Fe^{2+}$  to  $H_2O_2$  molar ratio in Fenton-like oxidation; (b) effects of the  $Fe^{2+}$  to persulfate molar ratio in activated persulfate oxidation. Different letters noted for the same time point in Fig. 1a represent a significant difference

## 3.3 Chemical washing of contaminated soil

The DI water washing experiment results are presented in Fig. 2. With a decrease in the soil-toliquid ratio, the removal efficiency rose first and then decreased. The best result was obtained with a soil-toliquid ratio of 1:10. An unexpected finding was that water washing was so efficient for TPH disposal that the ultimate result was close to that of 5 d of activated persulfate treatment (726 mg/kg vs. 723 mg/kg). The maximum removal efficiency reached 36.1% with a soil-to-liquid ratio of 1:10 and 75-min washing, which was slightly less than that obtained with highconcentration diesel-contaminated soil (40%; initial TPH content: 32100 mg/kg) (Hernández-Espriú et al., 2013) and approximated the result (32.9%) of column experiments (Khalladi et al., 2009). Although the result did not achieve the remediation target (screening value) within the experimental time, water



flushing appears to be suitable for remediation of a low level TPH-polluted soil (TPH<916 mg/kg), according to its removal efficiency.



Fig. 2 Effects of the soil-to-liquid ratio and washing time on the removal of TPH from spiked soil by DI water washing

The SDBS-washing spiked soil results are presented in Fig. 3. From Fig. 3a, 60 min ( $T_{\rm B}$ ) was the balance moment in most cases, when the TPH removal efficiency was between 5.52% and 13.2%. SDBS showed a prior solubilization effect on TPH with the increase in SDBS concentration, except for the 4-g/L SDBS treatment. SDBS washing produced a maximum removal efficiency of 13.5% under 5-g/L SDBS washing within 90 min. Furthermore, the elevated solution concentration was not helpful in clearing TPH in spiked soil (Fig. 3b), which might be due to more adsorption sites forming on the surface of soil derived from increased micelles/admicelles (Guo P et al., 2014).

The detergency of Tween 80 on TPH was low (Fig. 4), with a maximum reduction of only 12.1% in 1 h ( $T_B$ ). With increasing Tween 80 concentrations, the TPH removal efficiency decreased first and then increased (Fig. 4a). The removal efficiency increased continuously with increasing soil-to-liquid ratio, except for 5-g/L Tween 80 (Fig. 4b). In the final washing result, the maximum removal efficiency achieved was only 11.3%. This phenomenon reflects a high affinity between non-ionic surfactants and organically polluted soil (Guo et al., 2009; Chen and Sun, 2016; Cheng et al., 2018). Although adsorption occurs for anionic surfactants on soil as well, the amount is slightly lower than that of non-ionic surfactants (Yu et



Fig. 3 Effects of the washing agent concentration and washing time on the removal of TPH (soil-to-liquid ratio= 1:20) (a) and effects of the washing agent concentration and soil-to-liquid ratio on the removal of TPH (washing time=60 min) (b) in spiked soil by SDBS washing

Based on the single washing agent experiment, a further mixed surfactant washing experiment was conducted based on the optimal conditions (Fig. 5). With an increase in the ratio of Tween 80, the washing efficacy tended to be elevated. However, a co-solubilization effect did not appear for decontaminating TPH in spiked soil. The highest removal efficiency was only 6.44%, which was lower than the removal efficiency rates of SBDS and Tween 80 (8.23%) used separately under the same conditions. One possible explanation is that the extremely strong micelle generation caused by the high surfactant concentration (nearly 10 and 143 times higher than those of SDBS and Tween CMC, respectively) and their gathering behavior was responsible for the sorption and solubilization of the high carbon content chains (C21-C40) (Huguenot et al., 2015). For  $0^{\#}$ diesel, the major component is n-alkanes (C13-C20).

al., 2007; Chen and Sun, 2016), so the detergency of SDBS is slightly higher than that of Tween 80.



Fig. 4 Effects of the washing agent concentration and washing time on the removal of TPH (soil-to-liquid ratio= 1:20) (a) and effects of the washing agent concentration and soil-to-liquid ratio on the removal of TPH (washing time=60 min) (b) in spiked soil by Tween 80 washing



Fig. 5 Composite agent leaching results with different proportions under optimized washing conditions (total concentration=5 g/L, washing time=60 min, and soil-to-liquid ratio=1:20)

According to the efficiencies of the different washing agents, a single SDBS or Tween 80 dosage

could not adequately remediate diesel-polluted soil in this site. Also, the mixed surfactants did not show a synergistic effect on TPH removal. However, DI water showed a strong detergency effect on the TPH. In an earlier study, Hernández-Espriú et al. (2013) used several different kinds of surfactants to deal with diesel-contaminated soil (32100 mg/kg) and found that the washing efficiency of DI water surpassed that of a high concentration of Tween 80 (10 g/L) by about 10%. The phenomenon illustrates the validity and convenience of water washing.

# 3.4 Comparative assessment of remediation efficiency and feasibility

When specific soil remediation technology is implemented, the possible environmental risks and ecological toxicity should be taken into account to make a wise decision. For the Fenton-like process, although the iron used in the process is highly abundant with low toxicity and hydrogen peroxide is an environmentally friendly oxidant, the ·OH formed is a biocide (Büyüksönmez et al., 1998). With its exothermic process, the Fenton reaction will increase the soil temperature during treatment (Palmroth et al., 2006), as observed in the experiment. Also, a drastic oxidation process will cause phosphorus deficiency (Alef, 1991), an increase in the toxicity of leachates, and the death of soil microorganisms (Vibrio fischeri) (Palmroth et al., 2006). Compared with the Fentonlike process, activated persulfate oxidation is mild. Persulfate can stay active in soils for weeks to months, is not obviously influenced by pH, and can oxidize a variety of contaminants (Stroo and Ward, 2011). Nonetheless, Fenton-like oxidation is still a better alternative than activated persulfate for a waste solvent-polluted site in terms of performance.

Environmental impacts, ecological toxicity, and biodegradation should be considered when choosing washing agents to apply to a target contaminated soil. Adsorption behaviour is common in the soil washing process and becomes the main factor in influencing the transport and distribution of surfactants at the subsurface (Kang and Jeong, 2015; Chen et al., 2016). The loss portion cannot lower the interfacial tension, and so does not favour mobilizing oil from soil (Gogoi, 2011). A recent study (Zhang et al., 2018) demonstrated the irreversible sorption of SDBS on two kinds of sediments (retained maximum: 865 and

9674  $\mu$ g/g), which was related to the entrapment of the surfactants during the conformational changes of the organic matter. Considering the recalcitrant molecular structure and long retention time (García et al., 2009), their ecological toxicity should not be neglected. Similar to oxidizing agents, ecological toxicology research on surfactants is carried out with microorganisms. Toxicity research on Folsomia fimetaria revealed that the survival of juveniles (700 mg/kg exposure) was only 20% compared with 90% in the control, and reproductive output decreased by about 65% with a 1000-mg/kg linear alkylbenzenesulfonate (LAS) treatment (Holmstrup and Krogh, 1996). The study illustrated the importance of a rational dosage of LAS. SDBS has low biodegradability (García et al., 2009), but some studies (Haggensen et al., 2002; González et al., 2007; Mungray and Kumar, 2008) showed that the aerobic condition favoured LAS degradation, with a removal efficiency of over 90% through the classical membrane bioreactor technique. Thus, the degradability of SDBS need not be a point of focus.

For non-ionic surfactants, the sorption of Tween 80 on soil is spontaneous (Gibbs free energy change  $\Delta G$ <0) and exothermic, so clay-rich soils (>20%) are not suited to the Tween 80 washing disposal (Yang et al., 2010). In addition, Tween 80 adsorption may decrease the permeability of soils (montmorillonite content: 1.0%–1.4%) by about 5% (Gardner and Arias, 2000). Changes in soil properties are not beneficial for surfactant-enhanced remediation and make the remediation course costly and ineffective. A further concern is the eco-toxicological effects that may result from residuals. The potential toxicological impacts of Tween 80 were examined for 18 different fungal

strains (Garon et al., 2002), and no growth inhibition arose. Furthermore, Tween 80 showed a growthpromoting effect on plants in some circumstances (Gao et al., 2007; Ni et al., 2014), presumably because it contains bioavailable carbon. In general, the ecological toxicity of Tween 80 is negligible in the soil matrix. For biodegradability, both aerobic and anaerobic environments support the biodegradation of Tween 80 (Lee et al., 2004; Franzetti et al., 2006), so the use of Tween 80 in soil washing is safe overall. Nevertheless, the bad detergency performance on TPH limits the use of Tween 80 in real-world polluted soil.

To maintain a dynamic balance between environmental friendliness, technical efficiency, and costbenefit analysis during soil remediation, the economic cost is another concern for ex-site soil remediation. A simplified cost estimation was necessary for this study. The costs of two of the most efficient remediation soil technologies were estimated per weight (ton, t). As shown in Tables 5 and 6, the cost of water washing (24.30 \$/t) is less than one third of that of Fenton-like oxidation (79.10 \$/t), so water

 
 Table 5 Cost calculation for water washing remediation per ton of diesel-contaminated soil under optimum conditions

Item	Unit	Value	Unit cost	Combined
			(Ψ)	cost (\$)
Fresh water	$m^3$	10	0.44	4.40
Equipment	t	1	1.02	1.02
maintenance				
(including power)				
Labor	Person	5	3.41	17.05
Management	_	6%	_	1.35
Fee	-	2%	-	0.48
	Total			24.30

 Table 6 Cost calculation for Fenton-like oxidation remediation per ton of diesel-contaminated soil under optimized conditions

Item	Unit	Value	Unit cost (\$)	Combined cost (\$)
Chemical reagent	Chemical reagent kg 166		0.03	5.00
		46.11 (citric acid)	0.67	30.89
		680.2 (30% H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> )	0.004	2.80
Equipment maintenance (including power)	t	1	0.32	0.32
Labor	Person	10	3.41	34.14
Management	-	6%	-	4.40
Fee	-	2%	-	1.55
	79.10			

flushing is an optimum choice for prompt TPH reduction from mildly diesel-contaminated soil. Nevertheless, labour costs comprise 79.7% of the total costs in the water flushing method. The percentage of labour costs will continue to increase in future soil ex site remediation projects because overall labour costs in China are continuously increasing (Chen et al., 2013). Hence, future research should be concentrated on enhancing the level of mechanization to save engineering costs.

# 4 Conclusions

Two conventional soil remediation technologies were implemented in diesel-contaminated soil and thoroughly investigated for technique efficiency, environmental impacts, and economic viability. Chemical oxidation tests showed a drastic reaction generated by the Fenton-like process and a relatively mild and slow process generated by activated persulfate oxidation. The optimal oxidation treatment condition for both techniques was a Fe<sup>2+</sup>:oxidation reagent molar ratio of 1:10. For washing experiments, the maximum TPH removal was achieved with DI water washing. Overall, only the Fenton-like process could achieve the remediation goal based on the screening value. However, for low-level TPH pollution (<916 mg/kg), DI water washing would be a better alternative. The environmental friendliness assessment showed that activated persulfate oxidation and pure water washing were the greenest methods when considering their environmental impacts, ecological toxicity, and biodegradation simultaneously. In terms of estimated economic cost, water washing (24.30 /t) was considered the best choice for polluted site remediation on account of its high efficiency and environmental and economic superiority. This study provided a basic blueprint for diesel-contaminated soil remediation, and further studies should concentrate on seeking a balance between engineering, nature, and society.

## Contributors

Fan-xu MENG and Dao-hui LIN designed the research. Fan-xu MENG and Yan SONG conducted the experiments. Li-juan MAO provided the instrumental analysis method. Wen-jun ZHOU helped to organize the manuscript. Dao-hui LIN revised and edited the final version.

#### **Conflict of interest**

Fan-xu MENG, Yan SONG, Li-juan MAO, Wen-jun ZHOU, and Dao-hui LIN declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# References

- Alef K, 1991. Methodenhandbuch Bodenmikrobiologie: Aktivitaten, Biomasse, Differenzierung. Ecomed, Landsberg/ Lech, Germany.
- Bahadure S, Kalia R, Chavan R, 2013. Comparative study of bioremediation of hydrocarbon fuels. *International Journal of Biotechnology and Bioengineering Research*, 4(7):677-686.
- Befkadu AA, Chen QY, 2018. Surfactant-enhanced soil washing for removal of petroleum hydrocarbons from contaminated soils: a review. *Pedosphere*, 28(3):383-410. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(18)60027-X
- Bruell CJ, Ryan DK, Barker CC, et al., 1997. Laboratory evaluation of a biodegradable surfactant for in situ soil flushing. *Journal of Soil Contamination*, 6(5):509-523. https://doi.org/10.1080/15320389709383583
- Büyüksönmez F, Hess TF, Crawford RL, et al., 1998. Toxic effects of modified Fenton reactions on *Xanthobacter flavus* FB71. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 64(10):3759-3764.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.64.10.3759-3764.1998

Chen HT, Reinhard M, Nguyen VT, et al., 2016. Reversible and irreversible sorption of perfluorinated compounds (PFCs) by sediments of an urban reservoir. *Chemosphere*, 144:1747-1753.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.055

- Chen LS, Sun YF, Rasli A, et al., 2013. A theoretical framework for the impact of urbanization on labor cost in China. Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Management Science and Engineering, p.1047-1053. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMSE.2013.6586407
- Chen T, Sun CX, 2016. Polychlorinated biphenylscontaminated soil washing with mixed surfactants enhanced by electrokinetics. *Chemical Research in Chinese Universities*, 32(2):261-267. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40242-016-5369-2
- Cheng M, Zeng GM, Huang DL, et al., 2018. Tween 80 surfactant-enhanced bioremediation: toward a solution to the soil contamination by hydrophobic organic compounds. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 38(1):17-30. https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2017.1311296
- de Laat J, Gallard H, 1999. Catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide by Fe (III) in homogeneous aqueous solution: mechanism and kinetic modeling. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 33(16):2726-2732. https://doi.org/10.1021/es981171v
- Dos Santos EV, Sáez C, Cañizares P, et al., 2017. Treatment of ex-situ soil-washing fluids polluted with petroleum by anodic oxidation, photolysis, sonolysis and combined approaches. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 310:581-588.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.05.015

Du YX, Zhou MH, Lei LC, 2007. Kinetic model of 4-CP degradation by Fenton/O<sub>2</sub> system. *Water Research*, 41(5): 1121-1133.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.11.038

Farzadkia M, Dehghani M, Moafian M, 2014. The effects of Fenton process on the removal of petroleum hydrocarbons from oily sludge in Shiraz oil refinery, Iran. *Journal* of Environmental Health Science and Engineering, 12(1): 31.

https://doi.org/10.1186/2052-336X-12-31

- Franzetti A, Di Gennaro P, Bevilacqua A, et al., 2006. Environmental features of two commercial surfactants widely used in soil remediation. *Chemosphere*, 62(9):1474-1480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.06.009
- Gao YZ, Ling WT, Zhu LZ, et al., 2007. Surfactant-enhanced phytoremediation of soils contaminated with hydrophobic organic contaminants: potential and assessment. *Pedosphere*, 17(4):409-418.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(07)60050-2

García MT, Campos E, Marsal A, et al., 2009. Biodegradability and toxicity of sulphonate-based surfactants in aerobic and anaerobic aquatic environments. *Water Research*, 43(2):295-302.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.10.016

- Gardner KH, Arias MS, 2000. Clay swelling and formation permeability reductions induced by a nonionic surfactant. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 34(1):160-166. https://doi.org/10.1021/es990676y
- Garon D, Krivobok S, Wouessidjewe D, et al., 2002. Influence of surfactants on solubilization and fungal degradation of fluorene. *Chemosphere*, 47(3):303-309. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(01)00299-5
- Gogoi SB, 2011. Adsorption-desorption of surfactant for enhanced oil recovery. *Transport in Porous Media*, 90(2): 589-604.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-011-9805-y

- Goi A, Trapido M, 2004. Degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in soil: the Fenton reagent versus ozonation. *Environmental Technology*, 25(2):155-164. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330409355448
- Gojgic-Cvijovic GD, Milic JS, Solevic TM, et al., 2012. Biodegradation of petroleum sludge and petroleum polluted soil by a bacterial consortium: a laboratory study. *Biodegradation*, 23(1):1-14.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-011-9481-1

González S, Petrovic M, Barceló D, 2007. Removal of a broad range of surfactants from municipal wastewatercomparison between membrane bioreactor and conventional activated sludge treatment. *Chemosphere*, 67(2): 335-343.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.09.056

Gracida J, Ortega-Ortega J, Torres B LG, et al., 2017. Synthesis of anionic surfactant and their application in washing of oil-contaminated soil. *Journal of Surfactants and Detergents*, 20(2):493-502. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11743-017-1926-z

- Guo HQ, Liu ZY, Yang SG, et al., 2009. The feasibility of enhanced soil washing of *p*-nitrochlorobenzene (pNCB) with SDBS/Tween80 mixed surfactants. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 170(2-3):1236-1241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.05.101
- Guo P, Chen WW, Li YM, et al., 2014. Selection of surfactant in remediation of DDT-contaminated soil by comparison of surfactant effectiveness. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21(2):1370-1379. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1993-2
- Guo SH, Fan RJ, Li TT, et al., 2014. Synergistic effects of bioremediation and electrokinetics in the remediation of petroleum-contaminated soil. *Chemosphere*, 109:226-233.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.02.007

- Haggensen F, Mogensen AS, Angelidaki I, et al., 2002. Anaerobic treatment of sludge: focusing on reduction of LAS concentration in sludge. *Water Science and Technology*, 46(10):159-165. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2002.0318
- Hernández-Espriú A, Sánchez-León E, Martínez-Santos P, et al., 2013. Remediation of a diesel-contaminated soil from a pipeline accidental spill: enhanced biodegradation and soil washing processes using natural gums and surfactants. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 13(1):152-165. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-012-0599-5
- Holmstrup M, Krogh PH, 1996. Effects of an anionic surfactant, linear alkylbenzene sulfonate, on survival, reproduction and growth of the soil-living collembolan Folsomia fimetaria. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 15(10):1745-1748. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620151013

Huang JH, Peng L, Zeng GM, et al., 2014. Evaluation of micellar enhanced ultrafiltration for removing methylene blue and cadmium ion simultaneously with mixed surfactants. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 125: 83-89.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.01.020

Huguenot D, Mousset E, van Hullebusch ED, et al., 2015. Combination of surfactant enhanced soil washing and electro-Fenton process for the treatment of soils contaminated by petroleum hydrocarbons. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 153:40-47.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.01.037

- Jamialahmadi N, Gitipour S, Jamialahmadi O, et al., 2015. Remediation of a diesel-contaminated soil using a Fentonlike advanced oxidation process: optimization by response surface methodology. Soil and Sediment Contamination: An International Journal, 24(6):609-623. https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2015.996633
- Kang S, Jeong HY, 2015. Sorption of a nonionic surfactant Tween 80 by minerals and soils. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 284:143-150.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.11.010

802

Khalladi R, Benhabiles O, Bentahar F, et al., 2009. Surfactant

remediation of diesel fuel polluted soil. *Journal of Haz-ardous Materials*, 164(2-3):1179-1184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.09.024

- Khan FI, Husain T, Hejazi R, 2004. An overview and analysis of site remediation technologies. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 71(2):95-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2004.02.003
- Kirk JL, Klironomos JN, Lee H, et al., 2005. The effects of perennial ryegrass and alfalfa on microbial abundance and diversity in petroleum contaminated soil. *Environmental Pollution*, 133(3):455-465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2004.06.002
- Lai CC, Huang YC, Wei YH, et al., 2009. Biosurfactantenhanced removal of total petroleum hydrocarbons from contaminated soil. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 167(1-3):609-614.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.01.017

- Lee DH, Chang HW, Cody RD, 2004. Synergism effect of mixed surfactant solutions in remediation of soil contaminated with PCE. *Geosciences Journal*, 8(3):319-323. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02910251
- Li G, Guo SH, Hu JX, 2016. The influence of clay minerals and surfactants on hydrocarbon removal during the washing of petroleum-contaminated soil. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 286:191-197.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.10.006

- Li R, Munoz G, Liu YN, et al., 2019. Transformation of novel polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) as co-contaminants during biopile remediation of petroleum hydrocarbons. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 362:140-147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.09.021
- Li XX, Fan FQ, Zhang BY, et al., 2018. Biosurfactant enhanced soil bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbons: design of experiments (DOE) based system optimization and phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) based microbial community analysis. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 132:216-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2018.04.009
- Liang CJ, Su HW, 2009. Identification of sulfate and hydroxyl radicals in thermally activated persulfate. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 48(11):5558-5562.
- Engineering Chemistry Research, 48(11):5558-5562.
   https://doi.org/10.1021/ie9002848
   Lin SS, Gurol MD, 1998. Catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide on iron oxide: kinetics, mechanism, and impli-
- peroxide on iron oxide: kinetics, mechanism, and implications. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 32(10): 1417-1423. https://doi.org/10.1021/es970648k
- Lominchar MA, Santos A, de Miguel E, et al., 2018. Remediation of aged diesel contaminated soil by alkaline activated persulfate. *Science of the Total Environment*, 622-623:41-48.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.263

Meng QY, Gao ZC, Pan GX, et al., 2016. Soil layer displacing plough-part 3: black and brown soils. *Engineering in Agriculture, Environment and Food*, 9(1):79-83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eaef.2015.04.005 Mirzaee E, Gitipour S, Mousavi M, et al., 2017. Optimization of total petroleum hydrocarbons removal from Mahshahr contaminated soil using magnetite nanoparticle catalyzed Fenton-like oxidation. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 76(4):165.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-6484-1

- Moreira GA, Micheloud GA, Beccaria AJ, et al., 2007. Optimization of the *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* HD-1 δ-endotoxins production by using experimental mixture design and artificial neural networks. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 35(1):48-55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2006.12.025
- Mungray AK, Kumar P, 2008. Anionic surfactants in treated sewage and sludges: risk assessment to aquatic and terrestrial environments. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(8): 2919-2929.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.06.025

- Ni HW, Zhou WJ, Zhu LZ, 2014. Enhancing plant-microbe associated bioremediation of phenanthrene and pyrene contaminated soil by SDBS-Tween 80 mixed surfactants. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 26(5):1071-1079. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(13)60535-5
- Osgerby IT, 2006. ISCO technology overview: do you really understand the chemistry? *In*: Calabrese EJ, Kostecki PT, Dragun J (Eds.), Contaminated Soils, Sediments and Water. Springer, Boston, USA, p.287-308. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-28324-2 19
- Österreicher-Cunha P, do Amaral Vargas Jr E, Guimarães JRD, et al., 2004. Evaluation of bioventing on a gasolineethanol contaminated undisturbed residual soil. *Journal* of Hazardous Materials, 110(1-3):63-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.02.037
- Palmroth MRT, Langwaldt JH, Aunola TA, et al., 2006. Effect of modified Fenton's reaction on microbial activity and removal of PAHs in creosote oil contaminated soil. *Biodegradation*, 17(2):29-39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-005-6060-3
- Patowary R, Patowary K, Kalita MC, et al., 2018. Application of biosurfactant for enhancement of bioremediation process of crude oil contaminated soil. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 129:50-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2018.01.004
- Picard F, Chaouki J, 2017. NaClO/NaOH soil oxidation for the remediation of two real heavy-metal and petroleum contaminated soils. *Journal of Environmental Chemical En*gineering, 5(3):2691-2698.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2017.05.005

- Quality and Technology Supervision Bureau of Zhejiang Province, 2013. Guideline for Risk Assessment of Contaminated Sites, DB 33/T 892-2013. Quality and Technology Supervision Bureau of Zhejiang Province, China (in Chinese).
- Samaksaman U, Peng TH, Kuo JH, et al., 2016. Thermal treatment of soil co-contaminated with lube oil and heavy metals in a low-temperature two-stage fluidized bed incinerator. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 93:131-138.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2015.09.024

Satapanajaru T, Chokejaroenrat C, Sakulthaew C, et al., 2017. Remediation and restoration of petroleum hydrocarbon containing alcohol-contaminated soil by persulfate oxidation activated with soil minerals. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 228(9):345.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-017-3527-x

- Shi ZT, Chen JJ, Liu JF, et al., 2015. Anionic-nonionic mixed-surfactant-enhanced remediation of PAHcontaminated soil. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(16):12769-12774. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4568-6
- Stroo HF, Ward CH, 2011. A Volume in SERDP/ESTCP Remediation Technology Monograph Series, In Situ Chemical Oxidation for Remediation of Contaminated Groundwater. Springer Science and Business Media, New York, USA.
- Usman M, Faure P, Hanna K, et al., 2012a. Application of magnetite catalyzed chemical oxidation (Fenton-like and persulfate) for the remediation of oil hydrocarbon contamination. *Fuel*, 96:270-276.
  - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2012.01.017
- Usman M, Faure P, Ruby C, et al., 2012b. Application of magnetite-activated persulfate oxidation for the degradation of PAHs in contaminated soils. *Chemosphere*, 87(3):234-240.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.01.001

Usman M, Faure P, Ruby C, et al., 2012c. Remediation of PAH-contaminated soils by magnetite catalyzed Fentonlike oxidation. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 117-118:10-17.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2012.01.007

Wu YY, Zhou SQ, Qin FH, et al., 2010. Modeling physical and oxidative removal properties of Fenton process for treatment of landfill leachate using response surface methodology (RSM). *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 180(1-3):456-465.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.04.052

- Yang GP, Chen Q, Li XX, et al., 2010. Study on the sorption behaviors of Tween-80 on marine sediments. *Chemo-sphere*, 79(11):1019-1025. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.03.063
- Yang K, Zhu LZ, Xing BS, 2006. Enhanced soil washing of phenanthrene by mixed solutions of TX100 and SDBS. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40(13):4274-4280. https://doi.org/10.1021/es060122c

Yap CL, Gan SY, Ng HK, 2011. Fenton based remediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons-contaminated soils. *Chemosphere*, 83(11):1414-1430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.01.026

- Yen CH, Chen KF, Kao CM, et al., 2011. Application of persulfate to remediate petroleum hydrocarboncontaminated soil: feasibility and comparison with common oxidants. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 186(2-3):2097-2102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.12.129
- Yu HS, Zhu LZ, Zhou WJ, 2007. Enhanced desorption and biodegradation of phenanthrene in soil-water systems with the presence of anionic-nonionic mixed surfactants. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 142(1-2):354-361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.08.028
- Zhang P, Liu Y, Li ZJ, et al., 2018. Sorption and desorption characteristics of anionic surfactants to soil sediments. *Chemosphere*, 211:1183-1192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.08.051
- Zhou DN, Zhang H, Chen L, 2015. Sulfur-replaced Fenton systems: can sulfate radical substitute hydroxyl radical for advanced oxidation technologies? *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 90(5):775-779. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.4525
- Zhou WJ, Zhu LZ, 2007. Enhanced desorption of phenanthrene from contaminated soil using anionic/nonionic mixed surfactant. *Environmental Pollution*, 147(2):350-357.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2006.05.025

804